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James ML, Gambhir SS. A Molecular Imaging Primer: Modalities, Imaging Agents, and Applications. Physiol Rev 92: 897–965, 2012; doi:10.1152/physrev.00049.2010.—Molecular imaging is revolutionizing the way we study the inner workings of the human body, diagnose diseases, approach drug design, and assess therapies. The field as a whole is making possible the visualization of complex biochemical processes involved in normal physiology and disease states, in real time, in living cells, tissues, and intact subjects. In this review, we focus specifically on molecular imaging of intact living subjects. We provide a basic primer for those who are new to molecular imaging, and a resource for those involved in the field. We begin by describing classical molecular imaging techniques together with their key strengths and limitations, after which we introduce some of the latest emerging imaging modalities. We provide an overview of the main classes of molecular imaging agents (i.e., small molecules, peptides, aptamers, engineered proteins, and nanoparticles) and cite examples of how molecular imaging is being applied in oncology, neuroscience, cardiology, gene therapy, cell tracking, and theranostics (therapy combined with diagnostics). A step-by-step guide to answering biological and/or clinical questions using the tools of molecular imaging is also provided. We conclude by discussing the grand challenges of the field, its future directions, and enormous potential for further impacting how we approach research and medicine.

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular imaging is greatly enhancing the way researchers and clinicians visualize and investigate complex biochemical phenomena. One may define molecular imaging as the noninvasive, real-time visualization of biochemical events at the cellular and molecular level within living cells, tissues, and/or intact subjects (276, 318, 451, 452). Generally speaking, molecular imaging involves specialized instrumentation, used alone or in combination with targeted imaging agents, to visualize tissue characteristics and/or biochemical markers. The data generated from molecular imaging studies can be used to help understand biological phenomena, identify regions of pathology, and provide insight regarding the mechanisms of disease. Although still in its infancy, molecular imaging is showing enormous promise in the areas of diagnostics, therapy monitoring, drug discovery and development, and understanding nanoscale reactions such as protein-protein interactions and enzymatic conversion.

The words molecular imaging mean different things to various groups, and thus the areas of research and medicine that fall under the umbrella of molecular imaging are incredibly vast and varied. Due to space constraints, this review will focus on molecular imaging of intact living subjects. We will only make very brief mention of microscopy-based imaging techniques used to visualize biochemical processes in live cells and tissues ex vivo (see sect. II A5).

Molecular imaging in living subjects has strong ties to nuclear medicine (457). Since its advent, nuclear medicine has aimed to facilitate the noninvasive diagnosis and management of patients via imaging instrumentation and radionuclides (either alone or attached to molecules that specifically interact with one or more molecular targets). In the early days, researchers relied on hand-held Geiger Counters to crudely measure the biodistribution of radioactivity in a patient without forming an image. It was not until 1950 that the first instrument capable of imaging organs in the body, known as the rectilinear scanner, was designed and constructed by Benedict Cassen at the University of California Los Angeles (7, 48, 457). In 1956, a photographic component was fitted to the rectilinear scanner, creating the Photoscanner. While this modification improved the resolution and sensitivity of the instrument, the scans themselves were time consuming and contained motion artifacts. A few years later, the Photoscanner was superseded by the Gamma Camera, also known as the Scintillation Camera.
(367). The Gamma Camera addressed many of the Photon-scanner’s issues due to its novel design containing vacuum photomultiplier tubes, enabling the spatial location of gamma rays to be calculated by analyzing resulting voltage signals. Later, the Gamma Camera was modified so that it could act as a rotating detection system used in conjunction with reconstruction algorithms and computers to generate tomographic images, an application known as single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) (206). The first general purpose SPECT instrument was developed and implemented by John Keyes in 1976.

The development of SPECT, and other highly sensitive imaging modalities including positron emission tomography (PET), combined with the synthesis of novel radiolabeled molecules specific for different biochemical targets, launched the field of nuclear medicine into a new era of imaging. Over the last decade, the principle of injecting molecules into a living subject to target specific molecular processes has been generalized so that the signaling from such molecules is not restricted to only a radioactive atom. Techniques, using optical signaling, as well as signaling using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound (US), Raman, photoacoustics (PA), and computed tomography (CT), have also been steadily increasing. So although molecular imaging of living subjects can trace its roots back to nuclear medicine, many newer strategies are now possible (see sect. II for details on available molecular imaging modalities).

The field of molecular imaging is highly multidisciplinary, drawing from many areas of science, including, but not limited to, molecular biology, biochemistry, physiology, physics, engineering, genetics, mathematics, chemistry, pharmacology, immunology, and medicine. The areas of science currently driving the development and expansion of this relatively new field include bioengineering, nanotechnology, radio/bioconjugate chemistry, protein engineering, bioinformatics, systems biology, and the -omics (i.e., genomics, transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics).

One of the main motivations of molecular imaging is to translate common in vitro bioassay strategies to an in vivo setting in an attempt to overcome existing limitations. While in vitro techniques have long provided valuable information and insight into the inner workings of cells and the biochemistry of disease, they are limited by 1) the inability to provide analyses of entire intact organisms over time, making it difficult to appreciate the “full picture” of disease states and/or biochemical process; 2) the required removal of cell, organ, or tissue samples from their natural environment and/or the need for preparing samples with fixatives, rendering results not true of physiological conditions; 3) the fact that samples (e.g., brain tissue slices) can often only be analyzed by one or two in vitro techniques due to the sample being destroyed or irreversibly altered, meaning only limited information can be extracted from each sample; and 4) the necessary euthanasia of animals, making impossible the longitudinal study of the same animal, and creating issues with regards to both costs and ethics.

For these reasons (among others), there has been a great need for methods that allow one to visualize and study biochemical processes in intact living subjects, noninvasively, over time. The marriage of traditional in vitro molecular biology with in vivo imaging has begun to bridge many gaps across areas of science where in vitro and ex vivo techniques have previously fallen short.

Compared with traditional in vitro methods, molecular imaging approaches have a number of advantages.

- Molecular imaging permits the noninvasive study of cells in their natural microenvironment, without perturbing the system under investigation. Whereas traditional in vitro approaches require cells to be removed from their native surroundings and hence can only at best, provide information on a small part of the whole picture.
- Via different molecular imaging techniques, one can trace movement of cells and thus perceive dynamic biological processes [e.g., tracking the location of therapeutic cytolytic T cells in a patient with glioma using PET and a reporter gene system (473); see sect. IV, D and E]. Molecular imaging enables the researcher to observe cellular processes in their natural environments in real time, thereby greatly enhancing the value and veracity of research.
- Molecular imaging approaches enable investigation of intact, signaling and transduction pathways in real time, whereas in vitro studies provide a more static picture and do not allow signaling to be interrogated.
- Rapid information concerning pharmaceuticals (pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics) can be obtained, thereby reducing the amount of time it takes to evaluate the efficacy, metabolism, and safety profile of a potential therapeutic (462).
- Molecular imaging makes it possible to perform repeat studies in the same animal. Thus the collection of longitudinal data is possible, resulting in the use of fewer animals since each animal serves as its own control.
- Most available molecular imaging techniques may be conducted with adequate temporal and spatial resolution for studying intricate biological and physiological processes in living subjects (e.g., visualizing the formation of new neurons using optical imaging; Ref. 69).

Although the advantages associated with molecular imaging techniques are many, they also have their limitations (see sect. VI A). Subsequently at this stage, employing a com-
Molecular imaging is an exciting field of research with enormous potential and a wide range of applications, including, diagnostics, drug discovery and development, theranostics, and personalized medicine. It is emerging as an important means of exploring the inner workings of the body in both normal and disease states. This review aims to serve as a “how to” guide for newcomers to molecular imaging while simultaneously presenting some of the most recent advances in the field. Please refer to FIGURE 1 for a general scheme of a molecular imaging study. Specifically, we focus on imaging intact living subjects and the available tools for investigating biochemical processes in normal and disease states. We provide an introduction to the classical imaging modalities, including PET, SPECT, MRI, CT, optical imaging, and US, by reference to their relative advantages and limitations, and a description of newer emerging technologies. We then present an analysis of the characteristics, strengths, and limitations of different classes of imaging agents including small molecules, peptides, antibodies, aptamers, and nanoparticles. Applications of molecular imaging in the fields of oncology, neuroscience, gene therapy, cell tracking, and theranostics are provided, followed by a description of key steps and considerations involved in performing a molecular imaging study. Lastly, we discuss the likely future directions of molecular imaging, current limitations, and suggestions for the continued development, innovation, and progress of the discipline.

II. MOLECULAR IMAGING MODALITIES

There exist a range of different imaging modalities a researcher or clinician may use for a particular study (FIGURE 2). The selection of an appropriate imaging modality is dependent on the biochemical process(es) one wishes to visualize and the type of imaging data one wants to obtain. There are numerous factors and questions a researcher or clinician must consider to select an appropriate modality (or modalities, if relevant) including the following:

- What spatial resolution is required?
- What level of sensitivity is required? Sensitivity refers to the minimum concentration of imaging agent that can be detected. The issue of sensitivity will depend on the levels of your target(s).
- Is dynamic information needed?
- Is whole body/subject imaging required? Or only a small region?
- What temporal resolution is required, i.e., how quickly should the image be acquired?
- Is depth of penetration important?
- Is quantitative data needed?
- Will multiple, repeat studies be required?
- Are multiplexing capabilities needed/preferable? Multiplexing refers to the ability to image/visualize multiple molecular targets simultaneously.
- Is clinical translation the end goal?

A. Overview of Classical Imaging Modalities

1. CT

CT is a technique that relies on differential levels of X-ray attenuation by tissues within the body to produce images reflecting anatomy.

A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CT. Unlike traditional X-ray examinations, CT employs tomography (imaging by sections) and thus results in a three-dimensional anatomic image of the subject being scanned. FIGURE 3A depicts the basic geometry of a CT scanner. The X-ray source/tube typically produces a fanbeam spanning the entire subject width. This X-ray source is linked to the detector array in such a way that both are able to rotate together around the subject. A large number of detectors are used to enable an adequate number of measurements to be obtained across the entire scan circle. Tissues or media that strongly absorb X-rays (e.g., bone) appear white while others that absorb poorly (e.g., air) appear black, creating a high-contrast image displaying detailed morphological information, and enabling delineation between various structures. Hounsfield units (HU) are numerical values (introduced by Nobel laureate, Godfrey N. Hounsfield) that reflect these differences in density and composition, and thus X-ray attenuation, between various tissue types (e.g., HU for bone = 1,000, blood = 40, cerebrospinal fluid = 15, water = 0, fat = −50 to −100, and air = −1,000). Radiologists use software that automatically assigns HUs (between −1,000 and 1,000) to every voxel of a CT scan to enable efficient scan interpretation.

Often, an iodinated contrast agent will be used during CT imaging to improve spatial resolution and soft tissue contrast. In the clinic, CT has proven invaluable in identifying and assessing tumors (442), brain injury (94), ischemia (269), pulmonary embolism (397), and a vast array of other conditions. It is truly the workhorse for modern-day clinical imaging.

In preclinical research, a miniaturized (small animal) version of a clinical CT scanner is used. Small animal CT is considered to be a cost effective, relatively rapid means of investigating live animal models of disease (200), allowing real-time assessment of certain pathologies (including cancer) and their associated response to therapies via the production of high-resolution anatomical images (see FIGURE 3B and Ref 215). Moreover, small animal CT can also provide quantitative, anatomical information about the micro-anatomy of various invertebrates in a fast and inexpensive manner, whereas standard in vitro procedures for retrieving...
this kind of structural data are costly and time-consuming. A recent study demonstrated the effective use of microCT to image the anatomy of the honeybee brain (352).

**FIGURE 1.** Schematic of some key steps involved in a molecular imaging study. The first step is to identify a biochemical process or pathology of interest, and to assess the significance of visualizing this process/pathology noninvasively via the tools of molecular imaging. The second step is to decide on a molecular target that will enable direct or indirect visualization of the phenomena of interest. This is usually followed by selection of an appropriate imaging modality (like those discussed in sect. II) and, if necessary, an imaging agent (discussed in sect. III). Typically, some chemistry and labeling are required to synthesize the imaging agent [so that it contains both a targeting and signaling component]. A number of in vitro (molecular/cell biology-based) and in vivo (animal model-based) tests are required to evaluate the specificity and selectivity of the imaging method for visualizing the phenomena of interest. If clinical studies are the end goal, FDA approval is required, and certain mathematical models/algorithms might need to be developed so that meaningful data can be obtained from images. Please refer to section V for a step-by-step guide to performing a molecular imaging study. PET, positron emission tomography; US, ultrasound; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; RAMAN, surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy.

**KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS.** Some advantages of CT include its fast acquisition time, high spatial resolution (pre-clinical = 0.05–0.2 mm, clinical = 0.5–1.0 mm), cost-ef-
effectiveness, availability, clinical utility, and relative simplicity. Depth of penetration is a non-issue with this modality given the relatively high energy of X-rays and their ability to penetrate through the body.

One of the main limitations of CT is the high exposure to radiation, which often limits the number of scans that can be performed in the same patient in a given time frame. It is important to note, however, that advances in X-ray detector sensitivity made in the last 5 years (and ongoing) will in the future afford significant dose reductions. Although the maturity of these technologies is not yet sufficient for widespread clinical translation, they are currently being employed in preclinical small animal systems. Another limita-
tion is the low-quality soft tissue contrast CT affords compared with MRI, necessitating the use of iodinated contrast agents to enable more accurate discrimination between normal and disease-containing regions (276). These simple iodine-based contrast agents, although useful, are typically nonspecific and thus do not provide information on specific biochemical targets (340). Moreover, in the clinic, contrast agents can be problematic (due to renal toxicity) and cannot be given to all patients; for example, it is not advisable to administer contrast agents to elderly patients with high creatinine levels or to pregnant women.

C) MULTISLICE CT. One of the most significant technological advances for CT imaging was the introduction of helical scanning (37, 152) and multi-detector CT (MDCT) (171, 343). As its name implies, MDCT has multiple detector rows and is capable of acquiring numerous tomographic slices within a single (sub)second. Early prototypes of MDCT were capable of acquiring ~4 slices of varying widths per rotation (243) and were followed by the 8- and 16-slice detector row scanners (106). Most recently the 320-detector-row MDCT (320-MDCT) was introduced, capable of performing four-dimensional morphological and kinematic analyses of swallowing or cardiac function (114).

Compared with early CT, MDCT has the advantage of providing high temporal and axial (along the length of the body) spatial resolution. Since MDCT enables such rapid acquisition, it eliminates a large proportion of motion artifacts observed with regular CT when imaging patients (and regions of patients) prone to voluntary and/or involuntary movement, e.g., pediatrics, geriatrics, and thoracic/cardiac imaging studies. For this reason, and also due to the enhanced spatial resolution, MDCT has become the new standard for cardiac CT imaging. In fact, in many cases, MDCT is more suitable than invasive coronary angiography for locating and characterizing coronary plaques (403). MDCT is also being successfully used to predict coronary events and for long-term stent assessment (305). However, the high radiation dose may limit its use for this application.

While MDCT offers more rapid acquisition and improved axial resolution, it suffers from safety issues due to its high radiation dose. Work is currently underway to reduce the amount of radiation linked with this technique (403); however, caution needs to be taken due to concerns with radiation-induced malignancies. When lower cost and nonionizing radiation strategies are available, they need to be actively investigated as alternatives.

D) DUAL-ENERGY CT. Another important recent advance in CT technology is dual-energy CT (DECT), which has two separate X-ray tubes that can be operated at either the same energy (a technique called “dual source CT”) or at two different energies (in this case it is referred to as DECT). DECT enables tissues to be delineated by their composition as opposed to their attenuation only. This is possible due to the fact that attenuation of a particular tissue varies depending on the energy of X-rays used. DECT discriminates according to this difference in attenuation between two different energies. This technique is mainly used for discriminating between calcium and uric acid renal stones (342), assessing renal malignancies (134), imaging gout (189), and determining bone mineral density (70, 481).

E) MOLECULAR IMAGING WITH CT. Transforming CT into a modality capable of obtaining biochemical information is nontrivial due to the low sensitivity of CT-compatible contrast agents. Therefore, the primary limitation for CT in molecular imaging applications is the mass of imaging agent that needs to be injected in order for enough to reach the target site(s) for a change in X-ray attenuation. However, multiple examples of CT molecular imaging are beginning to emerge. Fayad and colleagues (174) reported the use of iodinated nanoparticles for cellular imaging of macrophage infiltration in atherosclerotic plaques in rabbits using MDCT. Also, a number of research groups have recently reported the use of targeted gold nanoparticles (known to induce strong X-ray attenuation) with CT for imaging cancer at the cellular and molecular levels (244, 340). For example, one group reported the synthesis and evaluation of CT-compatible gold nanoparticles functionalized with prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) RNA aptamers (210). The results demonstrated a fourfold higher uptake of PSMA-aptamer conjugated gold nanoparticles in the LnCaP (human prostate adenocarcinoma) cells overexpressing PSMA compared with the PC3 (another type of prostate epithelial) cells devoid of PSMA. In addition, a therapeutic drug against prostate cancer (doxorubicin) was loaded into the targeted nanoparticles, and it was shown that they were significantly more effective against the LnCaP cells compared with the negative control PC3 cells. While the results from these preliminary molecular imaging CT studies are promising, further work is needed to explore the possibilities of CT molecular imaging and its applications.

Overall, CT is an important clinical and preclinical imaging modality that is widely available, relatively cost effective, and highly efficient. Although the molecular imaging capabilities of CT are yet to be fully explored, it remains an extremely useful morphological tool. When combined with PET, SPECT, optical or MRI modalities, CT provides an anatomical reference frame for the biochemical and physiological findings that are afforded by these other imaging instruments.

2. MRI

MRI is a highly versatile imaging modality (28) that uses a powerful magnet and radiofrequency (RF) energy to visualize the internal structure and soft tissue morphology of the body. The underlying principles of MRI are similar to those
for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and allow imaging of atomic nuclei within the body.

A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF MRI. Nuclear particles (protons and neutrons) are in constant motion and spin about their axes, which gives rise to angular momentum. Atoms with an equal number of protons and neutrons have a net angular momentum of zero, whereas atoms with an unequal number possess a specific spin angular momentum. Along with angular momentum, certain nuclei can also produce a small magnetic field (due to the mass, spin, and charge of protons). This magnetic field is termed magnetic moment and, like angular momentum, is a vector quantity. The ratio of angular momentum to magnetic moment is known as the gyromagnetic ratio and is unique for each magnetically active nucleus (102).

During an MRI scan, a living subject is placed inside a magnet (FIGURE 4A). As described above, certain atomic nuclei (in the body or elsewhere) have special spin characteristics and magnetic properties. When these nuclei are placed in an external magnetic field, they behave like magnetic dipoles and align either parallel or anti-parallel to the field. The MR signal is generated from the very small net difference in the number of magnetic dipoles that align parallel versus those that align anti-parallel. For example, a 1.5-T external magnetic field gives rise to a net difference in parallel versus anti-parallel spins, or “polarization” of only 1 part per million at 37°C. As a result, MRI has an extremely weak signal and very poor sensitivity ($10^{-3}-10^{-5}$ M) (285), which is orders of magnitude lower than that of radionuclide ($10^{-10}-10^{-12}$) and optical ($10^{-3}-10^{-16}$) imaging (236). MRI signal is proportional to 1) concentration of nuclei, 2) gyromagnetic ratio (or “gamma factor,” “g factor”), and 3) polarization. Additionally, MRI signal is dependent on the natural abundance of the “magnetically active” isotope of the element. For example, all $^1$H nuclei are magnetically active, but only 1% of carbon exists in the form that is detectable by MRI ($^{13}$C). Therefore, imaging of nuclei such as carbon often requires introduction of an exogenous contrast agent that has been enriched to 100% abundance (see below). For this reason, the most common nucleus for clinical MRI is $^1$H. Anatomical images are formed using the hydrogen in tissue water, which is at a concentration of 80 M in the body. Other nuclei that can be imaged include $^{31}$P and $^{13}$C, and also $^{23}$Na, $^{19}$F, and $^{17}$O. Imaging of these nuclei (in particular $^{13}$C) is usually achieved through magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), in which there have been significant advances over the past decade with the advent of hyperpolarized MRS, described below.

Some of the key components of an MRI scanner are depicted in FIGURE 4A. In general, an MRI scanner is com-

![FIGURE 4. Small animal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). A: schematic showing the basic principles of this technique. In general, an MRI scanner is comprised of a set of embedded coils: one coil that generates the main relatively homogenous magnetic field, “gradient coils” that produce variations in the magnetic field in the X, Y, and Z directions that are used to localize the source of the MR signal, and finally “RF coils” that generate an RF pulse responsible for altering the alignment of the magnetic dipoles. During an MRI scan, a living subject is placed inside a magnet (in this example the subject is a mouse). Unpaired nuclear spins within the body, known as magnetic dipoles, either align parallel or anti-parallel with the direction of the magnetic field. The MR signal is generated from the very small net difference in the number of parallel versus anti-parallel spins (slight majority of spins align parallel). B: MRI images from a study evaluating a novel MRI molecular imaging agent in a mouse model of glioma (402a). The top image is a sagittal cross-section MRI of the mouse, whereby the tumor is highlighted with an arrow. The bottom panel displays coronal MR images of the same mouse imaged 3 h postinjection of either nontargeting (left) or chlorotoxin-targeting (peptide found on surface of gliomas) superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoparticles. The MR contrast enhancement of the tumor is significantly greater for the mouse injected with targeted SPIO nanoparticles. These images demonstrate the advantage of using targeted MRI imaging agents for visualizing biochemical targets in living subjects. [From Sun et al. (402a), with permission from John Wiles and Sons.]
prised of a set of embedded coils: one coil that generates the main relatively homogenous magnetic field; “gradient coils” that produce variations in the magnetic field in the X, Y, and Z directions that are used to localize the source of the MR signal; and finally “RF coils” that generate an RF pulse responsible for altering the alignment of the magnetic dipoles (FIGURE 4A). After every RF pulse, the magnetic dipoles in the subject are “tipped” away from equilibrium and subsequently undergo two forms of relaxation back towards equilibrium known as spin-lattice (or longitudinal) relaxation and spin-spin (or transverse) relaxation. The contrast between different tissues in MR images is generated due to the different relaxation times of each tissue [longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) relaxation times]. For instance, proton nuclei in fat and hydrocarbon-rich environments have relatively short relaxation times compared with those in aqueous environments (276). These differences are exploited by modifying the timing parameters of a set of RF pulses (collectively known as a pulse sequence), thereby preferentially sensitizing image contrast to differences in either T1 or T2. For example, when an image is T1 weighted, a subacute hemorrhage will appear brighter than normal brain tissue, since hemorrhage has a shorter T1 than brain tissue. For an exhaustive description of the general theory of MRI, please refer to References 39, 75, 242.

B) DCE-MRI, DW-MRI, AND BOLD. Apart from providing detailed anatomical information, MRI is capable of providing physiological information via various specialized MRI techniques. Most MRI techniques rely on different pulse sequences to generate different information about the tissues being interrogated. A few examples of specialized MRI techniques include dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI), diffusion-weighted MRI (DW-MRI), and blood oxygen level dependent MRI (BOLD-MRI). These techniques are able to assess the physiological characteristics of the vasculature (DCE-MRI), the degree of tissue cellularity (DW-MRI), and the oxygen status of red blood cells in perfused regions of tissue (BOLD-MRI).

DCE-MRI involves the dynamic acquisition of T1-weighted MR images before, during, and after the administration of an appropriate contrast agent. MRI contrast agents produce their contrast by disturbing the local magnetic field in which they are placed. While low-molecular-weight paramagnetic gadolinium (Gd) complexes are the most common contrast agents for DCE-MRI, superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoparticles can also be used (321) (see sect. IIIE). Gd- and SPIO-based contrast agents shorten the T1 or T2 relaxation times, respectively, of neighboring protons, leading to measurable alterations in MR signal (positive contrast for Gd and negative contrast for SPIOs) (416). Some of the main applications of DCE-MRI include physiological imaging of tumor microvascularization (321), lymphatics (421), and inflammation (158).

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a promising technique (73) that is capable of detecting the apparent diffusion coefficient of tissue water (ADC). Tissues with high cellularity display low ADC as the movement of water molecules in the interstitial space is restricted, whereas tissues with low cellularity, for example, necrotic tissue, have a high ADC. Since the diffusion of water molecules is affected in numerous pathological conditions, including tumor development and ischemic stroke, DWI can play a major role in diagnosis and clinical management of these conditions. For example, in the case of ischemic stroke, DWI can be used to identify regions containing salvageable tissue, and thus determine whether thrombolysis may be effective. In addition, DWI can provide accurate estimates of the time of stroke onset and can discriminate between old versus new strokes (366). DWI is also proving its use in other applications such as cancer imaging (54, 295, 408) in detecting response to therapy.

BOLD imaging is an extremely useful functional MRI (fMRI) technique, which is used extensively to study brain function in normal and disease states (108). Instead of using an exogenous contrast agent to image and gain insight about in vivo physiology, BOLD works by measuring regional alterations in endogenous deoxygenated hemoglobin. Since deoxyhemoglobin is paramagnetic and oxyhemoglobin is not, regions containing higher levels of oxygenated blood will appear more intense on T2 weighted MRI images. In the context of imaging brain function, it is believed that an increase in neuronal activity within a given brain region leads to a net increase in the amount of oxygenated blood flow in that region, and thus BOLD imaging can be used to identify activated regions and hence obtain information on brain function. BOLD has been used to study many aspects of brain function (and dysfunction), including memory (484), sensory perception (13), cognition (105), and depression (387). It should be noted that BOLD is not only used for imaging brain function but can also be used to measure differences in deoxyhemoglobin levels in other tissues (e.g., kidney), as well as to assess the oxygenation status of red blood cells in perfused regions of tumors (55).

While these specialized MRI techniques are able to provide important physiological information, they are limited by the fact they are relatively nonspecific and are unable to provide biochemical or receptor-related information. To address this issue, responsive Gd-based molecular imaging agents (79) were developed, and while they are capable of sensing metabolite levels and bulk tissue alterations in pH, redox, metal ions, and oxygenation, they too have their limitations. Such limitations are mainly concerned with quantitation and sensitivity, and only a handful of responsive MRI agents have shown promise in living subjects to date. More recently, chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) and paramagnetic-CEST (PARACEST) contrast agents have emerged as promising tools for probing specific
molecular and physiological events (mainly pH sensing) using MR imaging (79, 482) (see Table 2 for examples). These agents are still in their early stages of development, and thus have their challenges (148), and most have not been evaluated in living subjects.

C) TARGETED CONTRAST AGENTS. Another important advance in MRI has been the development of “targeted” MRI contrast agents (targeted Gd-complexes or SPIOs) for visualizing molecular markers and their associated biochemical events. Some specific examples of targeted MRI agents and their molecular imaging applications include SPIO nanoparticles functionalized with specific peptides for detection of early atherosclerotic events (199), glioma imaging using SPIOs functionalized with peptides specific for matrix metalloproteinase-2 (402a) (Figure 4), and imaging αvβ3 integrins using Gd-containing liposomes (389). For further information on targeted SPIOs and Gd-based imaging agents for molecular MRI imaging, please see Reference 313.

D) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. MRI has a number of important advantages compared with other imaging modalities including 1) no need for ionizing radiation; 2) unlimited depth of penetration; 3) high spatial resolution (clinical: ~1 mm compared with 5–7 mm for PET, preclinical: micrometers, as opposed to millimeter resolution achievable via optical and radionuclide imaging; Table 1); 4) unparalleled soft tissue contrast, superior to that attainable with CT; 5) concurrent collection of physiological or metabolic data (using, e.g., DCE-MRI and MRS, respectively) with high-resolution anatomical images, and even molecular information when used in conjunction with targeted MRI-compatible imaging agents; and 6) excellent clinical utility.

A major limitation of MRI is its extremely poor sensitivity compared with other molecular imaging modalities. This low sensitivity can lead to relatively long acquisition times, and in the case of physiological/molecular MRI, is the reason why large amounts of imaging agents are often required to obtain an adequate signal. These large amounts of imaging agents (i.e., many log orders higher compared with that needed for PET or SPECT) can be problematic due to the likelihood of altering the biological system of interest through pharmacological effects (generally proportional to the mass of agent administered). Toxicity issues associated with administrating large doses of MR imaging agents are also an important consideration. For this reason, MRI molecular imaging is sometimes thought to be more suited for targets within the vasculature, as then the imaging agent does not have to reach an extravascular target which would require a greater injected mass of imaging agent.

Overall, MRI is an extremely useful imaging modality. Although it does not have the most favorable sensitivity, and is relatively expensive, its ultra high spatial resolution has revolutionized clinical medical diagnostics and has also proven useful in the basic research setting, enabling the interrogation of molecular processes in single cells, perfused organs, and intact living rodent models of disease (33).

E) MRS. Since initial observations in 1946 and the simultaneous discovery of nuclear induction (29), NMR spectroscopy has become an essential element of every chemist’s tool belt (15). The fundamental principles of NMR spectroscopy involve applying electromagnetic pulses to a sample in an external magnetic field and using a probe to measure the resulting RF signals produced (132, 299). These measurements provide a spectrum of peaks, representing the chemical composition of the sample. NMR is routinely used as a nondestructive, analytical technique for probing and deciphering the molecular structure, purity, and chemical components present in biological samples, synthesized compounds, and/or natural products in a laboratory setting.

In the clinical setting, NMR is referred to as MRS due to the negative connotations surrounding the word “nuclear.” The key difference between MRI and MRS is the inclusion of gradients to allow acquisition of position data during MRI (299). MRS, on the other hand, generates a spectrum of peaks with different “chemical shifts” indicative of molecular building blocks, mainly lipids and metabolites, in a particular voxel of interest.

Due to the sensitivity constraints described above, MRS measurements of metabolite protons suffer a reduction in signal of ~1,000-fold due to the lower concentration of these molecules relative to water. As a result, the spatial resolution of this information is killed; MRS is often performed with single voxels of 1–8 cm³, and MRSI with a resolution of around 1 cm³. However, MRS can easily be incorporated into existing MRI examinations, meaning the biochemical information obtained using MRS can be combined with the usual high-resolution anatomical images acquired with MRI. Furthermore, MRS of endogenous metabolites is often limited to applications in brain, breast, and prostate, where good magnetic field homogeneity and the use of local acquisition coils means high signal-to-noise ratio can be achieved.

Despite these limitations, MRS can be used to detect spectral properties of highly abundant endogenous molecules such as choline, creatine, lactate, glutamate (and glutamine), lipids, and alanine to identify regions of abnormality/disease. For example, choline (a marker of membrane phospholipid metabolism) and choline-containing metabolites are notably increased in nearly all types of cancer (132), and thus MRS of these molecules can be used as a detection method for in vivo (where subjects are placed in an MRI scanner equipped with MRS software) or ex vivo (where biopsies are taken) applications. Recently, it was shown that the levels of choline and choline-containing
Table I. Features of available and emerging imaging modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Depth of Penetration</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Multiplexing Capability</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Safety Profile</th>
<th>Used Clinically</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computed tomography (CT)</strong></td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>50–200 μm (preclinical)</td>
<td>Limitless</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Could be possible</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)</strong></td>
<td>Minutes-hours</td>
<td>25–100 μm (preclinical)</td>
<td>Limitless</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$ M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>No ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positron emission tomography (PET)</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-minutes</td>
<td>1–2 mm (preclinical)</td>
<td>Limitless</td>
<td>$10^{-11}$ to $10^{-12}$ M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single photon emission tomography (SPECT)</strong></td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>1–2 mm (preclinical)</td>
<td>Limitless</td>
<td>$10^{-10}$ to $10^{-11}$ M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultrasound (US)</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-minutes</td>
<td>0.01–0.1 mm for superficial (few mm depth) applications</td>
<td>mm-cm</td>
<td>Excellent when microbubbles are used (~10-12 M)</td>
<td>Not yet</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Good safety profile</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optical fluorescence imaging</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-minutes</td>
<td>2–3 mm</td>
<td>&lt;1 cm</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$ to $10^{-12}$ M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Good safety profile but depends on fluorophore used and mass needed</td>
<td>Emerging clinical utility (see text)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optical bioluminescence imaging</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-minutes</td>
<td>3–5 mm</td>
<td>1–2 cm</td>
<td>$10^{-15}$ to $10^{-17}$ M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Good safety profile</td>
<td>Low potential for clinical translation (see text)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface-enhanced raman scattering (SERS)</strong></td>
<td>Minutes-days</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>–5 mm</td>
<td>$10^{-12}$ to $10^{-15}$ M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Limited clinical applications (see text)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photoacoustic imaging (PAI)</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-minutes</td>
<td>~10 μm to 1 mm</td>
<td>6 mm to 5 cm</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Good safety profile but depends on imaging agent used and mass needed</td>
<td>Clinically Translatable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intravital microscopy (IVM)</strong></td>
<td>Seconds-days</td>
<td>1–10 μm</td>
<td>~700 μm</td>
<td>$10^{-15}$ to $10^{-17}$ M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, Not determined.
compounds reflect tumor grade in gliomas with greater accuracy than regular contrast enhancement using MRI alone (376). Senaratne et al. (375) found that MRS of choline in living subjects might also be useful for studying and detecting central nervous system (CNS) conditions such as bipolar disorder. Their studies demonstrated that choline-containing compounds were dramatically elevated in the hippocampus and orbitofrontal cortex in bipolar patients compared with that of age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects (375).

Although both of these examples (and many of the applications of MRS) do not require the use of an exogenous imaging agent to visualize molecular processes in living subjects, one can implement the use of an external imaging agent with this technique. This is commonly employed for MRS of non-proton nuclei, which in addition to the low concentration of metabolites in the body, may also suffer from low natural abundance (e.g., 1% of carbon exists as 13C) and low gyromagnetic ratio (e.g., 13C has a ratio one-fourth that of 1H). A specific example is the administration of [1-13C]glucose, in which the carbon at the 1 position in glucose has been enriched to make 100% 13C, to enable in vivo observation and evaluation of glycolysis (a pathway that is significantly altered in cancer and certain brain diseases) (116, 132, 298). Even with these infusions, long acquisition times and low spatial resolution mean that to date, MRS of non-proton MRI has found limited clinical application. Recently, a method to overcome these profound sensitivity limitations has emerged, namely, hyperpolarized MRS, which is now entering clinical trials and will be discussed below.

F) HYPERPOLARIZED MRS. Efforts aimed at improving the sensitivity of MRS with non-proton nuclei have recently given rise to a technique called hyperpolarized MRS, capable of enhancing the signal to noise of MRS by over 10,000-fold (11, 271). Hyperpolarization involves forcing nuclei into artificially produced nonequilibrium distributions in an external system to the MRI magnet (355), creating a much larger net difference in the population of spins in the parallel versus anti-parallel states. This dramatically increases the polarization of the spins relative to that in the thermal equilibrium state to over 100,000 parts per million, and enables rapid acquisition and dynamic mapping of biological processes in vivo. There are several ways in which hyperpolarization can be achieved, including 1) optical pumping, 2) dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP), and 3) para-hydrogen-induced polarization (PHIP). DNP, however, is the only method that has been demonstrated in a practical situation for in vivo and clinical imaging applications. Once the sample has been produced in a DNP system, the “hyperpolarization” decays away due to longitudinal relaxation, and thus must be used within the timeframe of ~5T1 (5 times the longitudinal relaxation time T1; this is usually accepted as the point at which the SNR becomes too low to be usable). While this places a strict limitation on the useful imaging window, it creates a significant benefit for visualizing fast biological processes, such as glycolytic flux and redox state in tumors.

Thus far, hyperpolarized MRS has mostly been performed with endogenous compounds that have been labeled with 13C, the most successful being [1-13C]pyruvate, a key intermediate in glycolysis. [1-13C]pyruvate satisfies the criteria for a successful hyperpolarized substrate due to its long T1 relaxation time (up to 60s) and rapid metabolism in vivo. [1-13C]pyruvate has been extensively tested in animal models and has been shown to: correlate with tumor grade in prostate cancer (5), detect early development of hepatocellular carcinoma before anatomical manifestation (172), as well as detect response to both cytotoxic (71) and vascular targeted (33) agents as early as 6 h after drug administration. Recently, the first clinical study of a hyperpolarized small molecule, [1-13C]pyruvate, was performed to detect prostate cancer (5).

A wide range of hyperpolarized 13C MRS probes have now been demonstrated in preclinical models, including [1-13C]urea for perfusion imaging (11); [1,4,13C2]fumarate, as an early marker of cellular necrosis (120); [13C]bicarbonate, as a measure of extracellular pH (119); [1-13C]dehydroascorbic acid, to detect tumor redox status (32); and [2,13C]-fructose, sensitive to both glycolytic and pentose phosphate pathway flux (205). For further details on the range of imaging probes available and their current status, consult the aforementioned reviews, as well as References 118, 233.

G) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF MRS AND HYPERPOLARIZED MRS. MRS is a highly valuable technique as it enables molecular imaging of biochemical processes, either using proton MRS, which does not require an exogenous agent, or using hyperpolarization to achieve adequate signals with non-proton MRS. The advantages of not needing an external imaging agent are 1) there is no risk of perturbing the processes you want to study, and 2) time and resources do not need to be spent on designing, synthesizing, and evaluating an imaging agent. Most of the contrast agents for MRS and hyperpolarization are endogenous molecules whereby particular carbon positions have been enriched for the “magnetically active” 13C isotope. This reduces the risk of toxic or immunogenic responses, but they are often introduced at supraphysiological concentrations so toxicity effects should still be evaluated. Another advantage of proton MRS is that it does not require any new instrumentation, but instead can be performed at the same time as a regular MRI study using a modern MRI scanner (after certain MRS software has been installed). Since MRI and MRS are complimentary techniques (the former provides anatomical and physiological data and the latter provides bio-
chemical information), combining the two is extremely advantageous.

The limitations of proton MRS include the fact that any arbitrary process cannot be imaged. Endogenous molecules and/or administered exogenous agents need to be present in very high concentrations to be detectable using proton MRS. The poor sensitivity of MRS can be partly resolved by increasing the magnetic field strength and also through the use of hyperpolarization techniques to image non-proton nuclei. The advantages of hyperpolarized MRS include its enhanced sensitivity (although still not better than that attainable using PET or SPECT), and its lack of background signal, which enable dynamic imaging of metabolic processes. The use of hyperpolarized MRS, however, is limited by the need for additional hardware including an additional “hyperpolarizer” magnet and the strict criteria for selecting contrast agents that satisfy the demands of a successful hyperpolarized probe, including the need for rapid delivery and metabolism within the window of the relaxation time.

While still in its early stages of development, hyperpolarized MRS is showing great potential in the imaging arena and has recently been demonstrated in the clinic. With continued efforts geared toward generating a range of new hyperpolarized imaging agents, and by addressing limitations of this technique (which is already in progress), hyperpolarized MRS is predicted to evolve as a field in and of itself, and will more than likely play an important role in molecular imaging in the near future.

3. PET and SPECT

PET and SPECT are radionuclide molecular imaging techniques that enable evaluation of biochemical changes and levels of molecular targets within a living subject. Both techniques have limitless depth of penetration and enable whole body imaging of molecular targets/processes with high sensitivity (TABLE 1).

A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF PET. To image a certain molecular target using PET, one needs to first identify and synthesize a radiolabeled imaging agent that is specific and selective for the target of interest. Following this, a nanomolar amount of the chosen radiolabeled agent is administered to the patient/subject, typically via an intravenous injection (see FIGURE 5B). The radioactivity is then traced through the body and its distribution determined from scans obtained with a PET camera.
PET requires the use of a cyclotron to generate short-lived radionuclides such as $^{18}$F ($t_{1/2} = 109.8$ min), $^{64}$Cu ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ h), and $^{76}$Br ($t_{1/2} = 16.2$ h), or ultra short-lived radionuclides such as $^{13}$C ($t_{1/2} = 20.3$ min), $^{15}$N ($t_{1/2} = 10$ min), and $^{18}$O ($t_{1/2} = 2.04$ min) (143). PET takes advantage of the unique properties of radioactive isotopes (like those mentioned above) that decay via positron emission, otherwise known as beta-plus decay. Nuclei that decay in this manner have an excess of protons, making them unstable. This instability is rectified by transforming a proton into a neutron, a positron, and a neutron. The newly formed short-lived positron is ejected from the nucleus, and due to inelastic interactions with electrons in surrounding tissue, rapidly loses kinetic energy causing it to slow down and collide with an electron, an event known as annihilation. Due to the conservation laws of energy and momentum, the combined mass of the positron and electron is converted into two photons, each with energy of 511 keV, traveling at opposite directions (333) (FIGURE 5A). These high-energy photons lie within the gamma-ray region of the electromagnetic spectrum and thus display energy 10-fold higher than X-rays, increasing their chance of leaving the body to ensure external detection.

PET detectors take the form of a closed ring, or set of rings, surrounding the subject to be imaged. These rings are designed to detect annihilation events (gamma-rays) and convert the resulting electrical signals into sinograms that are ultimately reconstructed into tomographic images (FIGURE 5B), following dead time correction, detector normalization, subtraction of random coincidences, attenuation correction, and scatter correction (455).

In the clinic, PET is mainly used to image cancer through the use of the $^{18}$F-labeled imaging agent $[^{18}$F]-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose ($[^{18}$F]FDG) (see sect. IVA). Whole body clinical PET $[^{18}$F]FDG scans are routinely performed to locate, stage, and monitor cancer. $[^{18}$F]FDG, first synthesized by Ido et al. in 1978 (176), is an analog of glucose whereby the 2-carbon hydroxyl group of glucose has been substituted for a radioactive fluorine atom ($^{18}$F). The extent of $[^{18}$F]FDG accumulation is thought to reflect glucose transporter activity, hexokinase II activity, and more generally, the metabolic requirements of cells (see FIGURE 13 for mechanism of $[^{18}$F]FDG). Since the metabolic demands of cancer cells are usually very different from most normal cells, and hence $[^{18}$F]FDG accumulation in cancer cells is significantly higher than that observed in normal cells, it can serve as an effective marker of cancer (FIGURE 5B).

In addition to its clinical utility, PET has a wide range of applications in the basic research and preclinical arenas. For example, PET can be used to investigate basic physiological and molecular mechanisms of human disease through the use of appropriate radiolabeled imaging agents and rodent models (339, 358, 396). Furthermore, PET can be used to evaluate novel radiolabeled PET imaging agents, effectiveness of new therapies, and biodistribution of novel pharmaceuticals in suitable animal models. When imaging rodents, or other small animals with PET, a dedicated small animal PET scanner is required. These miniaturized PET scanners typically have spatial resolutions of $\sim$1–2 mm and sensitivities of $\sim$10$^{-11}$–10$^{-12}$ M.

B) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF SPECT. SPECT uses nuclides such as $^{99m}$Tc ($t_{1/2} = 6$ h), $^{123}$I ($t_{1/2} = 13.3$ h), and $^{111}$In ($t_{1/2} = 2.8$ days), which decay via the emission of single gamma rays with differing energies (FIGURE 6). As the nuclides used in SPECT differ from those used in PET, a completely unique set-up is required to collect and reconstruct the data. SPECT employs the use of a gamma camera that rotates around the subject to capture data from numerous positions to obtain a tomographic reconstruction. Since position detection of photons in SPECT does not convey adequate information concerning the origin of the photon, it is not possible to define a “line of response,” as done with PET. To address this issue, a lead or tungsten collimator is added to the modality so as to exclude any diagonally incident photons. The disadvantage of this is that the collimator rejects most of the photons that are not traveling at right angles to the crystal, so the sensitivity of SPECT is several orders of magnitude lower than PET. However, recent advances in micropinhole apertures have meant that high-resolution SPECT images are possible (19) with reasonable tradeoff between sensitivity, field of view (FOV), and spatial resolution.

Although the majority of clinically available SPECT compounds do not provide specific biochemical information, SPECT remains the most commonly used nuclear medicine modality in the clinic (236). Some examples of clinical SPECT imaging agents that target molecular processes include the radiolabeled small molecule $[^{99m}$Tc]TRODAT-1 for dopamine transporter (DAT) imaging (173), peptide $[^{111}$In]-DPTA-octreotide for imaging the somatostatin receptor [intimately associated with small cell lung cancers (SCLC)] (423), and $[^{99m}$Tc]-Annexin V for imaging apoptosis (22). In the last few years, hand-held devices for both PET and SPECT have been developed and used for intraoperative applications (137, 433), and in both cases have proven successful in guiding excisions of various tumors. Van Haren and Fitzgerald (433) reported that $[^{111}$In]-DPTA-octreotide in combination with an intraoperative hand-held gamma camera device helped identify a nonfunctional neuroendocrine tumor that other techniques, including visualizing dopamine transporters in the rat brain.
with $^{[123}I]$-FP-CIT (307), imaging mice bearing glioma (U87MG) xenografts with $^{[125}I]$-c-Met binding peptide (211), assessing cell death in ischemic-reperfused rat hearts using $^{99m}$Tc-C2A-glutathione-S-transferase (GST) (254), and imaging GRPR in mice bearing human prostate xenografts using $^{[99m}$Tc$]HABN (10a) (see FIGURE 6B), to name a few. In 2005, Beekman et al. (19) reported the development of a new rodent SPECT instrument, called the U-SPECT-I, enabling imaging at submillimeter spatial resolutions. Four years later, the same research group published work on a second generation U-SPECT, called the U-SPECT-II, allowing imaging at resolutions less than half a millimeter (431).

### C) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF PET AND SPECT

Since biochemical changes generally occur before anatomical changes in disease, PET and SPECT have a clear diagnostic advantage over anatomical techniques such as classical CT and MRI. However, PET and SPECT have a key disadvantage, namely, the lack of an anatomical reference frame. This shortcoming has recently been addressed by combining these instruments with either CT or MRI, producing a single scanner capable of accurately identifying molecular events with precise correlation to anatomical findings (334). This type of approach is known as “multimodality imaging,” whereby two or more modalities are used in combination to compensate for the weaknesses of each imaging system whilst exploiting their individual strengths.

Another limitation of radionuclide imaging techniques is their safety profile. Since PET and SPECT both require the use of ionizing radiation, there is a limit to how many scans a subject can have per year. For the general public, the maximum exposure is 5 mSv (millisieverts) per year, whereas radiation workers can be exposed up to 50 mSv over the same period. This needs to be considered when planning clinical studies.

The key strengths of PET include its excellent sensitivity ($10^{-11}$–$10^{-12}$ M), limitless depth of penetration, and quantitation capabilities (TABLE 1). While it is a relatively costly technique and its spatial resolution is limited compared with MRI, it is extremely flexible in terms of the types of imaging agents that can be used to bind specific molecular targets. Imaging multiple different targets simultaneously (“multiplexing”) is not yet possible with PET because isotopes that are positron emitters result in the production of two gamma rays with energies of 511 keV. On the other hand, SPECT has some multiplexing capabilities due to different nuclides giving rise to gamma rays with differing energies. This is advantageous when one wishes to image multiple biochemical targets simultaneously.

Other advantages of SPECT (like PET) are its high sensitivity ($10^{-10}$–$10^{-11}$ M) and limitless depth of penetration. While SPECT is less expensive and more widely available than PET, it is generally less sensitive and has a lower spatial resolution (8–10 mm, compared with 5–7 mm seen with clinical PET) (27). PET scans have a higher count rate and hence generate images containing less noise that are ultimately easier to interpret. In addition, PET provides the opportunity of attenuation correction, affording quantitative data, whereas this is not possible with SPECT (117, 429).

Unlike MRI and optical imaging techniques, both PET and SPECT only require small mass amounts of imaging agent (nanogram to milligram range), and for this reason radio-
nuclide-based imaging agents used in PET/SPECT studies are usually nontoxic and are unlikely to exert pharmacological effects.

Overall, PET and SPECT are extremely valuable imaging modalities for investigating molecular processes in living intact subjects/patients. Currently both PET and SPECT are being used clinically, and as a research tool, to image a vast range of biological processes and disease states (109, 333).

4. US

Medical US is an imaging tool that exploits the properties and behavior of high-frequency sound waves as they travel through biological tissue. US is a unique modality in the sense that it can be used both for diagnostic imaging and as a therapeutic tool.

A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF US. Clinical diagnostic US scanners typically use sound frequencies between 1 and 20 MHz (245). During an US study, a transducer (also called a probe) sends and receives sound waves (FIGURE 7A). In simple terms, the transducer converts electrical signals to US waves, the US waves enter the body, and some sound waves are reflected back to the transducer where they are detected and converted into electrical signals. These signals are then processed by a computer and displayed as an image.

A basic overview of a typical US study is outlined below.

1) Short pulses of high-frequency sound are transmitted into the subject at recorded time intervals by a transducer.

2) Sound waves travel through the body and reflect or produce echoes when faced with different tissue interfaces.

3) Reflected “sound signature” is analyzed. The distance and direction of any given reflection, with respect to the transducer, can be calculated by using the speed of sound in tissue (5,005 ft./s or 1,540 m/s), the time of arrival and the axis of the sound wave. Amplitude and frequency of the reflections also need to be recorded and assessed. This is important when constructing the two-dimensional image. All of these properties depict information on the internal structures being imaged.

4) Analyzed data (distances and intensities of reflections) are used to produce a two-dimensional image

Resolution of US improves significantly with higher frequencies, but at the cost of depth of penetration. This decrease in depth of penetration is due to the fact that high-frequency sound waves possess shorter wavelengths, meaning they are more likely to interact with matter and slow down, thus reducing their traveling distance. Depending on the subject and on the required data, the imager needs to consider resolution versus depth.

B) US USING TARGETED MICROBUBBLES. US, like MRI and CT, has historically been used as a morphological imaging modality. Contrast agents, in the form of gas-filled microbubbles typically coated with lipids or biopolymers, and a few micrometers in diameter, are available for enhancing the reflection signal-to-noise ratio for blood. These microbubbles

![FIGURE 7. Small animal ultrasound (US). A: schematic illustrating the general principles of molecular imaging using US. After administration of a targeted US imaging agent (e.g., targeted microbubbles), high-frequency sound waves are transmitted into the subject at recorded time intervals by a transducer. The sound wave reflections produced due to traveling through the subject are recorded, converted into electrical signals, analyzed, and constructed (using a computer) into an image representative of the subject’s internal structures and the location of targeted imaging agents. The instrument needs to be coupled to the subject via an appropriate coupling medium. B: US images demonstrating the significant advantage of using microbubbles targeted to vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2 (VEGFR2) compared with nontargeted microbubbles for visualizing tumor angiogenesis in mice (437). [From Willmann et al. (461), Copyright Radiological Society of North America.]](http://physrev.physiology.org/)

Key Strengths
- Relatively inexpensive
- Quantitative data
- No ionizing radiation
- Good temporal resolution
- Excellent sensitivity with microbubbles
- Clinical utility

Key Limitations
- Limited depth of penetration
- Primarily anatomical information
- No multiplexing
- Limited molecular imaging applications
- Limited to imaging soft-tissues only (no bone or air structures)
- Coupling of instrument to subject
are several orders of magnitude more reflective than normal blood, predominately due to their ability to resonate within the US field (246). Although these contrast agents have provided useful imaging data, they do not enable imaging of specific molecular events. However, by attaching certain peptides, antibodies, or other targeting moieties to the surface of microbubbles (effectively functionalizing them) these particles can be used to target specific biochemical processes, thus enabling US to be used for molecular imaging purposes (84, 85, 462).

The superior acoustic backscatter response of microbubbles can improve the sensitivity of US significantly, allowing detection of single micron-sized particles (218). Because of their size and inability to escape the vasculature, microbubbles developed for molecular imaging are predominately targeted towards intravascular markers such as antigens like endothelial cell receptors, blood cell markers, or the blood protein fibrin (21).

Targeted microbubbles are currently being used in preclinical investigations of both inflammation and angiogenesis. For example, endothelial cell adhesion molecules have been attached to microbubble shells for visualization of P-selectin, providing insight on molecular aspects of inflammation (250). In addition, moieties targeted toward specific angiogenic markers, including monoclonal antibodies against murine alpha V integrins, have been conjugated to microbubbles for observation of angiogenesis (239). Willmann et al. (461) attached anti-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2) antibodies to microbubbles, for targeting VEGFR2, an angiogenic marker upregulated on endothelial cells of tumor blood vessels. US imaging studies were conducted using either targeted microbubbles or control microbubbles in tumor-bearing nude mice. Imaging results demonstrated a significantly higher average intensity in images from studies using targeted microbubbles compared with studies using only control microbubbles (461) (see FIGURE 7B). Following these results, Willmann et al. (460) investigated the use of a dual-targeted microbubble for visualization of angiogenesis by conjugating two different antibodies known to bind different markers of angiogenesis, VEGFR2 and αβ3 integrin, to the shell of perfluorocarbon-filled microbubbles. Results from these US imaging studies, using human ovarian cancer xenograft tumor model in mice, showed that dual-targeted microbubbles provided superior images compared with that of microbubbles targeted at one or the other angiogenic marker (either VEGFR2 and αβ3 integrin) (460).

The specific in vivo binding of targeted microbubbles, and their whole body biodistribution can be evaluated by techniques like intravital microscopy (IVM) and PET, respectively (459). In the near future molecular imaging using US is expected to transition from a preclinical modality to a fully clinically useful technique through the use of different clinically translatable instrumentation, such as endoscopes (345, 459), and novel US-compatible imaging agents that are able to extravasate (e.g., silica nanoparticles) (190).

C) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. The main strengths of US include its relative cost effectiveness, wide availability, portable nature, good temporal resolution, good safety profile (no ionizing radiation), and excellent sensitivity (picogram level) when microbubbles are used. On the other hand, US is limited by its difficulty in imaging structures that contain bone or air, due to the tendency of air and bone not to transmit sound waves and also by its limited depth of penetration (see TABLE 1). Other limitations of US include the fact that 1) the instrument needs to be coupled to the subject via a coupling gel, 2) it primarily affords anatomical information, and 3) multiplexing is not currently possible. Furthermore, microbubbles are very large and are limited to intravascular molecular targets, and at high US frequencies (still within the allowable limits) numerous microbubbles are damaged, in effect destroying the tool required for visualizing molecular events of interest (246).

Of all the molecular imaging agent-based techniques, targeted US imaging is one of the more recent, and due to being in its infancy will most likely see an enormous expansion in the near future.

5. Optical fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging

The visualization of cells and tissues using light has long been one of the most informative and facile approaches in basic research and medical diagnostic imaging. Since the construction of the first microscope in 1674 by Anton van Leeuwenhoek, much progress has been made (370). Useful microscopy techniques have been developed, including bright field, dark field, and differential interference contrast, the latter of which provides notable depth of resolution and three-dimensional optical effects. Over the past two decades, the introduction of fluorescence microscopy has revolutionized the field of cellular biology. Fluorescence microscopy typically involves the use of genetically encoded fluorescent reporters, or fluorescent-tagged molecules, either of which can be used to facilitate the visualization of molecular events in living cells and other ex vivo tissue samples, in real time. Through the use of fluorescent microscopy, researchers have been able to observe intracellular protein-protein interactions and other micro-scale events (such as gene delivery and RNAi knockdown) in live intact cells. Please see the following references for detailed information on live cell fluorescence microscopy (65, 104, 301, 330, 399, 476).
Alongside the developments in live cell fluorescent microscopy, a number of macroscopic optical imaging modalities have emerged. These macroscopic imaging techniques enable noninvasive, repetitive, whole body imaging of living small animals using sizable fields of view (ranging from several millimeters to several centimeters). Two examples of such macroscopic optical techniques are fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging.

The required infrastructure for optical fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging, namely, a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera and a source of filtered light, is relatively inexpensive and easy to setup. For increasing sensitivity, a cooled CCD camera is also often used. Imaging sensitivities achievable with these techniques are typically very good (as low as picomolar to femtomolar concentrations; Table 1), and since they involve the detection of low-energy photons, as opposed to high-energy gamma rays detected by PET and SPECT, they are considered relatively safe. However, the use of low-energy photons means that the depth of penetration is limited to only a few centimeters, which makes it virtually impossible to study deep tissues in large animals or human subjects, unless one uses endoscopes or their equivalent to get closer to the tissue(s) of interest. This limitation cannot be overcome by using a higher intensity laser due to the risk of overheating the tissue/system being studied (80). Depth of penetration is not so much of a problem in mice and small animals (no bigger than a rabbit) due to their size. In fact, many of the commonly used fluorescent and bioluminescent imaging agents can be visualized in internal organ structures of small animals (80), making these optical imaging techniques suitable for preclinical research.

The following definitions for absorption, attenuation, scatter, and transmission apply in this section, unless the context otherwise requires.

Absorption is the process by which energy of light is transferred to a medium through which it is moving.

Attenuation is the progressive loss of intensity (of a signal, electric current, or other oscillation) through a particular medium.

Scatter is the diversion of (light, sound, or other) particles from a straight path due to variabilities in the medium they are traveling in.

Transmission is the process by which particles (light, sound, or other) pass through a medium without being scattered or absorbed.

**A) FLUORESCENCE IMAGING.** One of the most exciting and important developments in the field of imaging over the past decade has been the introduction and use of fluorescent proteins. Please refer to the following reference (65), published in 2010 in *Physiological Reviews*, for a detailed discussion regarding fluorescent proteins and their applications in imaging. In addition, please see our supplementary section for a brief summary of fluorescent proteins, their different spectral classes, ideal characteristics, and some key references concerning their use in molecular imaging. It is important to note that in addition to fluorescent proteins, fluorescent dyes, and other materials with fluorescent properties can also be used in fluorescent molecular imaging studies. We will now describe some of the key steps and considerations involved in conducting a fluorescence imaging experiment.

1) **Fluorescence imaging experiment.** When attempting to pass light through biological tissues there is one major challenge: overcoming attenuation and scattering of light. Absorption of light by hemoglobin and other molecules (such as water) may reduce fluorescent signals by a factor of ~10, per centimeter of tissue (67). Organs with high vascular content, such as the liver and spleen, have the lowest transmission, due to absorption of light by oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin. Skin and muscle, on the other hand, have high transmission of light. By taking advantage of the near-infrared (NIR) part of the electromagnetic spectrum, one can overcome the problem of scattering and attenuation to a certain extent. For example, by choosing fluorescent-based imaging agents with emission wavelengths between 650 and 900 nm, absorption of light by cytochromes, hemoglobin, and water within living organisms is lowest. Fluorescent imaging agents that emit light in this region can be imaged at greater depths and can increase the sensitivity of the technique by significantly decreasing the extent of tissue autofluorescence (fluorescent signal from tissues where no imaging agent is present) (80, 261).

A general molecular imaging study using fluorescence imaging in living intact subjects involves the following steps:

1) Selection of a fluorescent entity (e.g., a protein, chemical, dye, or other molecular entity possessing fluorescent properties) with suitable properties (e.g., emission wavelength, photostability) for the particular imaging study. In the case of using a fluorescent protein, such as GFP, one must either A) transfect the GFP reporter gene into a cell-line of interest, B) purchase a transgenic animal already expressing the reporter gene of interest, or C) fuse the cDNA of GFP to the gene for a target protein of interest. If, on the other hand, the fluorescent entity is a dye, it typically needs to be conjugated to a peptide/aptamer/antibody/nanoparticle designed to specifically target the protein or molecular pathway of interest prior to conducting the study. One also needs to decide whether an activatable imaging agent is better suited for the study (i.e., an imaging agent that only fluoresces or “switches on” after it interacts with its target).
2) Identification of an external light of appropriate wavelength for excitation of the chosen fluorescent molecule/protein/entity.

3) Injection of subject with suitable amount of the fluorescent imaging agent. If, however, a genetically encoded reporter protein is used for fluorescence, there is no need to administer an exogenous fluorescent imaging agent.

4) Application of external light source. This will trigger an immediate emission of light that will be lower in energy and longer in wavelength than the excitation light from places that the fluorescent imaging agent has accumulated in the subject. The emitted light then travels back out of the subject and is detected by a CCD camera. Additionally, it is important to allow sufficient time for unbound imaging agent to clear from the tissues of interest prior to imaging, to improve the signal to background ratio (the timing of this will vary depending on the pharmacokinetics of the imaging agent used).

5) Conversion of recorded light photons into electrons, and subsequent formation of an electric charge pattern that parallels the intensity of emitted photons through the use of semi-conductors.

6) Collection of received data (charge pattern) from the CCD, which is then fed into an output register and amplifier, whereby it is collated and analyzed by a camera controller that is connected to a computer system. A final image is usually formed showing number of photons from different regions of the subject.

As far as types of fluorescent entities go, there is a rapidly expanding list, that includes near IR Cy 5.5, the Alexa Dye Series, indocyanine green, quantum dots (QDots), and a variety of fluorescent proteins. Examples of fluorescent proteins include GFP, which emits light at 509 nm when excited by 395 nm, and red fluorescent protein (RFP), which emits light at 618 nm (see sect. VII for more information on fluorescent proteins). A recent addition to the list of fluorescent entities is the lanthanide-based imaging agents. These agents have a number of advantages over the aforementioned dyes and proteins, namely, their remarkably long luminescence lifetimes, and narrow, nonoverlapping emission bands, allowing quantitative, multiplexed measurements of the intracellular analyte concentrations (414) (see FIGURE 8).

Quantification of fluorescent optical imaging experiments is not entirely straightforward. Variations in the extent of light attenuation in different organs and tissues need to be accounted for (e.g., less light will be detected from the same number of Qdots/Cy 5.5 molecules/GFP in the liver compared with the lung) (263). Also, there are “surface weighting” problems, meaning that anything closer to the surface will appear brighter. These factors need to be taken into consideration when analyzing data and drawing conclusions from any given fluorescence study. A comparison of different optical instruments and their performance is detailed elsewhere (204, 297).

**FIGURE 8.** Optical fluorescence molecular imaging. A: schematic showing the general principles of molecular imaging using optical fluorescence. Following the administration of a fluorescent imaging agent (fluorophore, fluorescent protein, QDot etc.), an excitation light of appropriate wavelength is used to illuminate the subject. In the case of using a protein such as GFP, one must first transfect the GFP reporter gene into the cell-line of interest or one can purchase a transgenic animal already expressing the reporter gene of interest, and then illuminate the subject. This leads to excitation of the fluorophore/fluorescent protein and the subsequent emission of light. The light is detected via a CCD camera, collated, analyzed, and converted into an image detailing the location of emitted light (due to imaging agents) from subject. B: fluorescence images demonstrating the feasibility of visualizing human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) positive xenografts in mice using AlexaFluor680-labeled-affibody as an imaging agent. Through this imaging strategy, Van de Ven and colleagues were able to monitor response of HER2-positive tumors to treatment with heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) inhibitor (425). [From Van de Ven et al. (425), with permission from American Association for Cancer Research.]
Fluorescence optical imaging can be used for a variety of molecular imaging applications in living subjects. Some specific examples include the study of matrix metalloproteinases activities using activatable imaging agents (35, 447), detection of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu)-tumors in animal models using antibodies conjugated to rhodamine green (229), monitoring tumor growth and metastases using GFP-expressing tumors (161), and NIR activatable fluorescent imaging agents for imaging Alzheimer’s disease that only “switch on” when bound to amyloid-beta (Abeta) protein (348).

The advent of fluorescence molecular tomography (FMT) has led to three-dimensional reconstruction of fluorescent imaging agent accumulation in living animals based on light recordings collected at the tissue boundary. FMT has been used to visualize and quantitate a variety of cellular and molecular events, and as opposed to planar fluorescence imaging, yields quantitative information and allows imaging at greater depths, up to several centimeters (309). An example of a study using FMT is that by Haller et al. (144) in 2008, whereby FMT was used to quantitate pulmonary response in a murine mouse model of LPS-induced airway inflammation. Time domain imaging has also been used to obtain depth information for optical fluorescence imaging, and reviewed elsewhere (203).

II) Key strengths and limitations. Fluorescence imaging has a number of limitations that need to be considered, including its limited depth of penetration (<1 cm) and the potential toxicity of some of the imaging agents it employs (e.g., QDots which are cadmium based) which may limit its use in humans. Also, the mass amount of fluorescent agent needed for imaging is considerably larger than for radionuclide imaging (see TABLE 1). Furthermore, fluorescence imaging suffers from issues associated with autofluorescence that ultimately decreases the sensitivity of the technique. There are a number of strategies and factors that can improve overall sensitivity of fluorescence imaging, including methods that correct for autofluorescence, the type of imaging agent selected, and the type of imaging instrumentation used.

Overall, fluorescence molecular imaging is a versatile technique with a number of key advantages including its relative low cost (especially when studying reporter gene systems in animals), and the fact it does not require the administration of a substrate like bioluminescence imaging does. Fluorescence optical imaging serves as a useful tool for preclinical evaluation of imaging agents prior to moving them into other more expensive modalities. One of the most important strengths of fluorescence imaging is that it enables multiplexed imaging. Furthermore, there is potential for clinical translation where depth of penetration is not an issue, for example, in the endoscopy setting as well as intraoperative techniques.

III) Clinical translatability. Potential clinical applications for optical fluorescence imaging include intraoperative devices, dedicated breast imaging, and endoscopy-related imaging instruments. Recent developments in instrumentation such as dual-axes endoscopes (253) and handheld probe-based optical imagers (28, 128), coupled with the use of fluorescent molecular imaging agents targeted at disease, could be extremely useful in detecting and/or treating numerous pathologies early and accurately. A specific example of an optical molecular imaging technique that has undergone preliminary evaluation in a clinical setting is a colonoscopy-based technique involving the use of fluorescein-labeled heptapeptide (identified via phage display screening of peptide libraries) and a standard colonoscope fitted with a fluorescence confocal microendoscope fed though the instrument channel, to detect dysplastic colonocytes (colorectal cancer). Initial results demonstrated that fluorescent-labeled heptapeptides bound more strongly to regions containing dysplastic colonocytes compared with adjacent normal tissue (170).

B) BIOLUMINESCENCE IMAGING. I) Basic principles. Bioluminescence relies on the production of light from the enzymatic oxidation reaction of luciferase with its substrate. Different luciferase enzymes can be introduced into a biological system as a molecular reporting device (see FIGURE 9A). These enzymes are usually introduced into cells via transfection with the gene that encodes them. Unlike fluorescence imaging, bioluminescence does not need an external light source. Due to this, and because tissues do not exhibit endogenous bioluminescence, greater sensitivities and higher signal to background ratios can be achieved compared with fluorescence techniques.

There are several types of luciferase enzymes that have been discovered in nature (some of which are listed below), each requiring different conditions for the enzymatic reaction to proceed.

1) FLuc (North American firefly luciferase, Photinus pyralis): molecular mass = 62 kDa. This luciferase is the most commonly used bioluminescent reporter. It requires benzothiazoyl-thiazole luciferin (ð-luciferin), and oxygen, ATP, and magnesium as cofactors. FLuc is found in the cytoplasm, where it oxidizes its substrate ð-luciferin, emitting light with a broad spectrum (530–640 nm) and a peak at ~560 nm (374). Light from FLuc peaks at ~10–12 min after injection of ð-luciferin and then decreases slowly over 60 min. Because it emits at wavelengths above 600 nm, it is able to travel through several centimeters of tissue (353, 360). ð-Luciferin distributes throughout the animal very rapidly and is able to cross the blood brain barrier.

2) RLuc (sea pansy luciferase, Renilla reniformis): molecular mass = 34 kDa. RLuc requires a different substrate compared with FLuc. Instead of ð-luciferin, RLuc interacts
with coelenterazine (and its analogs) and requires oxygen as a cofactor but does not need ATP and Mg\(^{2+}\). This is a potential advantage as it is less likely to cause perturbation in the cells it is present in since it does not “steal” ATP from other processes or pathways. RLuc releases blue light across a broad range, peaking at 480 nm (280). This is not the most favorable emission wavelength as it is not red-shifted and so cannot penetrate tissues as easily as light with a red-shifted wavelength. RLuc is found inside cells and thus its substrate, coelenterazine, must pass through cell membranes to interact with it. RLuc is rather hydrophobic and tends to self-associate, forming inactive dimers and higher molecular weight species (280). RLuc also has a low quantum yield, 6% compared with >88% for FLuc (280, 409). However, it is important to note that several mutants of RLuc have been developed that allow for greater stability and/or red-shifted emission (255, 256). Furthermore, the RLuc protein can be used outside of the cell where ATP is scarce. Thus, instead of introducing a RLuc gene into cells, one can introduce the protein directly in vivo. This technique can be used, for example, to image a cell-surface receptor by fusing RLuc to an engineered antibody (436).

3) GLuc (marine cope pod luciferase, *Gaussia princeps*): GLuc is the smallest identified luciferase (molecular mass = 19.9 kDa). Similar to RLuc, GLuc is independent of ATP, but requires coelenterazine and oxygen. GLuc is secreted from the cells it is present in and reacts with its substrate in the extracellular space. GLuc emits light at a peak of 480 nm, but with 200-fold higher bioluminescence output compared with RLuc when present in mammalian cells under similar conditions in vivo. Moreover, GLuc displays a significantly more intense signal than RLuc and a comparable signal to FLuc in subcutaneous tumors in mice (409); however, its emission half-life is very short. Mutants of GLuc have been developed to address this issue, and the resultant proteins display much longer luminescence half-lives (453). Further studies are needed to clarify some issues related to absolute signal differences between these luciferases, due to the intracellular signal verses signal from secreted protein.

The limited biodistribution, rapid clearance rates, and poorer signal-to-background of the coelenterazine substrates are unfavorable and directly related to sensitivity of detection and predictability of the models that use either RLuc or GLuc. These factors along with the blue emission wavelength of these enzymes have somewhat limited their use (261). However, the mutants of RLuc are helping to solve some of these limitations (255, 256).

Bioluminescence imaging has been used to investigate numerous enigmatic protein-protein interactions. One such study used a firefly luciferase-based protein fragment complementation assay to visualize and assess interactions between CXCR4 and \(\beta\)-arrestin molecules. CXCR4 is a chemokine receptor that modulates tumor growth and metastasis; its interaction with \(\beta\)-arrestin molecules is a process that occurs in the initial stages of chemokine receptor signaling (337). Other applications of bioluminescence imaging include drug screening (e.g., evaluating kinase inhibitors by measuring their phosphorylation efficiency via split firefly luciferase-assisted complementation system) (50), examining the roles of various cells though cell tracking (e.g., visualizing luciferase-expressing bone marrow cells in brain inflammation in living mice) (4), and visualizing receptor dimerization via a protein fragment complementation strategy based on FLuc (see FIGURE 9B).

### II) Key strengths and limitations

One of the main advantages of bioluminescence imaging compared with fluorescent...
cence imaging is its higher sensitivity due to enzymatic amplification of signal and low background signal due to the lack of natural bioluminescence from tissues (however, it should be noted that substrates themselves also lead to background signals, especially in the liver in the case of coelenterazine). Although bioluminescence imaging has limited depth of penetration like fluorescence imaging, its primary limitation is getting light out of the subject, whereas fluorescence imaging is limited by both the ability to pass light into and out of a subject. Overall bioluminescence imaging is a relatively cost-effective technique for investigating fundamental biochemical processes. Its multiplexing capabilities, by combining different luciferases/substrates, make it a flexible and highly useful modality. The substrate requirements of bioluminescence may limit its clinical translatability.

**C) BIOLUMINESCENCE RESONANCE ENERGY TRANSFER.** Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) technology involves the nonradiative transfer of energy between a donor and acceptor molecule by the FORSTER mechanism (9, 291). FRET has long been considered a powerful method in cell culture for studying complex interactions including the interaction of two proteins (154, 281). Since FRET depends on an excitation source, it is less suited for applications in living subjects. BRET does not require an excitation light source and instead uses a bioluminescent protein as a donor. This donor if in close proximity to an acceptor (e.g., fluorescent protein or QDot) will lead to a resonance energy transfer, and therefore detectable signal (393). BRET can be used to study the interaction of two proteins of interest in living subjects. BRET does not require an excitation light source and instead uses a bioluminescent protein as a donor. This donor if in close proximity to an acceptor (e.g., fluorescent protein or QDot) will lead to a resonance energy transfer, and therefore detectable signal (393).

**D) CERENKOV LUMINESCENCE IMAGING.** Recently, another technique for imaging photons known as Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI) was described (356). CLI exploits the fact that radionuclides can emit visible light consistent with Cerenkov radiation (CR), a form of electromagnetic radiation produced when a charged particle exceeds the speed of light while traveling in a dielectric medium. The resulting light produced by radionuclides is a continuous spectrum mainly in the ultraviolet blue bands of the electromagnetic spectrum. In 2009, Robertson et al. (356) demonstrated the imaging of mice injected with [18F]FDG using an optical imaging instrument fitted with highly sensitive CCD detectors. Similar studies were reported at around the same time by Lui et al. (252), whereby a range of radionuclotopes (beta\(^-\), beta\(^+\) and gamma-emitters) were evaluated for their use in optical imaging (252).

**B. Emerging Modalities and Techniques**

1. **Raman imaging**

Raman spectroscopy (RS), also known as “spontaneous Raman spectroscopy,” is a spectroscopic technique used to probe the internal structure of molecules. Traditionally, RS has been used as an analytical chemistry tool for studying alterations in chemical bonding (e.g., when a substrate interacts with its corresponding enzyme). More recently, RS has shown potential in a clinical setting as a diagnostic tool, providing a means for discriminating between disease and normal tissue, through detecting endogenous spectra. The diagnostic capabilities of RS were initially explored ex vivo using rat and pig organ samples and traditional RS instrumentation (258, 266). The success of these studies led to the development of a Raman-based clinical molecular imaging modality by adding a Raman component (fiber-optic bundle) to a standard white light endoscope (384). By using this Raman-modified endoscope, Shim et al. (383) demonstrated that Raman spectra of human gastrointestinal tissues could be obtained with acceptable signal-to-noise ratio and good temporal resolution. Moreover, through the use of diagnostic algorithms for classifying colon polyps based on their spectral characteristics, adenomatous polyps could be differentiated from hyperplastic polyps with great accuracy (296, 383).

**A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF RS.** When a beam of monochromatic light is used to excite a molecule, most photons are scattered elastically (Rayleigh scattering). However, 1 in every 10 million incident photons are scattered inelastically (known as Raman scattering) and display a shift in frequency compared with the frequency of the excitation light. The size of this observed shift in frequency, called the “Raman shift,” is independent of the excitation frequency and is thus an intrinsic characteristic of the matter being studied. Essentially, every molecule has its own unique vibrational signature or “spectral fingerprint,” meaning that RS can be used to detect numerous different molecules within the same region of interest simultaneously, demonstrating its high multiplexing capabilities (456, 479). This is extremely important in the context of molecular imaging, as being able to visualize more than one biochemical target simultaneously will likely yield a more complete picture of biological systems.

There are two types of Raman scattering: stokes and anti-stokes. The former occurs when the molecule being studied absorbs energy from incident photons, thus resulting in a scattered photon with lower frequency compared with that of the laser source. Whereas, anti-stokes scattering is where the molecule loses energy, resulting in a scattered photon of higher frequency.
Although spontaneous RS is a technique with high molecular specificity, it suffers from extremely poor sensitivity due to the low numbers of inelastically scattered photons. Newer RS techniques have emerged that address this issue of sensitivity, namely, 1) stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) and 2) surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). We next discuss SERS and its molecular imaging applications. See also section VII for a brief discussion of SRS.

B) SERS. SERS is a surface-sensitive technique that was discovered over 30 years ago through studies that demonstrated a distinct enhancement of a pyridine molecule’s vibrational spectra following its adsorption on an electrochemically roughened silver electrode (1). Since then, there has been much debate concerning the exact mechanism behind this observed phenomenon. The two main theories at present are as follows: 1) the electromagnetic theory (involving the excitation of localized surface plasmons), and 2) the chemical theory (relying on charge-transfer).

C) THE SERS EFFECT EXPLAINED. The SERS effect can simply be described as an enhancement of Raman scattering from a molecule. This effect is observed when a molecule is placed in close proximity to a metal substrate (456). The number of inelastically scattered photons can be increased by several orders of magnitude, and as a result, the vibrational signature of a given molecule becomes more intense, and in some instances, peaks that were previously undetectable using standard RS techniques become much easier to detect. The degree of SERS enhancement and the reproducibility of any given SERS spectral fingerprint greatly depends on the type, quality, size, and shape of the metal substrate used. This is mainly because these factors have a direct effect on the ratio of absorption and scattering events, and they also influence the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) excitations excited at interstitials or sharp edges. These LSPR excitations are thought to be the key players behind the huge enhancements seen with SERS (169).

Due to the high sensitivity and multiplexing capabilities of SERS, there has been a growing amount of interest concerning the use of this technique for imaging molecular processes in biological systems (480). Much work has been centered around the investigation of “Raman-active” nanoparticles (see sect. III E for details on these types of imaging agents). In brief, Raman-active nanoparticles (or SERS nanoparticles) are known to significantly enhance the Raman scattering efficiency (221) and thus improve the detection limits (sensitivity) of the technique to around three orders of magnitude lower than that achievable via fluorescence imaging (101). By administering different SERS nanoparticles (FIGURE 10A), one can theoretically visualize several targets simultaneously. This is a major advantage, especially compared with modalities such as PET, where there are no easy possibilities for multiplexing. A recent study demonstrated the multiplexing capabilities of Raman active gold nanoparticles in living animals using normal mice (203) (see FIGURE 10B).

An important aspect of SERS molecular imaging is the process of “targeting” these SERS particles to specific biomarkers of disease. This can be achieved by conjugating certain peptides, antibodies, or other molecular entities specific for particular receptors/enzymes/targets to the SERS particles, a process also known as “functionalization.” Two separate studies have demonstrated the feasibility of imaging epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) positive tumors in mouse models using targeted SERS particles (192, 346). Other groups have conjugated different molecular entities
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to gold nanoparticles; however, many are yet to be evaluated in intact living animals. One such study showed the successful detection of HER2 cancer markers in MCF7 cells (containing high levels of the target) using antibody-conjugated hollow gold nanospheres (237). Further imaging studies, in living intact subjects, using different SERS imaging agents and various animal models of disease are required to determine the usefulness of SERS molecular imaging.

D) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. Overall, Raman-based molecular imaging, and in particular SERS imaging, is a promising new area of research. The sensitivity of SERS and its multiplexing capabilities are major strengths of the technique (TABLE 1). Also, the fact that Raman signals are detectable over long periods of time (without issues of photobleaching) means that longitudinal studies are feasible. SERS imaging, however, is not without its shortcomings, including its extremely limited depth of penetration (~5 mm) and difficulties with imaging large fields of view. Ultimately these limitations, along with tomography challenges, mean that one cannot perform whole body deep tissue imaging with SERS-based techniques. Thus most future clinical applications of SERS imaging will be geared toward situations where depth of penetration is not an issue, such as endoscopy.

2. Photoacoustic imaging

First reported by Alexander Graham Bell in 1880 (24), the photoacoustic effect is an important phenomenon that can be exploited for imaging purposes. The effect itself can be described as the production of sound waves resulting from the absorption of light. As an emerging imaging modality, photoacoustic imaging (PAI) has the potential to facilitate the investigation of anatomical and/or biochemical features of both normal and disease states in living subjects in a noninvasive manner.

A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF PAI. One can use what is known about the photoacoustic effect to probe the structure and organization of microvasculature in small animals without the need for an exogenous imaging agent. This is achieved by illuminating laser pulses, lasting several nanoseconds, at the tissue of interest. These IR light pulses lead to a slight localized heating of the tissue causing thermoelastic expansion and the subsequent production of ultrasound waves. The resulting acoustic waves can be detected on the skin surface, and by measuring the wave’s amplitude and the time of arrival, the structure of blood vessels can be determined (388). It is important to note that the input source does not need to be IR light, but can also be other forms of energy, including radiofrequency.

B) THE DIAGNOSTIC POTENTIAL OF PAI. Most diseases, particularly in their early stages, do not exhibit an endogenous photoacoustic contrast, thereby limiting the sensitivity and specificity of PAI as a stand-alone technique. The sensitivity issue can be addressed by introducing imaging agents that possess a superior ability to absorb light and hence produce strong photoacoustic signals. Examples of some PAI-compatible imaging agents include methylene blue, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs), and gold nanoparticles. An example of a specific application of one of these agents is the use of nontargeted gold nanocages for PAI imaging of a sentinel lymph node (394). To address the specificity issue and use PAI for investigating biochemical processes (thus transforming it into a molecular imaging modality), one must attach a targeting moiety (e.g., small molecule, peptide, aptamer, antibody) to a photoacoustic-compatible imaging agent. For example, one could conjugate cyclic Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) to SWNTs [RGD is a peptide known to bind alpha-v beta-3 (\(\alpha_v\beta_3\)) integrins, which are dramatically upregulated in cancer] (112). Functionalizing SWNTs with targeted peptides like RGD enables them to specifically “home in” on cancer cells. A study performed by our laboratories investigating photoacoustic detection of SWNTs in xenograft tumor mouse models demonstrated that the photoacoustic signal in tumors was eightfold higher in the mice that were injected with targeted RGD-SWNTs compared with those injected with nontargeted nanotubes (78) (FIGURE 11B). These results were validated ex vivo using Raman microscopy by taking advantage of the strong Raman signal of SWNTs at 1,593 cm\(^{-1}\) (204). This study and those similar (209, 268) demonstrate the utility of targeted nanoparticles and PAI for specific and sensitive detection of biochemical markers implicated in disease states of interest. Recently, the utility of a trimodality nanoparticle (capable of producing a MRI, photoacoustic, and Raman signal) was demonstrated for imaging and intraoperative margin determination in an orthotopic glioma rodent model (213) (see sect. IIIIF for details).

C) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. One of the main advantages of PAI is its depth of penetration (~6 mm to 5 cm), which is superior to that of most optical techniques (388). Additionally, the spatial resolution of PAI is not adversely affected by increases in depth of penetration, as is typically the case with some other optical modalities and US. Furthermore, its ability to afford endogenous information without the need for an imaging agent, favorable temporal resolution (up to ~30 frames per second in some reported systems), and relatively modest cost, add to the attraction of PAI.

When compared with US, PAI has a couple of key advantages: 1) the amount of imaging agent used for PAI is picograms to micrograms, whereas US requires microgram to milligram amounts of imaging agent; and 2) US images contain speckles (noise) due to coherent addition of sound waves. In the case of “light in and sound out, PAI” there is very minimal interference for the sound waves on their way out; therefore, the images are speckle free.
Some disadvantages of PAI include its limited depth of penetration compared with the limitless depths achievable via CT, MRI, and radionuclide techniques, as well as its inability to image through bone or air-filled structures (e.g., bones or lungs). Furthermore, the instrument needs to be coupled to the subject via an appropriate coupling medium (see FIGURE 11A). No commercial clinical application has emerged from PAI as yet; however, there are a few companies currently working on the development of photoacoustic-based breast scanners in the hope of replacing mammography. These scanners will first need to show improved sensitivity-specificity compared with the current gold standard technique before being considered as a possible replacement diagnostic strategy.

Although a relatively new area of molecular imaging, PAI holds great promise in the field of medical diagnostics, especially when paired with compatible targeted imaging agents.

### 3. IVM

IVM is an optical imaging platform that enables visualization and real-time examination of a range of cellular and biochemical processes in a living animal. One can study events such as cell trafficking, vasculature formation, tumor development, and neuronal circuitry on a nanoscale (at a cellular-to-subcellular level) via the use of this microscopy technique. In addition to measuring biochemical and physiological parameters, IVM can be used to quantify delivery and response to therapeutic strategies (182).

**A) BASIC PRINCIPLES OF IVM.** The principles of IVM are essentially the same as traditional fluorescence microscopy; however, IVM uses modified instrumentation to allow anesthetized rodents to be placed on a microscope stage (183). In brief, IVM involves the use of a microscope with a digital camera detection setup and an image acquisition network. To sort and analyze the retrieved data, a computer equipped with relevant algorithms and mathematical models is needed.

Prior to studying rodents or other small mammals with IVM, subjects typically require some form of surgical preparation. Examples of such preparations include surgically implanted chronic-transparent window models (such as dorsal skin fold chambers (175, 225, 289, 363, 478), acute tissue preparations (where the animal is surgically prepared to reveal an internal organ such as the liver, mammary tissue, or lymph nodes) (361, 443), and in situ preparations (where, for example, tumor-lymphatic relationships can be studied at the molecular level in a rodent tail or ear) (167, 182, 240). There are definite advantages and disadvantages associated with each of these models. An important strength of implanting a chronic transparent window (consisting of a titanium frame and a removable cover glass for microscopic observation) is that it provides excellent motion stability and transparency, essentially allowing the exact same region to be viewed and monitored in a living animal longitudinally using time-lapse imaging. This level of stability-induced accuracy is extraordinarily difficult to achieve with in situ preparations. On the other hand, when using skin fold chambers for studying a tumor and its vasculature, the tumor being visualized is typically forced into an unnatural spatial orientation, thus affecting the microenvironment (and biology) of the process being investigated. Conversely, other types of chronic window models (such as cranial windows) leave the region of interest intact so that longitudinal...
studies can take place in the native environment. Taking these points into consideration, and depending on which process one wants to study, it may be necessary to use an intelligent combination of models when employing IVM to gain a more accurate understanding of complicated pathologies (183).

As far as the hardware for IVM goes, there are several different systems to choose from, fitted with a varying number of lasers and output channels. Many IVM instruments are highly customized to suit the needs of a particular lab. Generally, a two-photon system comprises of tunable Ti:Sapphire lasers (typically tunable from ~650–1100 nm depending on the model), fluorophores that excite below λ/2 nm, and appropriate filters, whereas a single-photon instrument typically employs a laser that corresponds to commonly used fluorescent agents (e.g., GFP, 488 nm) and output channels/filters to match. The majority of recent IVM instruments will use at least one NIR laser to take advantage of increased tissue penetration at those wavelengths. One specific IVM setup is the Olympus IV100 system consisting of four lasers (488, 561, 633, and 748 nm) for fluorescence excitation, fiber optic cables (that produce a “confocal like” effect helping to reduce out-of-focus light), and a tiltable scan-head. This particular instrument enables imaging of the subject from different angles (ranging from ~10–70° from the normal) so that one does not always need to be normal to the surface. Both confocal and multi-photon laser scanning microscopes enable enhanced depth of imaging, the latter of these possessing clear advantages over the former, including less phototoxicity, greater depth of penetration of up to 700 μm, and better sensitivity (signal to noise) (320, 344).

Subject to the specific biological question being asked and what one wants to investigate (e.g., anatomical features, physiology, cellular events, and/or biochemical processes), a suitable fluorescent imaging agent is selected alongside a pertinent animal model and microscopy technique. In terms of using IVM for cell tracking, one can either use a genetic fluorescent label or an extrinsic fluorescent label to “tag” the cell population of interest. For example, IVM has been used to monitor different stages in cancer metastasis in mice through the visualization of cells stably expressing GFP following transfection with a vector containing highly fluorescent variant gene of wild-type GFP (303). Many studies of this nature have been performed to gain insight on a variety of cellular processes, including angiogenesis (182) and immune cell motility/cell-cell interactions (67, 182, 217, 402).

A specific example of using an extrinsic fluorescent label and IVM for cell tracking is a study performed by Miller et al. (290) whereby individual migratory paths of T cells labeled with the fluorescent molecule carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) were observed using two-photon IVM. The study involved injection of CFSE-labeled CD4+ cells, followed by surgically exposing the inguinal lymph node on a dorsal skin flap. The anesthetized mice were injected with tetramethyl-rhodamine dextran (to highlight blood vessel architecture) and then imaged using IVM ~18 h following administration of cells. The rapid rate of acquisition (30 frames/s) meant that dynamic information...
concerning the behavior of these cells could be obtained as they sped through the microcirculation. Contrary to in vitro findings, the results revealed that T cell trafficking through the secondary lymphoid organs was not necessarily orchestrated or influenced by chemokine gradients, but instead, appeared to be a more stochastic operation (290).

IVM can also be used to image certain biochemical events of interest by employing targeted QDots. For example, Cai et al. (45) imaged tumor vasculature in athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous U87MG human glioblastoma tumors using RGD peptide-labeled QDots. Due to their excellent intrinsic fluorescent properties, QDots have been used as optical reporters in cell biology and animal models of disease (26) (please refer to sect. III for more information on QDots).

In addition to investigating cellular and biochemical events, IVM can also be used to provide insight on how nanoparticles behave in a living subject. Nanoscale observations are crucial to understanding what nanoparticles are doing, where they go, and what they do once they reach their destination. This is of great importance, especially given the explosion of interest in nanotechnology and the potential of nanoparticles in the diagnosis and treatment of various diseases, such as cancer. When using IVM to evaluate a particular type of nanoparticle and their ability to target cancer cells, the researcher is typically interested in 1) whether the given type of nanoparticle is able to extravasate, i.e., escape the blood vessels (this will depend on the permeability of the vasculature surrounding the tumor, and the size and surface chemistry of the nanoparticle), and 2) whether the nanoparticle is capable of specific binding to the tumor. Studies of this type conducted in our laboratory have shown that RGD-functionalized-QDots arrive at and bind to tumor vessels but do not extravasate (392) (see FIGURE 12B for image).

B) KEY STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS. The dynamic, real-time, viewing facilitated via IVM means that one can obtain video image sequences of dynamic processes, and thus extract information regarding the kinetics of a particular event. Although in vitro assays are useful for understanding biology on the nano-level, they are devoid of dynamic information concerning the multifaceted cascades, pathways, and mechanisms behind biochemical events. Consequently, static data from in vitro experiments make it difficult to understand positive- and negative-feedback loops, whereas IVM helps provide a more accurate and complete picture of biological systems.

Other advantages of IVM include its excellent lateral spatial resolution (~0.5 μm), and, like most optical techniques, its multiplexing capabilities, meaning multiple biological targets can be imaged simultaneously. Moreover, IVM can afford quantitative measures of cell motility (velocity, displacement, and chemotactic and motility indices) in the native microenvironment of a living animal. Other modalities, such as PET and SPECT, cannot afford this kind of microscopic data on individual cells.

Compared with other modalities, IVM has a large range of temporal resolution capabilities, meaning it is highly flexible in terms of the cellular and/or biochemical events it can visualize. IVM can be used to investigate fast processes (capturing 30 frames/s) and can also image events that have slow kinetics (e.g., over days, although the issue of photobleaching needs to be considered). Fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging typically only enable observations over seconds to minutes, and modalities like MRI, PET, and SPECT usually allow imaging over minutes to hours.

One of the main disadvantages of IVM is its extremely limited depth of penetration, which depends directly on the type of instrument, animal model, and imaging agent(s) that are used. A multi-photon setup is generally considered to be capable of achieving a depth of up to 800 μm (452), whereas a one-photon setup is typically capable of achieving ~100–200 μm. In addition, the volume of tissue (field of view) that can be analyzed using IVM over a given period of time is exceptionally small (e.g., one can only look at a minute fraction of cells that make up an entire tumor). CT, MRI, PET, and SPECT, on the other hand, are ideal for whole body imaging at limitless depths within a reasonable time-frame (minutes-hours); however, they do not have sufficient spatial resolution to look at tiny groups of cells like IVM does. IVM is also limited by the types of available animal models that are suitable for use with this technique. Lastly, longitudinal studies using IVM can be challenging due to many factors, including the accumulation of imaging agents over time. This ultimately restricts the frequency of imaging dynamic processes in longitudinal studies.

4. Optical frequency domain imaging

Recently, Vakoc and colleagues (412) reported the development of a new technique called optical frequency domain imaging (OFDI) that circumvents some limitations of IVM, most importantly, the need for an exogenous imaging agent. By exploiting intrinsic features that provide contrast, using novel techniques, and newly developed algorithms, OFDI is able to provide rapid, wide-field, high-resolution imaging at substantial depths (412). The authors demonstrated the use of OFDI in the investigation of angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, tissue viability, and treatment effectiveness. OFDI exploits the fact that tissue scattering is contingent on cellular structure and thus can provide detailed three-dimensional images of microstructures and enable the discrimination of necrotic tissue from viable tissue.

Vakoc and colleagues (412) demonstrated rapid visualization of microanatomy and cellular processes within the tumor local environment repeatedly over time without the
need for exogenous imaging agents over a much larger volume of the area of interest, compared with traditional IVM techniques. This study also illustrated the use of OFDI in assessing cancer cell therapies by examining the effects of diphtheria toxin on window dorsal skin fold chamber mice bearing a colorectal adenocarcinoma xenograft. The results depicted large necrotic regions within the treated tumor 24 h post-treatment (412). Overall, OFDI is a promising technique, however, it requires further exploration to determine its advantages over other imaging techniques.

III. MOLECULAR IMAGING AGENTS

A molecular imaging agent is typically comprised of a targeting component and a signaling component. The main purpose of a molecular imaging agent is to interrogate and report back about a specific target (or targets) of interest during the course of a molecular imaging study. It is important to note that there are some molecular imaging techniques such as MRS (299), RS (445), and coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy (59) that do not necessitate the introduction of an exogenous agent for visualizing certain biochemical targets and pathologies. In most other cases, a molecular imaging agent designed to specifically interact with one or more molecular targets needs to be first introduced to study biochemical processes. For the most part, the development of such an agent is not straightforward and is therefore a key rate-limiting step in molecular imaging. Imaging agents have a long list of alternative names including probes, imaging probes, agents, molecular beacons, molecular spacers, molecular detectors, radiopharmaceuticals, tracers, radioligands, and radiolabeled probes. The last four terms are used to describe agents that have been tagged/labeled with a radioisotope. The term contrast agent is also sometimes used as an alternative name for molecular imaging agent; however, this name can be misleading as it usually refers to an agent that does not specifically target a biochemical process of interest (e.g., classical iodine contrast agents in CT imaging).

Ideally, a molecular imaging agent should have the following characteristics: good ratio of specific to non-specific binding, high selectivity for biochemical target/process of interest, suitable pharmacokinetics, excellent in vivo stability (metabolism should not negatively affect functional binding), good safety profile (lack of toxicity to subject), potential for clinical translation, time and cost effective synthesis, signal amplification, and multiplexing capabilities.

For an effective imaging agent, the ratio of specific to non-specific binding needs to be high to ensure a signal that is truly reflective of the biochemical target/process of interest. In the case of imaging low-density receptors, this ratio needs to be >3 (335). High selectivity is also an important factor, whereby the imaging agent should possess the greatest affinity for, or functional interaction with, the intended binding site/process, and only negligible interaction with other sites/processes (143).

Nonspecific binding occurs due to the adhesion of an imaging agent to proteins and lipids. Although it is not easy to predict the extent of in vivo nonspecific binding, it is generally believed that the lipophilicity of an imaging agent is somewhat proportional to the degree of nonspecific binding (335). Therefore, lipophilicity is an important aspect to consider whilst designing an imaging agent.

A molecular imaging agent should display suitable pharmacokinetics for visualizing the biochemical target/process of interest. One must consider the rate of absorption and delivery of the imaging agent to the target site, its metabolism, excretion, and whether it will be reabsorbed in the enterohepatic circulation. Ideally, one would prefer an imaging agent that has rapid binding to or interaction with its target, fast blood clearance, urinary excretion, and persistent, high accumulation at the target site. Although the factors that influence pharmacokinetics of an imaging agent are poorly understood, it is generally accepted that size plays an important role and that charge, and lipophilicity, can also have a significant impact, depending on the type of imaging agent (257, 311, 369, 418). Generally, one aims to match the half-life of an imaging agent’s signaling component, to its pharmacokinetics, and the pharmacokinetics of the process of interest.

To ensure accurate visualization of a target site (e.g., receptor, ion channel), an imaging agent must have good metabolic stability in vivo. It is important that the targeting part of the imaging agent remains intact and that the signaling component stays attached. Metabolic stability should be assessed to make sure unwanted signaling metabolites, resulting from the body’s ability to break down imaging agents via intrinsic metabolic pathways, do not contribute to specific binding. If unwanted signaling metabolites redistribute to the target region, this can cause noise in the signal of interest. There are, however, numerous cases where metabolism of the imaging agent is required for visualization of the biochemical process of interest. For example, the phosphorylation of $\text{[18F]}$FDG leads to trapping and accumulation of imaging signal, indicative of glucose transport and hexokinase II activity (see Figure 13). Additionally, many smart/activatable imaging agents only emit a signal once they have been enzymatically cleaved/modified by a specific enzyme of interest. In any case, one should ensure that metabolism does not negatively affect functional binding/interaction of an imaging agent.

Ideally, the addition of a signaling component should not affect the functional binding/interaction of the imaging agent, and the imaging agent should not perturb the environment one wishes to visualize. Moreover, it is important that the imaging agent is nontoxic, especially in cases where...
large amounts are required to achieve a measurable signal, e.g., CT and MRI imaging agents. A good safety profile, along with a relatively simple and cost effective synthetic route, that is easy to scale-up, automate, and mass-produce is important if the ultimate goal is clinical translation.

Amplification of imaging signal is another characteristic of an ideal imaging agent, as it serves to increase the overall sensitivity of target detection. One way of achieving this is to trap the imaging agent inside a cell without consuming the target molecule in the process. Enzyme substrate imaging agents are perfect for this as they often undergo a chemical modification (e.g., phosphorylation) that prevents them from being able to leave the cell. A classic example of this is the phosphorylation of the glucose analog [18F]FDG by hexokinase II (see FIGURE 13 for mechanism). This is similarly seen with the [18F]FHBG-HSV1-tk reporter probe/reporter gene system (see sect. IV for applications of this system).

Another way of amplifying signal is to use an imaging agent that can carry multiple signaling components (e.g., certain radiolabeled or fluorescent-labeled nanoparticles have the potential to carry many signaling components per particle). Since numerous genes play a role in any average, complex disease, it can be advantageous to devise ways of imaging more than one target simultaneously. This can be accomplished via the use of multiplexing strategies. For example, some imaging agents are available in different “flavors” (e.g., fluorophores, fluorescent proteins, luciferases, SERS nanoparticles), meaning they can be targeted towards different processes and imaged simultaneously, producing a single picture of multiple biochemical targets. It is believed that, visualizing multiple targets, as opposed to taking a reductionist approach, will ultimately lead to a more complete picture of biological processes.

### A. Types of Currently Available Molecular Imaging Agents

There are numerous categories of molecular imaging agents including small molecules, peptides, aptamers, high-molecular-weight antibodies, engineered protein fragments, and various nanoparticles (FIGURE 14). Each type of agent falls within a different size range and thus possesses different pharmacokinetic and binding properties.

Within each individual class of agents there are agents that can be used for “direct imaging” and others for “indirect imaging” purposes. Direct imaging involves the use of an agent that is targeted to a specific molecular target such as a receptor, transporter, or enzyme [e.g., [11C]WAY-100635 binds directly to the serotonin-2A receptor (5HT-2A) that is used to image this site]. Indirect imaging is a more generalizable system that may be used to image many different biological processes with the same basic technique. Reporter gene imaging falls under this category (see sect. IVD) and involves imaging a reporter gene product resulting from reporter gene expression as an indirect measure of the location and levels of expression of another gene of interest. For example, the small molecule imaging agent [18F]FHBG can act as a reporter probe of herpes simplex type 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-TK) reporter gene expression (471) as it is only phosphorylated and subsequently trapped inside cells containing the expressed reporter gene.
Generally speaking, direct imaging is more accurate than indirect imaging as the molecular target of interest is being studied directly. Indirect approaches are typically based on multiple assumptions concerning the relationships between the target(s) being imaged and the target(s) of interest. These assumptions may not always be reliable and therefore can compromise the integrity of the data obtained. The advantage of indirect imaging is that the same imaging agent can be used to interrogate multiple different biochemical events without the need to develop a novel imaging agent for each independent event. Direct imaging, on the other hand, requires a new imaging agent to be developed for every new target. This can be extremely time consuming and costly.

Molecular imaging agents can also be classified as either “activatable” or “continually signaling” agents. Activatable imaging agents (also known as “smart probes”) only release signal when bound to, or interacting with, their target. An example of an activatable probe is a quenched optical agent that emits fluorescent signal only following enzymatic cleavage by the cystein protease cathepsin B (267). Bioluminescence imaging using luciferase is another example of a smart/activatable imaging system as signal is only emitted (after it encounters its substrate) from locations where a luciferase enzyme gene is expressed, and hence, there is little or no background signal. On the other hand, continually emitting agents constantly produce a signal (e.g., all radionuclide-based agents). They are always switched on, so you cannot distinguish between bound and unbound imaging agent, and therefore the background signal can be a significant issue.

The main classes of molecular imaging agents will now be briefly discussed.

**B. Small Molecules**

Small molecules play an enormous role in molecular imaging. The small size of these chemical entities (usually <500 Da) means they can access and image a large range of molecular targets (including intracellular and CNS targets). In addition, they are generally less likely to suffer from unfavorable biodistribution-related issues that large-molecular-weight entities often do. Typically, small molecules can escape the vasculature with relative ease, passively or actively cross biological barriers (depending on charge and lipophilicity), bind to or interact with their target, and clear from the biological system, all in a relatively short period of time (418).

There are two main types of small molecule imaging agents: 1) molecules that bind specific receptors, transporters, or ion channels, e.g., $^{11}$C]PK11195 is a PET radioligand that binds to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR) and is used to visualize microglia and neuroinflammation in a variety of brain diseases (437, 439); and 2) molecules that...
enable imaging of metabolism/enzymatic activity/transport, e.g., 3'-deoxy-3'-[18F]fluorothymidine ([18F]FLT), a specific PET radioligand used to visualize thymidine kinase 1 activity as a measure of cellular proliferation (135).

The main drawback of small molecule agents is the time it takes to discover, synthesize, and evaluate them. It is often a long, expensive, and arduous process that does not typically afford many successful imaging agents. Also, due to their small size, there are limitations concerning the type of signaling component that can be attached (and hence modality that can be used). For example, one cannot usually conjugate a large fluorophore to a small molecule without altering the pharmacokinetics and targeting properties of the molecule. PET isotopes such as 11C and 18F are considered to be some of the most suitable candidates for labeling small molecules due to their small size (one atom). Also, in the instances where carbon and/or fluorine already exist in the parent molecule, an imaging agent can be generated that is chemically indistinguishable from its parent. Hyperpolarized MRS small molecules also involve the replacement of a single atom (e.g., replacement of 12C with 13C). SPECT-labeled small molecules are generally cheaper and faster to synthesize compared with PET-labeled compounds due to their so-called “shake and bake” kits. However, because most SPECT isotopes (and some PET isotopes, e.g., 64Cu) are not found naturally in molecules of interest, and often require a chelating moiety for labeling, they can be more difficult to work with, especially in cases where the structure of the parent molecule needs to be maintained. There are, however, imaging agents (typically larger molecules such as peptides, aptamers, and nanoparticles) in which SPECT radioisotopes are more suitable than PET isotopes due to the wide range of available longer-lived isotopes suitable for labeling imaging agents with relatively slow kinetics.

See Table 2 for some key examples of small molecule molecular imaging agents used to image glucose metabolism, hypoxia, fatty acid synthase, reporter genes, and biochemical targets involved in brain disease. At present the main clinically used agents from this list are PET and SPECT radioligands; however, there are a number of MRI (hyperpolarized, CEST, and PARACEST) agents and optical agents showing promise in preclinical and clinical studies. Please refer to the following literature for examples of small molecule imaging agents for PET (142, 143, 166, 350), SPECT (164, 232), MRI (5, 148, 227, 381), and optical (61, 382) imaging studies.

C. Peptides

Peptides have emerged as a very important class of molecular imaging agents (238). The use of solid-phase peptide synthesis and phage display libraries have led to rapid high-throughput synthesis and screening of peptide-based molecular imaging agents. Peptides are easily modified, are fairly small in size (up to 15 amino acids), and are rapidly cleared. They have a number of advantages over small molecules, including their superior selectivity and specificity, and also their flexibility in terms of the chemical modifications they can tolerate without altering binding properties or kinetics (149, 371). Although peptides generally display a lower affinity compared with antibodies, they have a much higher stability at room temperature and a greater ability to penetrate tissues/tumors due to their small size (365). Additionally, peptides are less likely to cause immunogenic effects (441) compared with antibodies and are more cost effective to produce (depending on the size of the peptide), as peptide synthesis is much cheaper than recombinant production techniques.

Peptide imaging agents can be used to visualize a range of targets, including integrins (20, 57, 294), matrix metalloproteinases (36, 187), caspases (91, 95), somatostatin receptors (82, 136, 331), neuropeptide Y receptor (253, 485), and gastrin releasing peptide receptor (372, 427, 428). See Table 2 for some specific examples of peptide molecular imaging agents that can be used for optical, PET, and SPECT imaging.

The main disadvantage of using peptides as imaging agents is that they are prone to rapid proteolytic degradation in vivo and have a short plasma half-life. In addition, peptides used for PET and SPECT imaging mostly require prosthetic groups (265, 273) to label them with radioisotopes. Prosthetic groups add to the complexity and time of synthesis, and also alter the structure and can therefore change the binding of the peptide. Building the prosthetic group into the library to begin with can rectify some of these issues, however, not all. One can get around many of the stability related issues of peptides by utilizing several techniques, for example: 1) introducing appropriate D-amino acids, 2) using unusual amino acids, 3) substituting peptide bonds, and 4) cyclizing the NH2 and COOH terminus (177, 314, 454).

D. Aptamers

Aptamers may be defined as single-stranded DNA or RNA oligonucleotides that bind their target site with a high degree of specificity and selectivity (34). In the in vitro setting, aptamers have been successfully used for some time (410) and are fast replacing antibodies in many of the traditional in vitro diagnostic assays (186). In the therapeutic arena, aptamers are beginning to make a name for themselves, with the first aptamer (Pegaptanib) approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2004 for treatment of macular degeneration (88). There are also a number of other promising therapeutic aptamers currently undergoing clinical trials, including REG1 (reversible anticoagulant, to be given during percutaneous coronary intervention) (51) and NU172 (thrombin inhibitor, to be given during cardiopulmonary bypass) (198, 415).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Molecular Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Modalities</th>
<th>Biological Target(s)</th>
<th>Application(s)</th>
<th>Used Preclinically and/or Clinically?</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small molecule</td>
<td>[18F]FDG</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Glucose metabolism (glucose transporter I and hexokinase II activity)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Ido et al., 1978; Silverman et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18F]FLT</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Thymidine kinase-1 activity</td>
<td>Cancer/cellular proliferation</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Grierson et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18F]FMISO</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Viable oxygen-deficient cells (nitroimidazoles, such as FMISO and FAZA, are reduced and trapped in these cells at rates inversely proportional to intracellular oxygen levels)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Chang et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18F]FAZA</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Viable oxygen-deficient cells</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Postema et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18F]FHBG</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>HSV1-tk reporter gene expression and cell proliferation</td>
<td>Reporter gene imaging</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Yaghoubi et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18F]FDOPA</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Presynaptic dopaminergic metabolism</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Luxen et al., 1992; Rakshi et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[11C]Acetate</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthesis</td>
<td>Cancer (prostate, bladder, renal), Myocardial infarction, ischemia</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Sandblom et al., 2006; Walsh et al., 1989; Oyama et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[11C]raclopride</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Dopamine receptor 2/3 (D2/D3)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia, depression, addiction</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Farde et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[11C]nicotine</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Alpha(4)beta2-nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (α4β2-nAChR)</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Wu, J et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[64Cu]ATSM</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Viable oxygen-deficient cells</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Lewis, J et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Selected examples of molecular imaging agents from the main classes of imaging agents used for in vivo imaging in a preclinical and/or clinical setting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Molecular Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Modalities</th>
<th>Biological Target(s)</th>
<th>Application(s)</th>
<th>Used Preclinically and/or Clinically?</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[123I]FP-CIT</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Dopamine transporter (DAT), serotonin transporter (SERT)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Booij et al., 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123I]CLINDE</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Activated microglia imaging/PBR</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, herpes encephalitis, Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Arlicot et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123I]-5-IA-85380</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>α4β2-nAChR</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Misis et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123I]MIBG</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Norepinephrine transporter (NET)</td>
<td>Heart failure, cardiac sympathetic dysfunction</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Wu et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123I]-5-IA-85380</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA)</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Maresca et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]TRODAT</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>DAT</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease, Depression</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Hillier et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Hydroxytryptophan</td>
<td>MRI (CEST)</td>
<td>pH sensor</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Wu et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuDOTA(gly)</td>
<td>MRI (PARACEST)</td>
<td>pH sensor</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Ward et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TmDOTMA</td>
<td>MRI (PARACEST)</td>
<td>Temperature/pH sensor</td>
<td>Cancer (e.g., brain)</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Soesbe et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1,13C]Pyruvate</td>
<td>MRI (hyperpolarized MRS)</td>
<td>Glycolysis</td>
<td>Cancer (e.g., prostate)</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Albers et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1,4,13C]Fumarate</td>
<td>MRI (hyperpolarized MRS)</td>
<td>Cell death</td>
<td>Tumor cell death, ischemia</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Gallagher et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrin</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Haubner et al., 2001; Beer et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18F]FP-PBR3D2</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrin</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2003; Mitra et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys[111In]DOTA-4,Phe7,Pro34-NPY</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Neuropeptide Y receptor</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Zwanziger et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]EDDA/HY NIC-TOC</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Somatostatin receptor</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Pavlovik et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]HPP527</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Bombesin receptor 2/gastrin releasing peptide receptor (BB2/GRP)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Van de Wiele et al., 2001; Van de Wiele et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(RGDyK)Cy 5.5</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrin</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Cheng et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Molecular Imaging Agent</td>
<td>Imaging Agent</td>
<td>Imaging Modalities</td>
<td>Biological Target(s)</td>
<td>Application(s)</td>
<td>Used Preclinically and/or Clinically?</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-2 peptide substrate with quenched near-infrared fluorochromes</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>MMP-2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Bremer et al., 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activatable cell-penetrating peptides (ACOPs) Smart Probe</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>MMP-2 and -9</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Jiang et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB50-Q5.5</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>Caspase 3 and 7</td>
<td>Apoptosis/cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Edginton et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]NX2 1909</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Human neutrophil elastase</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Chaffton et al., 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]-TTA-1</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix protein tenasin-C</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Hicke et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineered Protein</td>
<td>Rhodamine Red-X-TTA-1</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase-IX</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Divgi et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(antibody, affibody, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[124I]G350-mAb</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Carbohydrate tumor antigen CA19-9</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Grigs et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[124I]TB4.66-diabody</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Cai et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[124I]TB4.66-minibody</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Sundaresan et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18F]FB2ZHER2: 477-affibody</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Cheng et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64Cu]DOTA-Cys-diabody</td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule (ALCAM)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>McCabe et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]Anti-TNFα mAb-infliximab Remicade</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α)</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Conti et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]JMMU-4 CEA-Scan</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Moffat et al., 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]Annexin V</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>Phosphatidylserine</td>
<td>Apoptosis, cancer, transplant rejection, cardiovascular risk</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Balhocene et al., 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99mTc]Rituximab</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>CD20</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[111In]DOTA-ZHER2: 342-pep2 affibody</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Orkova et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[111In]pentamunab affibody</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
<td>HER1/EGFR</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Ogawa et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O7 0049</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>Tumor-associated glycoprotein (TAG)-72</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Zou et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICG-Trastuzumab, (also known as ICG-Herceptin)</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Ogawa et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herceptin-RhodG</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer (intraoperative visualization of metastases)</td>
<td>Clinical and preclinical</td>
<td>Koyama et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoparticle</td>
<td>Anti-CEA-Diabody-RLuc8</td>
<td>Bioluminescence</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Venisnik et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64Cu]DOTA-RGD-(PASP-IO)</td>
<td>PET/MRI</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrins</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[125I]RGD-SP60</td>
<td>PET/MRI</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrins</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Yang et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[125I]RGD-PET gold nanoparticles</td>
<td>SPECT/CT</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrins</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>DelNurto et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.—Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Molecular Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Agent</th>
<th>Imaging Modalities</th>
<th>Biological Target(s)</th>
<th>Application(s)</th>
<th>Used Preclinically and/or Clinically?</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iodinated nanoparticles</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>Atherosclerotic plaques</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Hyafil et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMA-gold nanoparticles</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>PSMA</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Kni et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin-targeted PARACEST</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Fibrin</td>
<td>Cardiovascular plaques</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Winter et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR2-conjugated SPIO nanoparticles</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Tissue-bound C3 activation fragments (C3 inflammation)</td>
<td>Inflammatory, Autoimmune diseases</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Serkova et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgGm antibody-iron oxide-nanoparticles</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>EGFR deletion mutant (EGFRvIII)</td>
<td>Human glioblastoma multiforme (GBM)</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Hadjianey et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2-Affibody-SPIO-nanoparticles</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Kinoshita et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qv5.5-SPIO-chlorotoxin nanoparticles</td>
<td>MRI/fluorescence</td>
<td>Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-2)</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Vesel et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexin A5-micellar nanoparticle, carrying multiple Gd-labeled and fluorescent lipids</td>
<td>MRI/fluorescence</td>
<td>Phosphatidylserine</td>
<td>Apoptosis, cancer, transplant rejection, cardiovascular risk</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>van Tilborg et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2-QDots</td>
<td>Fluorescence/VM</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Tada et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGD-QDots</td>
<td>Fluorescence/VM</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Kai et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate-NIR-polyacrylic acid (PAA)-coated ion oxide nanoparticles, loaded with cancer therapeutic</td>
<td>Fluorescence/MRI/theranostic</td>
<td>Folate receptors</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Santra et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2-gold nanorods</td>
<td>Raman/VM/PAI</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Ding et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-SERS</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Qian et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-affibody-gold-silica nanoparticles</td>
<td>Raman/PAI</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Jokserst et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGD-SWNTs</td>
<td>Raman/PAI</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrins</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>De la Zerda et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2 IgY-SWNTs</td>
<td>Raman/PAI</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Xiao et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αvβ3-Antibody-SWNTs</td>
<td>PAI</td>
<td>αvβ3 Integrins</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Xiang et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR Antibody-gold nanoparticles</td>
<td>PAI</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Mallidi et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Nle4,d-Phe7]-melanocyte-stimulating hormone-gold nanocages</td>
<td>PAI</td>
<td>Melanocyte-stimulating hormone</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See text for definitions and references.
the use of systematic evolution of ligands by phage (SELEX) to generate aptamers with high affinity and specificity for targeted ligands.

A separate study reported the use of a RNA aptamer specific for extracellular matrix protein tenascin-C (155), TTA-1, a protein found in high quantities in many solid tumors. The 13-kDa high-affinity aptamer TTA-1 (dissociation constant, $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M) was labeled with the SPECT radioisotope $^{99m}$Tc via MAG2 chelator and evaluated in mice bearing glioblastoma (U251) or breast cancer (MDA-MB-435) tumor xenografts in separate studies using planar scintigraphy (FIGURE 15). The results showed rapid tumor uptake and fast blood clearance, affording a tumor-to-blood ratio of 50 within 3 h for radiolabeled-TTA1. Scintigraphic images obtained at 18 h depict the tumor as the brightest structure and demonstrate the almost complete clearance of radioactivity from the body (FIGURE 15). Radiolabeled-TTA1 could also be used to clearly visualize breast tumor xenografts (MDA-MB-435) at 20 h, illustrating the use of this aptamer for detecting different tumor types (155). TTA-1 is presently in clinical trials, and preliminary results show clear images of lung and breast tumors, including metastases, with good tumor-to-background ratios (220).

Apart from these studies there are several published articles describing the labeling of aptamers with either fluorophores for optical imaging, or radioisotopes for PET/SPECT imaging (411, 477); however, these have not yet been evaluated in living subjects. There also exist a range of smart/activating aptamers that have great potential, although since the utility of aptamers as molecular imaging agents has not yet been fully explored in living subjects, it could be some time before these agents are routinely used.

Currently, there are a few key limitations associated with using aptamers as molecular imaging agents, including 1) low in vivo stability due to serum degradation, 2) poor membrane passage due to their size and charge (i.e., pharmacokinetics are rather variable and difficult to predict), and 3) small size, leading to an increased chance of renal filtration and short half-life. To overcome these issues, the following areas are being explored: 1) modification of aptamers to increase stability (i.e., via internucleotide phosphodiester linkages, known as “locked nucleic acids” (LNAs)); 2) the use of systematic evolution of ligands by
exponential enrichment (SELEX) in physiological environments, to improve pharmacokinetics; and 3) addition of PEG to aptamers, to improve their circulation half-life (198).

In addition to their extremely high affinity and specificity, another benefit of working with aptamers is that their chemical synthesis enables site-specific labeling with a signaling moiety and facile scaling up to large quantities. Synthesis of antibodies, on the other hand, is challenging to scale-up, and there are additional time-consuming steps to incorporate signaling components. Furthermore, aptamers do not have issues with immunogenicity (198).

Overall, aptamers are an attractive class of molecular imaging agents. Since they have the unique capability of discriminating between remarkably similar molecules, they have the potential to provide a highly specific means of diagnosing and differentiating a large variety of diseases at early stages.

E. Antibodies and Engineered Proteins

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), also known as immunoglobulins, are highly specialized, ∼150 kDa, Y-shaped proteins. Unlike small molecules and peptides, mAbs are not restricted to interacting with binding pockets or active sites, but instead can bind adhesion molecules, activation markers, antigens, and receptors (270). Their relatively facile synthesis and unparalleled ability to recognize and bind their target site with ultra high affinity and specificity have made mAbs (and their engineered fragments) important players in the therapeutic and diagnostic arenas (448).

Presently, there are over 20 FDA-approved mAb therapeutic agents and greater than 8 radiolabeled-mAbs approved for SPECT molecular imaging (317, 432). The first approved mAb molecular imaging agent was [111In]succinomab pendetide (OncoScint) in 1992 (31). In 1996 both [99mTc]arcitumomab (CEA Scan) (296) and [111In]capromab pendetide (Prostascint) (272) were approved, followed by [111In]ibritumomab tiuxetan (Zevalin) in 2002, and [131I]tositumomab (Bexxar) in 2003 (317).

While these early mAb molecular imaging agents have been instrumental in understanding the pharmacokinetics and dynamics of antibodies in living subjects in real time, they have not had a dramatic impact on clinical outcomes. This is likely due to the following:

1) Immunogenicity issues associated with murine antibodies. Since antibodies of mouse origin are recognized by the human immune system as foreign, their use can initiate a cascade of unwanted side effects.

2) Suboptimal targets. Early mAb imaging agents were either aimed at non-disease specific targets or targets found in both normal and diseased tissues. This gave rise to uncertain diagnoses and poor signal-to-background ratios. The target for Prostascint (PSMA) is fairly cancer specific (mainly human prostate adenocarcinoma), however, because it binds to the intracellular part of PSMA, and MABs for the most part cannot naturally cross cell membranes. It mainly localizes in necrotic regions of tumors, where cell membranes have been disrupted, instead of identifying viable cells (419).

3) Limitations of SPECT. Since all approved mAb imaging agents are SPECT agents, the resulting images are not quantitative and suffer from poor spatial resolution.

4) General limitations of mAbs. Since intact mAbs reside in the blood for extended periods of time (from days to weeks), their use in imaging studies can result in low signal-to-background ratios and poor image quality (466).

Current efforts in the field of mAb molecular imaging agents are focused towards developing chimeric, humanized, or fully human mAb imaging agents (to eradicate immunogenicity issues) specific for a wide range of promising targets, both from a diagnostic and therapeutic standpoint. These efforts include devising efficient strategies for conjugating these mAb-imaging agents with PET isotopes (87, 130), fluorescent dyes (229, 311), Qdots (133, 405), or iron-oxide nanoparticles (83, 140) in a rapid, stable, and cost-effective manner, so as to exploit the advantages of a variety of imaging modalities.

PET isotopes currently being explored for mAb labeling are gallium-68 (68Ga; 1/2, 1.13 h), fluorine-18 (18F; 1/2, 1.83 h), copper-64 (64Cu; 1/2, 12.7 h), yttrium-86 (86Y; 1/2, 14.7 h), bromine-76 (76Br; 1/2, 16.2 h), zirconium-89 (89Zr; 1/2, 78.4 h), and iodine-124 (124I; 1/2, 100.3 h) (432). These isotopes have the requisite half-lives for imaging (i.e., they allow time for mAbs to reach an appropriate target-to-background ratio) and enable relatively simple and stable coupling. PET-labeled mAbs should afford more useful images and information compared with early SPECT-labeled mAbs in terms of image quality, spatial resolution, and quantification.

An important clinical example of a chimeric PET-mAb molecular imaging agent is 124I-G250. 124I-G250 specifically binds carbonic anhydrase-IX and is showing promise as a means of identifying patients with the most common type of kidney cancer, known as clear cell renal carcinoma (CCRC), to help with treatment planning. Divgi and colleagues showed, in a study involving 25 renal cancer patients (16 with CCRC, and 9 with the non-aggressive form), 124I-G250 accurately identified 15 of 16 of the subjects with CCRC, and did not accumulate in any of the 9 patients with non-clear cell renal masses (87, 432). This example demonstrates the benefit of mAb molecular imaging when identi-
fying appropriate treatment strategies for individual patients (i.e., personalized medicine).

Selection of suitable patients for mAb therapy has long been a difficult and somewhat unreliable process. Traditionally, biopsies from patients are taken and analyzed to confirm target overexpression or gene amplification using immunohistochemistry (IHC) or fluorescence in situ hybridization. However, a single biopsy does not provide a complete picture of target expression levels in all regions of disease over time (432). Since it is not feasible and far too invasive to collect multiple biopsies from different areas of the same patient over time, noninvasive imaging using mAb molecular imaging agents represents a more accurate and rapid means for determining target expression levels in a patient. These real-time readouts of target expression levels help with predicting responders versus nonresponders when selecting patients for mAb therapies. Seeing that mAb therapy is expensive and only benefits a handful of patients, predicting responders/nonresponders prior to treatment is of great value.

Although there are many promising mAb-type molecular imaging agents emerging for a range of different imaging platforms, their overall versatility and usefulness has remained fairly limited due to their inescapably long residence in blood and their restricted ability to transverse biological barriers (e.g., the blood-brain barrier and cell membranes). The emergence of smart antibody imaging agents (e.g., target-cell-specific activatable fluorescence imaging probes; Ref. 222) have addressed some of the aforementioned issues and have demonstrated the feasibility of using antibodies as magic-bullet-type imaging agents. In addition, efforts to improve the pharmacokinetics of mAbs without compromising affinity and specificity have been made through protein engineering. Initially, small fragments with one antigen binding site, namely, single chain variable fragments (scFv, 25 kDa), were developed and evaluated. However, these fragments cleared too rapidly and did not adequately accumulate at their target site (317). Further efforts led to the production of diabodies and minibodies, both of which are showing enormous promise on the preclinical research front.

1. Diabodies and minibodies

Diabodies are ~55-kDa dimeric antibody-based molecules comprised of two noncovalently associated single-chain variable fragments (scFv) that interact with and bind to their corresponding antigen in a divalent manner. Minibodies, also known as fusion proteins, are scFvs fused to single Fc domains, and are roughly 80 kDa in size. Both diabodies and minibodies display more favorable blood clearance kinetics, superior tumor penetration, and higher tumor-to-blood ratios compared with intact mAbs, generating high-contrast images at earlier times postinjection (432, 483).

Both SPECT and PET-labeled anti-CEA T84.66 diabodies have been synthesized and evaluated in tumor-bearing mice (165, 404). Of these, the $^{124\text{I}}$- and $^{18\text{F}}$-labeled versions gave the best images, the latter of which afforded high-contrast images at early as 1 h postinjection, with only background levels of activity detected in CEA-negative C6 tumors (44). Similarly, anti-CEA minibodies have been made and labeled with radioisotopes for imaging tumor-bearing mice. The slightly larger size of minibodies compared with diabodies led to less accumulation in the kidneys, whilst maintaining similar tumor-to-background ratios (10.93 for $^{124\text{I}}$-diabody compared with 11.03 for $^{124\text{I}}$-minibody) (404).

There have been a number of other diabodies and minibodies developed for different targets that have been modified for use in bioluminescence (436) and fluorescence (390) imaging studies (see Refs. 113, 317 and Table 2 for some examples).

2. Affibodies

Another promising type of engineered protein is an affibody. Affibodies are small in size (~58 amino acids, ~7 kDa), display high affinity, and possess good in vivo stability. Their fast clearance from blood, rapid accumulation at their target site, and relatively short in vivo biologic half-life mean these proteins can potentially be radiolabeled with PET/SPECT isotopes of shorter half-lives ($^{18\text{F}}$ and $^{99\text{mTc}}$) (349).

In 2008, Cheng et al. (60) synthesized a monomeric and dimeric version of a $^{18\text{F}}$-labeled HER-2 affibody molecule. Both affibodies were evaluated using the same SKOV3 tumor-bearing mouse model and imaged using small animal PET. The monomeric version outperformed the dimeric form with its high and rapid uptake into HER-2 containing tumors, whereas the dimeric affibody showed low tumor accumulation and poor tumor-to-normal tissue ratios. The monomeric $^{18\text{F}}$-labeled HER-2 affibody shows significant potential for clinical translation (60).

Affibodies for HER2 have also been labeled with $^{125\text{I}}$, $^{111\text{In}}$, and $^{99\text{mTc}}$, and all have resulted in high-contrast images of tumors at early times postinjection (317). Recently, a HER2 affibody was conjugated to AlexaFluor680 and used to image HER2-positive xenografts in mice to monitor their response to treatment with heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) inhibitor (425) (see Figure 8B for images). Affibodies have also been labeled with SPIOs for in vivo MRI imaging of HER2-positive tumors (212).

With the recent explosion in antibody-based molecular imaging agents and the plethora of encouraging preclinical data, it is likely that antibodies and their engineered fragments will play an important role in diagnosing diseases, planning treatment strategies, and monitoring response to therapies (317).
F. Nanoparticles

Over the past decade, the attributes of nanotechnology in biomedical applications have sparked enormous interest in the scientific community, generating an increase in funding mechanisms through both government and industry, with the aim of translating these nanomaterials and technologies into the clinic (469).

In terms of imaging agents, nanoparticles are emerging as an exciting new set of diagnostic tools, capable of both passive and active targeting. Since there exist a range of different shaped nanoparticles of varying size, that can be composed of different materials, with unique surface properties and reactivities, it is not surprising that nanoparticles are among the most flexible imaging agents with respect to the types of imaging modalities they are compatible with. Although there is no fixed size constraint for what constitutes a nanoparticle, people typically consider them to be on the order of ~10–100 nm, and no larger than 1000 nm. Generally speaking, nanoparticles are larger than many proteins and small molecules (FIGURE 14), yet they are smaller than cells. Their relative large size (compared with small molecules and many proteins) means they are often taken up by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). Polymer-coated “stealth” nanoparticles, on the other hand, can partially avoid entrapment by the RES (for hours to days) (191). Due to their high surface area-to-volume ratio, many nanoparticles are able to carry substantial payloads of 1) targeting and/or signaling moiety and 2) therapeutic drug. The former means that for each nanoparticle bound to a target there can be a large increase in signal generated compared with, for example, the one signal generated for each small molecule imaging agent bound to a target. This multivalent mode of attachment is known as avidity and is particularly important in cases where sensitivity of the imaging modality is an issue (e.g., MRI). Also, due to the large number of targeting moieties attached to the surface of nanoparticles, they typically have a greater chance of binding to their target. Being able to transport a therapeutic payload means that nanoparticles have the potential to reduce unwanted side effects of many therapies (e.g., chemotherapy), as drug molecules can be delivered to diseased areas in a site-specific manner. Note, however, that the advantages of nanoparticles come at the expense of enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.* However, their biodegradability and toxicity, once administered in the body, are the topic of much debate and may be the major limiting factor concerning their approval for human use. Our laboratory has conducted pilot toxicity studies in mice using intravenously administered SWNTs to show their potential safety; however, further tests need to be performed prior to clinical translation (368).

Overall, due to their unique properties, nanoparticle-based imaging agents are predicted to form the basis of a new paradigm for detecting, monitoring, and treating pathologies. Some key types of nanoparticles currently impacting the field of molecular imaging are outlined below (see TABLE 2 for some specific examples).

1. Single-walled carbon nanotubes

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) are composed of a tubular graphene sheet (230). The dimensions of these cylindrical carbon particles vary and are easily controlled, but for biomedical applications are generally on the order of a few nanometers in diameter and several hundred nanometers in length. Due to their carbon composition, high aspect ratio, intrinsic NIR fluorescence, and relative ease of conjugating different targeting and signaling moieties to their surface, SWNTs are ideal for use with a variety of different imaging modalities (245). For example, SWNTs have been used in Raman (479), PET (283), and photoacoustic (78) imaging studies. To detect SWNTs using PET, molecules containing a positron emitting radionuclide need to be attached to their surface, whereas Raman imaging can detect SWNTs without any surface modification due to their sizable intrinsic Raman peak at 1,593 cm$^{-1}$. Similarly, due to their highly absorbing nature, SWNTs make good PAI agents without the need to attach additional signaling components (78). That said, our laboratory reported an enormous increase in photoacoustic signal after modifying SWNTs with certain dye molecules (77). To use SWNTs for imaging molecular phenomena, targeting moieties (e.g., small molecules, peptides, aptamers, or engineered proteins), specific for the biochemical process of interest, need to be conjugated to their surface, transforming them into targeted SWNTs.

An example of targeted SWNTs used in PAI and Raman molecular imaging studies are RGD-conjugated SWNTs for investigating angiogenic vessels in living xenograft tumor-bearing mice (78, 479) (refer to sect. II.B2 for details of studies). SWNTs have also been functionalized with anti-HER2 chicken IgY antibody (HER2 IgY) for breast cancer imaging (470).

Overall, SWNTs are attractive molecular imaging agent candidates due to their flexibility and promiscuity in terms of imaging modalities they are compatible with. Moreover, their favorable shape enables them to move into and out of the vasculature and accumulate in tumor tissue via the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.* However, their biodegradability and toxicity, once administered in the body, are the topic of much debate and may be the major limiting factor concerning their approval for human use. Our laboratory has conducted pilot toxicity studies in mice using intravenously administered SWNTs to show their potential safety; however, further tests need to be performed prior to clinical translation (368).
The EPR effect is based on the principle that macromolecules, including drugs and nanoparticles, can passively accumulate in tumor tissue to a greater extent than normal tissue due to the increased vascular permeability or “leakiness” associated with several tumor tissue types. This phenomenon is attributed to the abnormal architecture of the angiogenic vessels within the tumor tissue, often consisting of poorly aligned endothelial cells with wide fenestrations that can allow macromolecules to passively enter the tumor tissue site.

2. QDots

QDots are small nanoparticles, ~10 nm in size, typically comprised of the semiconductor materials cadmium and selenium. Their conducting properties are a direct result of a quantum effect intimately associated with the size and shape of individual crystals (80). Because of this, one can tune the emission wavelength of any given QDot by slightly altering its size. This high level of control is a key advantage of QDots as they can be optimized to meet the needs of a specific study and/or can be used in multiplexing experiments (i.e., a range of QDots can be synthesized, each with unique emission wavelengths and concurrent excitation so that one can image several targets simultaneously) (450). It is important to note that the size of QDots increases to ~20–30 nm with addition of polymer coat and/or functionalization.

Compared with traditional fluorophores, QDots are much brighter due to their high quantum yield, and are more photostable (i.e., they undergo significantly less photobleaching). In fact, these semiconductor nanocrystals are believed to be ~20 times brighter and 100 times more photostable than standard fluorescent reporters (446), meaning images can be acquired over longer periods of time in a more sensitive manner.

QDots are commercially available in a wide range of emission wavelengths, and in terms of cancer molecular imaging, QDots have been functionalized with different tumor targeting agents for use in a variety of animal models (127, 468). A couple of specific examples include RGD peptide-labeled QDots for imaging integrins and tumor vasculature in mice (45) and QDots functionalized with monoclonal anti-HER2 antibody for visualizing HER2-overexpressing breast cancer in mice (405). While QDots appear to be suitable markers for various pathological targets, they have also proven extremely useful as a “model nanoparticle,” helping imagers to understand the in vivo behavior of nanoparticles of similar size and shape (392).

The main disadvantage of QDots is their toxic core (made of cadmium), which has made clinical translation difficult, especially in the case of drug delivery, although adding a silica coating to cadmium QDots (58) or the development of new generation cadmium-free QDots (449) could help address toxicity concerns. Other limitations of QDots include the fact that they are prone to aggregation due to their surface chemistry, and the available methods of attaching targeting moieties can be challenging and time consuming. Furthermore, there seems to be a limit as to how many QDots of different emission wavelengths can be used per study. It seems that one can only multiplex by using up to about three or four different QDots before it becomes difficult to truly separate the signal from each QDot due to their relatively broad emission peaks. For detailed information on QDots, please refer to the following reviews (26, 110, 449).

3. Gold nanoparticles

Since colloidal gold is relatively inert and has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of arthritis (1), it is believed that nanoparticles made from gold could be a safer alternative to SWNTs and QDots. The FDA has approved several therapy-based gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) for human administration (284), such as Aurimune for the treatment of solid tumors.

Two common types of AuNPs used as imaging agents are spherical AuNPs and gold nanorods. Depending on their size, composition, and preparation, AuNPs can be used with a range of imaging modalities, including MRI, CT, radionuclide, and optical techniques. When using AuNPs for Raman imaging studies, one must first coat the outside of the particles with Raman-active molecules, a process known to enhance the Raman signal obtained from AuNPs by several orders of magnitude, allowing ultra-sensitive detection. This phenomenon is known as the SERS effect (see sect. II B1 for description) and is due to a plasmonic resonance that occurs on the AuNP’s surface when excited with a laser. There are a variety of Raman-active molecules that can be adsorbed onto the surface of AuNPs, e.g., 3,3-diethylthiatricarbocyanine, bis-pyridyl-ethylene, rhodamine 6G, and pyridine derivatives. Since these molecules each give rise to different Raman spectra, it is possible to produce multiple unique “flavors” of Raman-compatible AuNPs (known as SERS AuNPs), each with their own spectral fingerprint. By using different batches of SERS AuNPs (individually conjugated with different targeting ligands and administered together), one can theoretically image several targets, and hence biochemical processes, simultaneously. Our laboratory demonstrated the multiplexing capabilities of Raman by proving it is possible to detect up to 10 different types of spherical SERS AuNPs, each possessing its own unique Raman spectra, in living mice (204) (FIGURE 10B).

To date, only a couple of targeted-SERS AuNPs for in vivo imaging have been reported, including affibody-conjugated (192) and single-chain variable fragment-conjugated (346) SERS AuNPs for detection of EGFR overexpressing tumors in living rodents.
Gold nanorods are well suited for both optical and photoacoustic imaging, due to their strong plasmon resonant absorption and emission in the visible to NIR region. The surface-plasmon peak positions of AuNRs depend on their aspect ratio, and hence can be tuned depending on the desired application (306, 417). Gold nanorods can convert optical energy into heat giving rise to intense local photothermal effects. For this reason, gold nanorods not only serve as a diagnostic (molecular imaging) tool, but also can be of therapeutic use. Recent studies using PEG-protected gold nanorods displaying excellent photothermal properties and long circulation half-life of ~17 h enabled destruction of all laser-irradiated human xenograft tumors in mice (444). An example of functionalized gold nanorods for preclinical molecular imaging studies is HER2 conjugated gold nanorods. Ding et al. (86) reported the synthesis and use of these nanorods for photothermal treatment assessment in tumor-bearing SCID mice. The results of these studies demonstrated a marked increase in local tumor temperature (and therefore effective treatment) in the mice treated with HER2-nanorods compared with the group that received nontargeted gold nanorods (86).

One of the main advantages of working with AuNPs is their flexibility in terms of the number of different imaging modalities that can detect them, thus creating the opportunity for multi-modality imaging (e.g., optical/CT/PAT). In addition, AuNPs are relatively easy to functionalize (306) with targeting moieties. The nonbiodegradable nature of AuNPs is an important consideration in terms of repeat imaging studies and toxicity. Although gold is relatively inert, and has been approved by the FDA as a therapeutic, the toxicity of different AuNPs needs to be thoroughly investigated to better understand their potential acute versus chronic toxicity, and how their nonbiodegradable nature may affect the number of allowed repeat studies. This is especially important when using imaging modalities with low sensitivities, such as CT or MRI, whereby a large dose of AuNPs would need to be administered to obtain a measurable signal.

The main limitation of working with large (>100 nm) spherical (gold-based and other) nanoparticles is their round shape, which makes it challenging for them to extravasate and reach their target site (unless their target site is within the blood vessel itself). However, it has been shown that some cancers display a leaky vasculature, and nanoparticles of this size/shape can selectively accumulate due to the EPR effect. Nanorods, on the other hand, are likely to escape the vasculature with relative ease due to their favorable shape.

4. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIOs) are specifically designed for imaging studies using MRI (see sect. IIA2). They are typically comprised of an iron oxide (magnetite) core coated with a hydrophilic matrix material. They produce their contrast by disturbing the local magnetic field in which they are placed. This disturbance results in ultrafast dephasing of neighboring protons (shortened T2), leading to a measurable alteration in MR signal (signal loss in MR images) (416; see sect. IIA2 for details on MR signal).

The size of SPIOs varies considerably, from 2–3 nm up to micrometers (416). The types of SPIOs used for molecular imaging purposes are typically in the tens of nanometers size range, contain a dextran coat for stabilization, and can either be directly targeted to membrane receptors (via conjugating specific peptides or engineered proteins to SPIOs) or preloaded into cells of interest so they can be tracked over time (see sect. IV for details on cell tracking).

Some examples of receptor imaging using SPIO molecular imaging probes include SPIO-chlorotoxin peptide conjugates (Cy5.5-SPIO-chlorotoxin) specific for imaging matrix metalloproteinase-2 (434) or anti-HER2 antibody-conjugated-SPIOs for detecting HER-2 expressing cancer cells (212), and complement receptor type 2 (CR2)-targeted SPIOs for localizing renal inflammation (378). Please refer to the following review (416) for a summary of synthetic strategies (including coprecipitation and microemulsion) for SPIOs.

SPIOs are generating much interest, not only due to their biodegradability (97), targeting (and cell-loading/tracking) abilities, and low toxicity, but also due to the fact that nontargeted SPIOs have been used in the clinic for some time now (making clinical translation of targeted-SPIOs easier). However, there are also a number of limitations associated with SPIOs, mainly due to the high amounts needed to obtain a detectable MR signal, and their often large size, which mostly limits them to targeting intravascular sites, or to uptake by cells through phagocytic pathways.

5. Nanoparticle molecular imaging agents: concluding remarks

As exciting as nanoparticle-based imaging agents are, their limitations should be kept in mind. Their size can sometimes be a key factor concerning their effective utilization in living subjects. Delivery to target sites at a sufficient concentration remains a significant challenge, primarily due to competition for uptake via the RES. Other issues are related to slow clearance times, thereby making it potentially difficult to distinguish targeted nanoparticles bound to the target(s) of interest from nonbound nanoparticle. Also, due to their heterogeneity, one is not dealing with the exact same entity, as is the case for small molecules. This makes their characterization, toxicity, and potential translation more challenging. However, the growing number of approved therapeutic nanoparticles (100, 362) (such as Doxil, a liposome drug delivery system used to treat AIDS-associated...
Kaposi’s sarcoma and ovarian cancer) is currently serving as a template for the clinical translation of functionalized nanoparticles for molecular imaging purposes, thus accelerating progress in this area.

G. Multimodality Probes

Multimodality probes are imaging agents capable of being detected via two or more different imaging modalities simultaneously. Since these types of probes have the potential to generate multiple readouts concerning the same biological phenomenon, they could be of great value for numerous clinical and basic research applications.

Multimodality probes are predominately nanoparticle based (e.g., SPIOs, gold nanospheres/nanorods, SWNTs, QDots); however, there are also examples of engineered proteins (312), peptides (96), and small molecule-type multimodality probes (293). Typical examples of the types of multimodality imaging these probes enable include MRI/optical, MRI/PET, MRI/SPECT, PET/optical, SPECT/optical, PAI/Raman, and PAI/Raman/IVM imaging (see Table 2 for examples of multimodality probes).

A specific example of a multimodality probe that has been used for molecular imaging of angiogenesis is 99mTc-labeled gadolinium-containing αβ3-targeted nanoparticles. SPECT and MRI imaging of these nanoparticles in tumor-bearing rabbits (248) demonstrated specific accumulation in tumor neovasculature. MRI molecular imaging along with 3D mapping of angiogenesis in the tumor showed that the neovasculature was asymmetrically located along the periphery of the tumor, whereas CT scanning could not delineate between tumor and lymph node, showing the benefit of a SPECT/MRI multimodality probe compared with imaging with a SPECT probe and SPECT/CT.

An example of a multimodality probe that is detectable via three different imaging modalities (MRI, PAI, and Raman imaging) is the MPR nanoparticle (213). This triple-modality probe was shown to accurately identify tumor margins in mice bearing orthotopic human glioblastoma tumors in the intact skull and also intraoperatively, highlighting its potential for helping solve the important clinical problem of glioma management. Current methods for delineating brain tumor margins, before and during surgery, are often fraught with difficulty due to inadequate sensitivity, specificity, and spatial resolution. With the use of the strengths of MRI, PAI, and Raman imaging, the MPR nanoparticle is able to sensitively and accurately detect tumor margins at high spatial resolution and is thus a promising new approach that warrants further investigation.

Some advantages of implementing a multimodality probe over single-function probes include 1) less time is required to obtain more information, 2) only one injection is needed, 3) favorable characteristics from complementary modalities can be exploited whilst overcoming limitations of individual modalities (e.g., one can potentially obtain high-resolution, high-sensitivity scans with PET/MRI multi-modality probes), and 4) a combined probe means that pharmacokinetics will be kept constant (259), whereas two separate probes will have different pharmacokinetics which can be problematic.

The main challenge involved in developing and using multimodality imaging probes is that some modalities (such as PET and MRI) differ in their sensitivities by more than three orders of magnitude (259). Hence, it is not ideal to simply add probes together, as a much higher mass of MRI probe is needed compared with a PET probe for detection, and if we simply combine the two in a 1:1 ratio, the high sensitivity of PET will be wasted. For this reason, a combined probe can lead to a compromise compared with using each component separately (30). An example of this was demonstrated by a multimodality probe consisting of iron oxide nanoparticles coated with 64Cu-RGD whereby the 64Cu-RGD peptide was shown to be a more favorable imaging agent compared with the multimodality probe combination (30). This was due to high nonspecific binding and high liver uptake of the multimodality probe (both 10-fold higher) due to increased circulation half-life and affinity of nanoparticles for the RES, respectively. For a thorough review of multimodality imaging probes, please see Ref. 259.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF MOLECULAR IMAGING

A. Oncology

Cancer is a devastating global health issue affecting every socioeconomic class, from the poverty stricken to the very affluent. Despite the considerable resources dedicated to fighting cancer, it remains the leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for a total of 7.9 million deaths (~13% of all deaths) in 2008, as reported by the World Health Organization (WHO). This number is expected to increase dramatically over the coming years and is projected to triple by the year 2030.

There have been numerous developments in cancer research over the past two decades, including the discovery of novel diagnostic strategies and therapeutics, identification of key causal factors, and the mapping of the entire genome sequences of two separate cancers (379). However, the above statistics speak for themselves and serve as a reminder that we still have a long way to go to impact this devastating disease.

Traditionally, cancers have been diagnosed and staged via biopsies, exploratory surgery, or anatomical imaging techniques such as CT or MRI. However, since biopsies are invasive and biochemical changes occur much earlier than morphological ones, these techniques have their limitations. On the other hand, molecular imaging can act as an
enhanced, noninvasive means of detecting, staging, and monitoring cancer progression. Molecular imaging can also be used to expedite cancer drug discovery (373), predict responders versus nonresponders to certain treatments (398), and help determine the overall effectiveness of therapies longitudinally (260). Importantly, on a basic research level, molecular imaging can help to unravel the underlying mechanisms of cancer.

Generally speaking, cancer involves the alteration of numerous surface cell receptors (e.g., various growth factor receptors), sustained angiogenesis, alterations in signaling pathways, changes in cellular metabolism (e.g., as a direct result of increased requirements for amino acids, lipids, and/or glucose), and the modification of genes that regulate proliferation, vascular recruitment, hypoxia, apoptosis, evasion of the immune system, and metastasis (147, 282).

When developing molecular imaging agents for cancer-related applications, a researcher can target any one of the aforementioned processes (e.g., angiogenesis) or a combination of a few simultaneously (via multiplexing approaches) (223). Currently, there are multitudes of imaging agents available for imaging various cancer-related targets at a basic research level (as well as some for clinical applications). Examples include agents for angiogenesis (25, 43, 68), hypoxia (230, 286), proliferation (201, 308), tumor metabolism (338), apoptosis (139, 141), and metastasis (131, 300, 322). The scope of this review does not allow for a detailed description of how molecular imaging agents can be used to visualize each of these processes; however, please refer to the reviews referenced above along with the following reviews (6, 121, 430) for further details. Provided next are a few examples of molecular imaging agents for clinical and preclinical oncology studies.

The metabolic imaging agent [18F]FDG (see sect. IIA3 and FIGURE 13) is commonly used in the clinic for detecting and staging different cancers (17, 98, 150, 178). More recently, [18F]FDG has shown promise for its ability to monitor and predict response to chemotherapy and radiation therapy (8). Choi et al. (63) demonstrated that [18F]FDG has the potential to predict response to therapy and patient survival in pancreatic cancer patients. In this particular study, 20 patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer were scanned via [18F]FDG-PET following neoadjuvant induction chemotherapy, and then again after chemoradiotherapy. Mean survival for patients classified as responders via [18F]FDG-PET scans was 23.2, and 11.3 mo for those classified as nonresponders via [18F]FDG-PET. A separate study involving patients with locally advanced breast cancer demonstrated the possible role of [18F]FDG in differentiating responders from nonresponders following neoadjuvant chemotherapy (231). In this study, it was found that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of [18F]FDG-PET/CT in identifying responders and nonresponders were 93, 75, and 87%, respectively. Other similar studies have also been performed; however, some found that [18F]FDG-PET uptake failed to correlate with long-term patient outcome (40). Further studies are required to determine the potential role of [18F]FDG-PET in therapy monitoring and predicting clinical outcome.

In terms of cancer molecular imaging in a preclinical setting, there are a number of different types of research initiatives being conducted, including (but not limited to) 1) the design, development, and validation of new cancer-detecting imaging agents/imaging techniques; 2) the creation of imaging agents specifically for monitoring response to therapy, or to serve as prognostic indicators; and 3) molecular imaging studies using techniques such as cell tracking (see sect. IVE) or reporter gene imaging (see sect. IVD) to study the underlying mechanisms and signaling pathways of cancer.

An example of a study involving the synthesis and assessment of a novel imaging agent for cancer detection is one reported by Yang et al. (474). In this study, the authors describe the use of optical/MRI dual-modality magnetic iron oxide (IO) particles targeted at the serine protease urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) for detecting pancreatic cancer and its metastases in an orthotopic human pancreatic cancer mouse model (474). High levels of uPAR are often found in pancreatic cancer, and its presence is closely associated with tumor progression, metastasis, and shortened survival in patients (351). NIR dye-labeled amino-terminal fragment (ATF) peptides were conjugated to IO particles and injected in living mice for both MRI and optical fluorescence imaging studies. Results from this study demonstrated the ability of these targeted-IO particles to selectively bind to, and accumulate in, small pancreatic tumors (0.5–1 mm3) in living mice. Interestingly, there was a clear advantage of using Cy5.5-ATF-IO nanoparticles in tumor targeting compared with using Cy5.5-ATF peptides alone (FIGURE 16). Since these particles can be detected by both MRI and optical imaging platforms, there is significant potential for clinical translation, for both diagnostic applications (detection of pancreatic cancer lesions by MRI) and intraoperative applications for delineation of tumor margins (via optical fluorescence imaging), thus making this strategy a promising new way to image cancer.

Another preclinical example of cancer molecular imaging was reported by our laboratories, whereby a novel peptide-based imaging agent was compared head-to-head with [18F]FDG. The study involved the comparison of both radioligands in a spontaneous lung tumor mouse model (304). The PET imaging studies demonstrated that the novel 64Cu-labeled knottin peptide (targeted to integrins upregulated during angiogenesis) displayed a higher tumor to background (normal lung) ratio compared with [18F]FDG (6.01 ± 0.61 vs. 4.36 ± 0.68; P < 0.05), thought to be due to its high uptake, retention, and low background accumulation in the thorax region. 64Cu-labeled knottin
peptide could be a promising new agent for detecting and characterizing lung cancer.

Molecular imaging, in combination with traditional techniques, is essential to understanding the molecular targets and processes involved in cancer. The use of molecular imaging for cancer-related applications will hopefully lead to earlier diagnosis and better management of cancer patients, thus improving patient survival rates.

B. Neuroscience

The brain is a highly complex entity, and although there has been much progress in neurological research over the last few decades, many of the basic functions and pathologies of the brain remain enigmatic. Neurons account for 10% of the total brain cell population (~100 billion cells), while the other 90% are glial cells. Mechanisms of individual brain cells, their synapses, and how they operate are fairly well established; however, the way in which these cells interact with one another, form intricate networks, and participate in cross-talk is poorly understood. Furthermore, how and why particular neuropathologies arise within the brain is yet to be fully determined.

Our limited knowledge of the brain and the initial molecular processes involved in neurological disease has made early diagnosis of brain diseases a near-impossible task.
Traditionally, the presence of a particular CNS disease is confirmed in late stages of the condition (when clinical signs are obvious, and in many cases, extensive neuronal death has occurred) or via postmortem analysis. However, over the past few decades, this has been gradually changing, mostly due to the advent of noninvasive imaging techniques. Neuroimaging, using techniques such as MRI, PET, and SPECT, has enabled clinicians and scientists alike to understand some of the basic operations of the brain more clearly in a noninvasive manner. Researchers have been able to identify key hallmarks of many neurological diseases, whilst observing how they develop and progress over time. Molecular imaging has been used to interrogate and provide insight on multiple areas of neuroscience including neurodegenerative diseases (92, 103), neuroinflammatory conditions (401, 438), stroke (151), brain tumors (422), neuropsychiatric conditions (424), and changes in brain metabolism. The scope of this review does not allow for a detailed description of molecular imaging applications in each of these areas of neuroscience (for a thorough account, please see above references and Ref. 146 for a review of molecular neuroimaging). Some specific examples of different molecular imaging agents, and how they have been used to assist researchers and clinicians in understanding and diagnosing neuroinflammatory/neurodegenerative conditions will be described, from both a preclinical and clinical perspective.

Neurodegeneration is a process in which the cells in the brain and/or spinal cord gradually deteriorate and are lost over time. There are numerous theories regarding the etiology of this process, including those involving infection (193), free radical production (341), excitotoxic cell death (324), loss of neurotrophic factors (153), ingestion of a neurotoxin (319), mitochondrial dysfunction (18), and induction of apoptosis (315); however, a single causal factor is yet to be identified. The process of neurodegeneration is common to many disorders including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), multiple sclerosis (MS), Huntington’s disease (HD), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) associated dementia, and Creutzfeld-Jakob disease. The neurodegenerative process and resulting cell death for each of these diseases occur in different brain regions and thus leads to different clinical hallmarks and symptoms, mostly of which have extremely debilitating consequences for the affected individual.

In the case of AD, there is an abnormal protein aggregation in and around neurons within the limbic, paralimbic, and neocortical structures of the brain, the regions controlling memory, thus causing a progressive loss of memory, cognitive decline, disorientation (regarding time and space), and impaired judgment. PD, on the other hand, is typified by the loss of dopamine (DA)-producing neurons in the basal ganglia, the brain region governing body movement, resulting in pronounced motor-related clinical signs including bradykinesia (slowness in movement), rigidity, and tremor.

Due to the diversity and complexity of each type of neurodegenerative disease, it is important to investigate different biochemical aspects of neurodegeneration within each of these states. This will ultimately lead to a more complete understanding of these conditions and aid in devising better diagnostic and treatment strategies. There are a number of available molecular imaging agents for diagnosis and/or assessment of treatment efficacy in the clinical setting, all of which are either PET or SPECT based. In terms of AD, [18F]FDDNP has proven useful in detecting both plaques and tangles (202), and Pittsburgh compound B ([11C]PIB) has gained attention as a suitable radioligand for quantitative imaging of amyloid plaques (219). Radioligands such as [11C]WIN 35,428, specific for the dopamine transporter (DAT), have been used as early indicators of PD since DAT levels are known to decrease in parallel with the loss of dopamine neurons in the initial stages of PD, before any clinical signs manifest (274). In terms of monitoring PD progression and treatment effectiveness, [18F]fluorodopa, a specific radioligand for measuring presynaptic dopaminergic metabolism (Table 5), has been used in a number of clinical trials (275). One such trial involving 186 PD patients demonstrated, via [18F]fluorodopa PET imaging, that patients receiving the DA agonist ropinirole displayed a significantly reduced loss of striatal uptake at 2 years compared with patients given levodopa (13 vs. 20%) (66).

On the preclinical research front, various molecular imaging agents have been developed to investigate more fundamental molecular events relating to neurodegeneration, in particular, the presence of neuroinflammation and its apparent involvement in the initial onset of degenerative conditions. One such target is the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR), also called the translocator protein (18 kDa) (TSPO) (323), known to be present at high levels in microglial cells upon their activation (an event intimately associated with neuroinflammation). In 2009, Venneti et al. (437) demonstrated that the retention of [11C]PK11195, a selective PET radioligand for the PBR, in transgenic AD mice correlated with the amount of microglial activation determined by histology, effectively proving the value of the PBR as an in vivo marker of microglial activation in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative conditions.

Since these results, and other similar results, it has become clear that imaging agents targeting the PBR may act as useful markers of neuroinflammation. Over the past two decades [11C]PK11195 has been used in numerous preclinical and clinical studies of neuroinflammation and has highlighted that microglial activation occurs in very early stages of AD and precedes brain atrophy (41). Currently, [11C]PK11195 is the most widely used pharmacological imaging agent for the study of the PBR in living subjects; it has
been used to visualize increased levels of PBR expression (and thus microglial expression) in patients with MS (16, 81), AD (41, 439), herpes encephalitis (42), and numerous other neurological disorders. FIGURE 17 displays the results from a human PET study involving volunteers (both healthy and those with mild AD) and $^{[11}C\text{PK}11195$ as the imaging agent. The study found that the extent of $^{[11}C\text{PK}11195$ binding represented the severity of microglial activation/neuroinflammation and could be used as a marker of early disease in the living brain (41). Since these early studies with $^{[11}C\text{PK}11195$, a number of newer PET and SPECT radioligands specific for the PBR have been synthesized with the aim of improving on the low brain permeability and high protein plasma binding observed with $^{[11}C\text{PK}11195$. The newer PBR specific radioligands, namely, $^{[12}I\text{CLINDE}$(12), $^{[18}F\text{FEDAA}1106$ (115), and $^{[11}C\text{DPA-713}$ (99, 184), are all currently undergoing human clinical trials to determine which is most useful as a PBR imaging agent. The development of such radioligands is important and may represent useful indicators of inflammatory-associated neurodegeneration, thus enabling earlier detection in conditions like AD. Moreover, these imaging agents, and others targeting markers of neuroinflammation, may assist in deciphering the role of microglia/inflammation in different pathological states.

Apart from radionuclide-based strategies, there have been a number of optical and MRI-based techniques developed for visualizing neuroinflammation, including the use of P-selectin targeted iron oxide nanoparticles for MRI imaging of early endothelial activation in poststroke inflammation (188), and the tracking of luciferase-expressing bone marrow cells via bioluminescence (4). The latter of these techniques involved the intravenous administration of luciferase-expressing bone marrow cells, known to differentiate into bone marrow-derived microglia after crossing the blood-brain barrier, induction of neuroinflammation via a stereotactic injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and tracking of the luciferase-expressing bone marrow-derived microglia through a cranial window in a mouse head using bioluminescence. These studies demonstrated that bioluminescence can be used quantitatively and continuously to visualize bone marrow-derived cells in a living subject (with a cranial window), as confirmed by immunohistochemistry.

Techniques such as these, whereby cells and molecular processes can be visualized in their natural environments, are beginning to shed considerable light on the biochemistry of brain inflammation and are thus serving as a means of unraveling this perplexing cellular pathway. Overall, noninvasive imaging of the brain is an important application of molecular imaging and has led to numerous developments and discoveries, and has great potential in the fields of psychiatry (47), neurology (180), neuroscience (179), and neuropharmacology.

C. Cardiovascular

Cardiovascular (CV) disease, although preventable in many cases, is the number one cause of mortality in the United States and most European countries, ahead of cancer. Like cancer and neurological pathologies, CV diseases are complex, difficult to detect early, and vary from patient to patient. Some of the key types of CV diseases include atherosclerosis, heart failure, myocardial ischemia, and myocardial viability (467).

Traditional methods for detecting and studying these diseases have involved invasive angiography, stress echocardiography, anatomical imaging techniques (CT angiography, intravascular US), and a range of functional imaging strategies employing MRI, PET, and SPECT. Although these methods provide useful information, they are limited to detecting anatomical and physiological changes (such as contractile function or blood flow), which are not always accurate indicators of disease. For example, in atherosclerosis, a decrease in the size of the vessel lumen (detected via angiography) is typically used as a sign of plaque formation; however, some people show no physical, morphological, or physiological indications of disease via angiography prior to having a cardiac event. Others experience rupture of plaques in areas containing small to modest luminal narrowing (467). Serum markers are also used for atherosclerosis patients, but mainly to predict future cardiovascular events (226); however, these markers can only provide information on the entire “cardiovascular burden” of a patient and cannot provide insight on the location and vulnerability of individual plaques (194).

Molecular imaging, involving the use of targeted imaging agents specific for various biochemical indicators of CV disease, could potentially enable earlier detection and more accurate monitoring of these conditions. Additionally, molecular imaging may help validate and assess the efficacy of
treatments such as statins, stem cell transplantation, and gene therapy. Please refer to the following reviews for detailed descriptions on the current status of CV molecular imaging (56, 364, 467). A few key examples of how molecular imaging is being used in atherosclerosis and heart failure (HF) will now be described.

1. Atherosclerosis

Molecular imaging of atherosclerosis is an expanding area of CV research in which many approaches have been developed (279, 448). Most myocardial infarctions (heart attacks) and sudden cardiac related deaths occur due to thrombosis and subsequent rupture of plaques seen in atherosclerosis. Therefore, it would be of great value to be able to identify and stage asymptomatic vulnerable plaques at high risk of acute complications noninvasively and repeatedly over time, so as to implement appropriate treatments (89, 364).

Atherosclerosis is no longer viewed as a disease solely involving accumulation of pathological low-density lipoproteins (LDLs); it is now recognized as a chronic condition comprised of many intricate stages whereby the immune component is crucial to its initiation and progression (i.e., formation of plaques, their destabilization, and rupture) (264, 400). The general process of plaque development and progression within atherosclerosis, and the molecular mechanisms underlying this condition, can be broken down into the following stages (62).

1) Endothelial activation: accumulation of LDLs (plasma proteins that carry cholesterol and triglycerides) and their subsequent oxidation, triggers the expression of adhesion molecules, such as ICAM, VCAM, and PECAM, on endothelial cells.

2) Recruitment of leukocytes: the expression of adhesion molecules leads to recruitment and firm attachment of T cells and monocytes. After monocytes differentiate into macrophages, they activate T cells, resulting in production of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IFN-γ and TNF-α). This perpetuates the cycle by activating macrophages, triggering the release of other inflammatory mediators.

3) Formation of foam cells and necrotic core: when macrophages endocytose LDLs they are termed “foam cells” (264). Formation and aggregation of foam cells results in “fatty streaks” and production of a necrotic core. The center of the core contains an abundance of apoptotic and necrotic cells (62).

4) Fibrous plaques: fibrous plaques are considered to be mature atherosclerotic plaques and are characterized by a necrotic core, consisting of lipids, cell debris, and a fibrous cap.

5) Advanced lesions and thrombosis: when macrophages secrete proteases and collagenases they can degrade the smooth muscle cell (SMC)-derived extracellular matrix (ECM) of the fibrous cap and cause the plaque to rupture. Calcification and angiogenesis are also common characteristics of advanced lesions and thrombosis (62).

Molecular imaging using specific and selective imaging agents can be used to interrogate each of the above outlined stages of plaque development (i.e., macrophage recruitment, adhesion molecules, apoptosis, angiogenesis, ECM degradation). Examples of such molecular imaging strategies include antibodies coupled to immunomicelles (for optical and MRI imaging of macrophage scavenger receptor CD204) (10), targeted microbubbles for US identification of VCAM-1 (196), 99mTc-labeled annexin A5 for SPECT imaging of apoptosis in fibrous caps (208), 18F-galacto-RGD for PET imaging of vascular inflammation and angiogenesis (via detection of alpha-V beta-3) (234), and MRI probes for imaging MMPs involved in ECM degradation (235). All of these imaging strategies promise, however, none is able to image processes that are entirely specific to atherosclerosis (e.g., apoptosis and angiogenesis are also hallmarks of other diseases such as cancer). This is an issue with many molecular imaging strategies in general, not just those for cardiac imaging.

Since the first study in 1987 proving [18F]FDG could detect inflammation in blood vessels (413), the metabolic molecular imaging agent has been used in numerous clinical studies to evaluate plaque progression (310, 359) and has demonstrated its use as a viable means of detecting and quantifying inflammation in atherosclerosis-related plaques (when coupled to CT or MRI) (38, 194). Although [18F]FDG has shown potential in imaging the inflammatory component of atherosclerotic plaques, and seems to correlate well with the number of macrophages present (310), it still remains unclear whether [18F]FDG uptake is a reliable indicator of plaque vulnerability, and whether it can accurately predict future CV events. Large-scale prospective studies need to be performed to begin answering these questions.

2. Heart failure

Heart failure (HF), also called congestive heart failure (CHF), is a progressive disorder characterized by dyspnea, fatigue, generalized weakness, and edema. Traditionally, the basic assessment of HF patients has been performed by echocardiographic evaluation of left ventricular function. However, noninvasive imaging strategies are emerging for visualizing molecular processes involved in HF, including cellular injury, neurohormonal receptor function, and metabolism (107).

An example of a preclinical molecular imaging technique for visualizing HF is the imaging of cardiomyocyte apopto-
sis in a transgenic mouse model of HF using annexin-labeled fluorescent IO-nanoparticles (AnxCLIO-Cy5.5) and a 9.4-T MRI (395). Results of this study demonstrated that sparse amounts of apoptotic cardiomyocytes could be imaged using this approach with high spatial resolution and that this imaging strategy could be useful in the validation of new anti-apoptotic therapeutics for HF.

Clinical examples of molecular imaging strategies for HF include the use of $[^{123}]$metaiodobenzylguanidine ($[^{123}]$mIBG) as a prognostic indicator in HF patients (3, 181). Since $[^{123}]$mIBG is simply a radiolabeled analog of the antihypertensive drug guanethidine, it is thus taken up by norepinephrine transporter system and accumulates in adrenergic nerve terminals (3). A reduced amount of $[^{123}]$mIBG uptake is related to many CV diseases including HF. For more examples of how molecular imaging has been applied in managing and studying heart failure, please see Reference 386.

D. Reporter Gene Imaging and Gene Therapy

Reporter gene imaging is an indirect imaging technique whereby the location, persistence, and expression levels of a reporter gene can be monitored repetitively over time, in a noninvasive manner. Over the past decade, reporter gene imaging has become increasingly important in terms of monitoring and optimizing gene therapy, investigating protein-protein interactions, imaging transgenic animals, cell tracking, and drug development.

In general, a reporter gene strategy consists of 1) a reporter gene and 2) a corresponding reporter probe. The reporter gene typically encodes a well-known protein (receptor, enzyme, or transporter) and the reporter probe is used to detect this protein via an imaging modality such as bioluminescence, PET, SPECT, or MRI. There are also reporter gene strategies for optical fluorescence imaging; however, they do not require a reporter probe per se, as the optical reporter genes (e.g., GFP, RFP) lead to the expression of a protein capable of producing detectable light (following excitation light reaching the reporter protein). In any case, the imaging signal detected in reporter gene strategies reflects the levels of reporter gene expression. The reporter gene is driven by a promoter of choice. This promoter can be a constitutive type that is always on (e.g., CMV promoter), or be activated based on some intracellular event. In this way the reporter gene expression is regulated by the choice of promoter.

1. What does molecular imaging offer that traditional reporter strategies do not?

Traditional reporter gene strategies either involve analyzing postmortem samples or taking tissue samples from living subjects, thus making it difficult to perform repeat measure-
ments. Also, the information yielded from these studies can be limited. For example, the gene expression in one tissue sample is not necessarily indicative of the expression levels in other regions of the body. In contrast, molecular imaging of reporter genes/products has the benefit of enabling noninvasive tracking of gene expression levels, their persistence, and location over time, in living intact subjects (123, 125).

2. Characteristics of an ideal reporter gene imaging strategy

- Reporter gene should be already present in mammalian cells, to circumvent an immune response, but not expressed.
- Apart from transgenic applications, the size of the reporter gene and its driving promoter should be small enough to fit into a delivery vector (e.g., plasmid, virus).
- The image signal should correlate with the levels of reporter gene mRNA and protein in vivo.
- The protein product of the reporter gene should not cause an immune response.
- The signal should only be detected in regions where the reporter gene is expressed.
- The strategy should not lead to alterations in the biological system of interest [e.g., in the D2R reporter gene system, it was found that DA was interacting with the reporter gene products, namely, the D2R, and causing G protein-mediated inhibition of cAMP production. After discovering this, Liang et al. (247) developed a mutant D2R reporter gene system whereby DA binding is uncoupled from signal transduction].

In systems where a reporter probe is required, the probe should ideally 1) only accumulate in regions where the reporter gene is expressed (the reporter probe should not engage in nonspecific binding or accumulate in tissues where the reporter gene is not expressed); 2) display excellent in vivo stability i.e., should not be the subject of metabolism prior to reaching its target; 3) be nontoxic (including its metabolites); 4) clear from the blood, and any other nonspecific tissues, rapidly so as to not interfere with the detection of the specific signal. However, if the reporter probe does not produce any signal until it interacts with reporter protein (e.g., D-luciferin and firefly luciferase), then rapid blood clearance is not necessary.

As mentioned above, the applications of reporter gene imaging are many; this section of the review will only discuss applications pertaining to gene therapy/targeted gene expression. Please refer to the following reviews (124, 195, 292, 377) and recent textbook (125) for a detailed account of the clinical and basic research applications of reporter gene imaging. Also, see section IV for examples of how reporter gene imaging (as well as direct imaging approaches) can be used for cell tracking.
Gene therapy has great potential for treating many diseases (e.g., sickle cell anemia, Huntington’s disease, cancer, blood disorders, etc.); however, the therapeutic benefit of these treatments is currently not convincing. Since reporter gene imaging can be used as a generic means of monitoring expression of therapeutic genes, it has the potential to help unravel the underlying problems of current gene therapy techniques and expedite their optimization and subsequent clinical translation.

Over the past decade, a number of reporter gene strategies have been developed that are capable of indirectly measuring levels of a given therapeutic gene (156, 347). This is typically achieved by coupling a therapeutic gene to a known reporter gene so that they can both be delivered and expressed simultaneously.

A recent preclinical example of this is the use of the MRI-based transferrin receptor (TfR) reporter gene system to monitor the expression levels of endostatin gene (449). The endostatin gene encodes the endostatin protein, which is known to be a potent inhibitor of angiogenesis. This specific example involved intratumoral injection of a specially designed retroviral plasmid containing both the TfR gene and endostatin gene, connected by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES), in mice bearing breast tumors. Following this, the mice were injected with the reporter probe (transferrin-conjugated ultrasmall SPIOs) and imaged using MRI. The results of the study showed that it was possible to visualize therapeutic gene expression in breast tumors in mice, and although the results were encouraging, there were a number of important limitations of the study. Some of these limitations included the poor sensitivity of MRI, the use of a retroviral vector for gene transfer (which has a number of associated risks that limit its clinical translation including possible immunogenic affects), and the intratumoral delivery route, which is not ideal as most gene therapies will be targeted towards diseases in which the exact location may not be known (e.g., cancer metastases). Further work is needed to fully explore and optimize this approach.

Another preclinical example of assessing a reporter gene imaging strategy for noninvasive monitoring of gene therapy is the use of the PET-based HSV1-tk reporter gene system and [18F]FHBG as a reporter probe for monitoring cardiac therapeutic gene delivery and expression in pigs (357) (FIGURE 18). PET/CT imaging revealed that there was [18F]FHBG accumulation in regions that received the gene therapy. A, anterior; B, bladder; CL, contralateral; I, intestines; K, kidney; L, left; Li, liver; LV, left ventricular; P, posterior; R, right.

![FIGURE 18. Example of molecular imaging study using reporter gene/reporter probe strategy to monitor cardiac therapeutic gene delivery in pigs. Computed tomography (CT) image (A), positron emission tomography (PET) image (B), fused PET/CT image (C), and whole body PET image (D) from pig study conducted using herpes simplex virus 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-tk) reporter gene system and [18F]-radiolabeled 9-[4-fluoro-3-(hydroxymethyl)butyl]guanine ([18F]FHBG) as a PET reporter probe. PET/CT imaging revealed that there was [18F]FHBG accumulation in regions that received the gene therapy. A, anterior; B, bladder; CL, contralateral; I, intestines; K, kidney; L, left; Li, liver; LV, left ventricular; P, posterior; R, right. [From Rodriguez-Porcel et al. (357), with permission from Elsevier.]

moral injection of an adenoviral vector encoding the HSV1-tk enzyme, the PET/CT imaging was conducted, as this was believed to be the time point when expression of the reporter gene would be highest (judging from previous animal studies). The results showed there was significantly more accumulation of the reporter probe in the viable nodule compared with the surrounding tissue. Moreover, the images demonstrated that the reporter gene expression was contained to the liver tumor and that the transfected lesion was most clearly identifiable at 6.5 h postinjection of reporter probe. Although these studies involved intratumoral injection (which is suboptimal for clinical translation), they demonstrate the promise of using a highly sensitive technique like PET for monitoring reporter gene expression in HCC patients.

These preclinical and clinical studies have set the stage for using these techniques for assessing gene therapy strategies, hopefully aiding in their development and clinical translation (332).

E. Cell Tracking

An important application of molecular imaging is the labeling of specific cell populations so their trafficking, proliferation, and fate can be monitored noninvasively over time; this technique is known as “cell tracking.” This is particularly pertinent for monitoring the behavior of static cell populations (e.g., tumor xenografts) (463), and for investigating the bio-
Molecular imaging will likely play a key role in the clinical translation of cell-based therapies. The two main areas of cell therapy are 1) tissue repair (renewal), pertaining to the treatment of cardiac infarctions, neurodegeneration, and stroke; and 2) reprogramming the body’s own immune system to fight disease (e.g., in cancer and immunological diseases).

Cell therapy strategies have been evaluated for treatment of stroke (involving stereotactically injected human neuronal cells into the brains of patients with motor deficits due to stroke) (228), Parkinson’s disease (intrastriatal transplantation of fetal mesencephalic tissue) (251), and cancer (via the in vitro loading of dendritic cells with tumor antigens and then readministering the cells to the subject) (207, 278). Most cell therapies have only demonstrated limited success, partly due to transplanted cells failing to differentiate into the functioning cells of interest (207), and also due to the long list of unanswered questions concerning these therapies. Such questions include the following: Where do the cells go following administration? How many cells localize in various regions of body? What is the optimal route of administration? What is the most suitable cell type for each application? Do transplanted cells differentiate into functional cells in the region of interest? What are the conditions necessary for this? Due to their currently limited success, the routine use of cell therapies is not yet on the horizon. A means of tracking cells noninvasively over time is required to better understand their mechanisms and what happens after their administration. This will ultimately lead to cell therapy techniques with greater specificities and thus increase the likelihood of clinical translation.

1. Characteristics of an ideal molecular imaging technique for cell tracking

The ideal molecular imaging method for tracking cells would enable noninvasive visualization of a specific cell population (or multiple populations) of interest in living subjects over time in a repeated fashion. The whole body distribution, exact numbers of cells, their viability, and potential interactions with other cells should be quantifiable at all times. Furthermore, the labeling of cells should not significantly perturb the cells, and long-term traceability should be possible. Lastly, the signaling component used to track the cells should not dissociate from (or leak out of) cells, and single cell sensitivity should be achievable.

2. Examples of cell tracking using molecular imaging

The imaging modalities most commonly used for cell tracking include the following: 1) MRI, via directly labeling cells with SPIOs/gadolinium chelates or via reporter genes (see sect. IVD); 2) optical, either by directly labeling cells with fluorescent dyes/Qdots or via reporter gene methods for either fluorescent (GFP/RFP) or bioluminescence (luciferase) imaging (see sect. IVD); and 3) radionuclide (PET/SPECT), either by directly labeling cells with PET/SPECT imaging agents or via reporter gene methods (see sect. IVD).

Due to its high spatial resolution, MRI is the most frequently used modality for short-term tracking of stem or progenitor cells (SPCs) (168). Specific examples include the labeling of human mesenchymal stem cells with the gadolinium hexanediene nanoparticle T1 imaging agent (420) and the long-term tracking of transplanted human neural stem cells loaded with SPIOs (T2 contrast) in the rodent brain (138). In terms of optical imaging, NIR fluorescent dyes (93), Qdots (224), and reporter gene strategies (129, 302, 407) have been used to track cells.

SPECT radioligands $[^{111}\text{In}]\text{oxiquinoline (oxine)}$ or $[^{99m}\text{Tc}]\text{hexamethylpropyleneamine-oxine (HMPAO)}$ can be used in a technique called leukocyte scintigraphy, the oldest cell tracking method and the only one being routinely used in the clinic (406). This technique involves removing leukocytes from the blood of a patient, directly labeling the recovered cells with one of the above radioligands, and subsequently administering these labeled cells back into the same patient, via intravenous injection. The accumulation of these cells in regions of the patient containing inflammation or infection can then be visualized and tracked over time. A similar cell tracking technique is used for PET studies whereby $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FDG}$ is loaded into a patient’s cells that are then retransferred into the patient and tracked; this method (using $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FDG}$) has been used to noninvasively visualize many different types of cells including leukocytes for tracking infection (354), natural killer cells for tracking specific types of cancer (287), and circulating progenitor cells used in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction (90).

A clinical example of cell tracking using PET was described by our laboratory, whereby the location of therapeutic cytolytic T cells (CTLs) in a patient with glioma were tracked using the $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FHBG HSV1-tk}$ reporter gene system (472) (FIGURE 19). This study involved treating a glioma patient with genetically modified CTLs that expressed HSV1-tk (to serve as the PET reporter gene) and interleukin 13 zetakine (which encodes a receptor protein that targets these T cells to tumor cells), followed by imaging with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FHBG}$. This case was the first documented study to report the use of reporter gene technology to visualize and track therapeutic cells in a human. The patient received an approximate total of $1 \times 10^9$ CTLs within a 5-wk period of infusions. PET imaging performed 3 days after the 5-wk infusion period demonstrated that $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{FHBG}$ accumulated within the region where the tumor was resected, where CTLs had been infused, and also close to the patient’s corpus callosum, where a secondary tumor had formed. These results indi-
cated that infused CTLs trafficked to the remote corpus callosum tumor, and hence proved that [18F]FHBG was able to detect therapeutic CTLs expressing HSV1-tk within glioblastoma tumors. These results suggest that long-term monitoring of therapeutic cells via reporter gene imaging strategies for predicting the long-term efficacy of treatments has the potential to be useful in the future.

The challenges involved in cell tracking via molecular imaging are mainly due to the limitations of each modality (discussed in sect. II and summarized in Figs. 3–12), and also the weaknesses of each labeling technique (i.e., direct compared with reporter gene). While direct labeling is relatively simple and does not involve any genetic manipulation, the signal can easily be diluted due to the label not being replicated when cells divide. In addition, it is also possible for the signaling component to leak out of the cells and potentially transfer to other cell populations, thus decreasing the specificity of the signal. The reporter gene strategy (if the reporter gene is stably integrated) does not suffer from the problem of dilution with cell division; however, there may be challenges concerning clinical translation due to the need for an exogenous substrate (in the case of bioluminescence imaging) and ex vivo genetic manipulation, which may lead to modification of cell characteristics and possible unwanted effects. Moreover, reporter gene expression can decrease over time (150, 324) as discussed in section IVD.

Another challenge with cell tracking via molecular imaging is the overall safety and/or cellular toxicity of the imaging agent used. For example, when deciding on a radionuclide for direct labeling of cells (for PET/SPECT imaging), there is always a tradeoff between time of traceability and safety profile (i.e., if a radionuclide with a long half-life is chosen it will enable longer traceability at the cost of higher exposure to ionizing radiation). Additionally, the labeling of cells with certain fluorescent probes (e.g., Qdots) can affect cell viability.

3. Future directions for molecular imaging of cell tracking

Future directions for molecular imaging of cell tracking include the following: 1) the development of more multimodality-based approaches for imaging cell location(s), 2) further efforts to enable imaging of cell status and precise location(s), 3) additional research concerning rigorous quantification issues, 4) direct comparisons of different cell-tracking strategies so meaningful conclusions can be made concerning which techniques are better suited for different applications, and 5) optimization of strategies for clinical translation.

Cell-based therapy holds great potential in treating conditions such as stroke, myocardial infarction, neurodegeneration, cancer, and immunological diseases. Although there are many unresolved questions concerning
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**Figure 19.** Example of cell tracking via molecular imaging. This figure shows the results from a clinical study, whereby a glioma patient received ex vivo expanded infusions of autologous cytolytic T cells (CTLs) containing interleukin-13 zetakine and herpes simplex virus 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-tk) genes. Interleukin-13 zetakine encodes a receptor protein that can target T cells to tumor cells, whilst HSV1-tk encodes a PET reporter protein. Top panel shows magnetic resonance (MR) brain images of the patient, whereas bottom panel displays positron emission tomography (PET) brain images superimposed over MR images. PET imaging was conducted to detect the engineered CTLs within the patient’s body 3 days after completion of a 5-wk cycle of CTL infusions. The CTLs were imaged with the PET reporter probe 18F-radiolabeled 9-(4-fluoro-3-(hydroxymethyl)butyl)guanine ([18F]FHBG). The PET/MR images demonstrate [18F]FHBG accumulation within the region where the tumor was resected (1), and also close to the patient’s corpus callosum (2), indicating that infused CTLs trafficked to the remote corpus callosum tumor. This was the first documented case to report the use of reporter gene technology for imaging therapeutic cells in a patient over time (473). [From Yaghoubi et al. (473), with permission from Nature Publishing Group.]
the underlying mechanisms of these therapies, molecular imaging is beginning to shed light on these unknowns and, in doing so, is catalyzing the design of new strategies. The partnering of molecular imaging with cell-based therapy research and development is helping make clinical translation of these treatments a real possibility.

F. Theranostics

Theranostics may be described as a marriage between diagnostics and therapeutics, wherein the detection and treatment of pathological phenomena are combined in one integrated approach (185). This emerging field of research has two distinct branches that aim to bring to fruition the dream of personalized medicine. In the first, diagnostic and therapeutic techniques are used hand-in-hand in clinical decision-making (e.g., PET imaging with $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG can be used to monitor and predict response to anti-cancer therapeutics, aiding the selection of suitable treatment strategies; see sect. IVA). The second branch involves the design, development, and use of agents that have both diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities, with the hope of creating a safer, more efficient, and efficacious means of simultaneously diagnosing, monitoring, and treating disease (185). There are various different types of imaging agents currently being investigated for their “theranostic potential,” including peptides (76), QDots (157), microbubbles (391), and a range of nanoparticles (185) compatible with MRI, optical, radionuclide, and/or CT-based imaging techniques.

A specific example of an imaging agent developed as a theranostic agent is QDot nanoparticles functionalized with RNA aptamers (specific for PSMA) intercalated with the anthracycline drug doxorubicin (Dox) (14). These QDot-Apt(Dox) theranostic nanoparticles cannot be visualized prior to delivering Dox as the fluorescence of Qdots is quenched by Dox and the fluorescence of Dox is quenched by the aptamers. However, once Dox is released from the QDot-Apt, both QDot and Dox components can be traced via imaging. In an in vitro study using these particles showed they increased therapeutic specificity towards LNCaP cells (containing PSMA) compared with PC3 cells (devoid of PSMA).

Theranostic imaging agents have enormous potential and are demonstrating promise with respect to simultaneous noninvasive imaging of biochemical processes, drug delivery, and response to the therapy over time. While there are issues with safety (toxicity and off-target accumulation), clearance (depending on the type of imaging agent used), difficulties associated with marrying/combining therapeutic molecules with imaging agents (due to different requirements of therapeutics drugs vs. diagnostic agents) (185), and their overall complexity, they are demonstrating measurable improvements in sensitivity and specificity (mostly shown in vitro at this stage) of simultaneously detecting and treating disease (compared with using therapeutic or diagnostic procedures as separate platforms). Theranostics is an exciting area with much progress and growth likely yet to come.

V. GUIDE TO PERFORMING A MOLECULAR IMAGING STUDY

The following is a general guide for performing a molecular imaging study (and validating molecular imaging agents) with the goal of visualizing a particular biochemical process or pathology in a clinical, preclinical, or basic research setting. Please refer to FIGURE 20 throughout this section for a flowchart outlining some of the key steps involved in a molecular imaging study.

1. Define biochemical process, and/or pathology, to be investigated, and plan molecular imaging-based research strategy

As described throughout this review, one can use the principles of molecular imaging to visualize and study various molecular/cellular events and disease states noninvasively, in living subjects. For example, one can visualize the dimerization of a particular receptor, or the involvement of a certain protein in different stages of breast cancer. Once a biochemical process or pathology of interest has been selected, one needs to perform a thorough literature search and ask oneself some important questions, including (but not limited to): What is the significance of investigating this process/pathology via molecular imaging? What has been done in the past? Has anyone visualized this phenomenon via molecular imaging strategies? What has worked? What has failed, and why? Are there opportunities for improvement? And what remains unknown? After considering these points, one needs to carefully define their research question and molecular imaging strategy.

2. Identify appropriate molecular target(s)

As with in vitro approaches, one should begin by examining all relevant targets/biomarkers and determine which is most suitable for visualizing the phenomena of interest. It can be helpful to generate a list of potential targets for direct and/or indirect imaging approaches (see sect. III). One can also consider whether they want to image a specific molecular target (e.g., EGF receptors) or a molecular process (e.g., apoptosis) to gain information about the pathology and/or biochemical phenomena of interest. By choosing to image a particular molecular target, one can obtain very specific information; however, due to committing to one target, one might be limited in terms of the applications of the imaging strategy. On the other hand, by choosing a process (e.g., apoptosis), one can image an event that is a combination of multiple underlying targets and thus may be more generalizable to different applications; however, it may not be as specific. There is always a tradeoff, and this needs to be considered on a case-by-case basis. When identifying suit-
able targets, one needs to keep a number of points in mind. If one wants to image breast cancer, it is usually important that the potential targets are not present in high levels in "normal" breast tissue (e.g., a 2-fold higher target level in cancerous breast tissue compared with normal breast tissue is likely insufficient for obtaining a high contrast image). Furthermore, the target needs to be "imageable" via the use of available or proposed techniques.

Steps 1 and 2 (FIGURE 20) are extremely important. Both the research question and proposed target(s) need to be thoroughly researched, discussed, and scrutinized. The significance of the research question and rationale behind target choice need to be clear.

3. Select appropriate imaging modality or modalities

Once suitable molecular target(s) are decided upon, the next step is to decide which imaging modality, or combination thereof, is most suited to address the research question. Ultimately, this decision depends on the location of the target of interest and the eventual purpose of the research. For example, if your ultimate goal is to image cancer metastases of unknown location in a clinical setting, whole body imaging is likely preferred, and an imaging modality with limitless depth of penetration and no restrictions concerning imaging large fields of view would be desirable (e.g., MRI, CT, PET, or SPECT). The strengths and limitations of each modality, and their corresponding imaging agents, need to be considered. As described in section II and FIGURES 3–12, the main considerations include the following: spatial resolution, depth of penetration, temporal resolution, cost, sensitivity (i.e., what mass of imaging agent needs to be administered to achieve detectable signal), clinical utility/translatability, safety profile, and how often a subject can be imaged. Optical imaging for example is a relatively cost effective way to evaluate reporter gene/reporter probe systems (276), as well as preclinical models; however, it is not suitable for many clinical applications.

4. Select a molecular imaging agent

If a molecular imaging agent is required, one can either A) select a known molecular imaging agent or B) design and
synthesize a completely new entity. The selected/proposed imaging agent must be able to bind to, or be converted by, the target of interest whilst enabling interrogation of the biochemical process(es) of interest via a detectable imaging signal. Whether deciding upon an available imaging agent, or designing one from first principles, there are numerous points to consider.

A) SELECT KNOWN MOLECULAR IMAGING AGENT. If the selected imaging agent is already known and has been extensively used for imaging your target of interest (e.g., \[^{18}F\]FDG, for imaging glucose transport/hexokinase II activity), there is little need to assess its mechanism or kinetics prior to use; one can go directly to step 5 (FIGURE 20). If, however, the imaging agent is known but has not been used to image the target of interest, one must first conduct a number of in vitro and in vivo studies (see steps 4Bi and 4Bii) to assess specificity and selectivity.

B) DESIGN AND SYNTHESIZE NOVEL MOLECULAR IMAGING AGENT. When designing a new imaging agent, it is wise to thoroughly research what has been attempted in the past (for imaging the target of interest), and to consider the characteristics of an “ideal imaging agent” (see sect. III). After the novel imaging agent has been synthesized, it must go through a series of in vitro and in vivo tests to assess its specificity and selectivity for the target/process of interest. These tests should only be performed after the identity and purity of the newly synthesized imaging agent has been confirmed via appropriate QC methods. Depending on the type of imaging agent, QC procedures might involve NMR, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), thin-layer chromatography (TLC), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), amongst others.

I) Imaging agent validation: in vitro. One of the first steps in validating an imaging agent is the assessment of its specificity and selectivity for the target site/process of interest in vitro. These properties can be investigated through binding/accumulation studies, using the purified target and/or cell assays. For example, if one wants to perform cell assays for in vitro validation of an imaging agent for EGFR (a cell-surface receptor), one first needs to identify appropriate positive and negative control lines. If one selects a cell line containing high levels of EGFR as a positive control, the same cell line can also be transfected with siRNA to knockdown levels of EGFR to create a negative control cell line. Alternatively, if a cell line devoid of EGFR is selected as the negative control, one can transfecet this cell line with cDNA for EGFR to induce high levels of this target, to create a positive control cell line. After selecting appropriate positive and negative control cell lines, one can incubate the imaging agent with these cell lines to determine the kinetics of the imaging agent for the given process of interest. This is followed by a series of washing steps, and measurement of the imaging signal. It is a good idea to normalize the imaging signal to the amount of protein in each sample (e.g., through general protein assays) and to evaluate whether the imaging signal correlates with the levels of target of interest (e.g., via western blot, qPCR etc.). Evaluation of new imaging agents in positive and negative cell lines can provide information on binding specificity and also whether your agent can cross cell membranes. Assays can also be designed to assess extent of protein plasma binding, efflux of imaging agent, affinity, and selectivity of binding (via blocking and competition studies with known selective compounds for the chosen target). If the imaging agent binds to, or is converted by, targets other than the target of interest, the associated imaging signal will not provide information solely indicative of the process/site of interest. It is important that the imaging agent is highly specific and selective for the target of interest. In addition, depending on the type of imaging agent, other in vitro properties may need to be assessed. For example, when designing a CNS imaging agent that is intended to cross the intact blood-brain barrier, it is important to assess lipophilicity.

II) Imaging agent validation: in living subjects. If the imaging agent binds to, or is converted by, the target of interest, with sufficiently high specificity and selectivity in vitro, the next stage is to validate the imaging agent in living subjects. In general, a greater than threefold higher level of binding/interaction/accumulation of the imaging agent in a cell line/assay containing the target of interest, compared with a cell line/assay that is devoid of target of interest, is encouraging and warrants investigation in living subjects.

Evaluation of an imaging agent in small animals can provide invaluable information regarding its in vivo binding properties, biodistribution, and pharmacokinetics. For example, one can take blood samples at various time points following administration of imaging agent to evaluate its metabolism (by analyzing processed plasma samples via techniques such as HPLC). Ex vivo samples (e.g., liver, brain, or other organs) can also be processed and analyzed for metabolites at various time points of interest. It is preferred that metabolites of an imaging agent be more polar than their parent compound and that they do not accumulate in regions of interest. Ex vivo biodistribution studies are usually performed during initial evaluation of imaging agents in living subjects. These studies involve sacrificing animals at predetermined time points postinjection of imaging agent, harvesting organs of interest, followed by an analysis of imaging signal in individual organ samples. These studies can afford information about the percentage of injected dose (%ID) of imaging agent that accumulates in certain organs (typically one is interested in determining the %ID/g in organs at different times, to understand the kinetics and accumulation of an imaging agent).

At this stage, it might be useful to conduct acute and/or chronic toxicity studies to ascertain any potential toxic ef-
fects of the imaging agent, and to locate target organs of toxicity. Such toxicity studies may include radiation dosimetry, if working with a PET or SPECT radioligand, and can involve administering a dose of up to three orders of magnitude greater than the maximum expected dose for human use (464). Dosimetry studies are performed to obtain data concerning the safety of the imaging agent for use in human studies, provided clinical translation is the end goal of your studies. The results from dosimetry studies can ultimately limit the allowed amount of imaging agent to be administered in humans, thereby defining the success of a particular agent (336); it can be a make or break point for some molecular imaging probes.

If necessary, the imaging agent can be evaluated in larger animals, depending on the application of the imaging agent. For example, agents for clinical imaging of cardiovascular targets/processes will typically be assessed in pigs, as their cardiovascular system is comparable to that of a human. Likewise, imaging agents for CNS imaging are typically assessed in non-human primates due to the similarity of their brain structures to humans. Rodent organ systems, brain structures, and metabolic pathways are somewhat different from those in other species. Thus one must be mindful that imaging results from rodent experiments are not always predictive of what will be observed in larger animals, or humans, due to potential species differences in binding and/or metabolism of the imaging agent. It is therefore important to assess imaging agents in a few different in vivo models if possible. Likewise, it is important to consider evaluating the imaging agent in humans (after obtaining regulatory approval) even if unfavorable metabolism is observed in animals. Anesthesia used in animal studies may adversely affect imaging results. If this is thought to be the case, it may be worthwhile to conduct studies in animals that have been trained to remain stationary while being imaged, or moving the imaging probe into humans (where anesthesia is not required).

Importantly, one should validate whether the imaging signal reflects the underlying biological process of interest in living subjects (even if this has already been proven in vitro). This may involve western blots, immunohistochemistry (IHC), autoradiography (ARG), qPCR, mathematical modeling, and dynamic imaging data to relate the imaging signal to the actual levels of molecular target(s) of interest. One should also study the ability to reproduce the signal for a given level of molecular target to understand factors that lead to changes in the measured imaging signal. These quantitation issues are very important to validate an imaging agent and/or imaging strategy (122).

5. Perform imaging studies with relevant small animal models

The biological research question of interest (from step 1) can now be addressed using either a known imaging agent (4A), or a novel agent that has been validated according to steps 4Bi-4Bii (for its ability to bind to, or interact with, the target of interest). Depending on the research question defined in step 1, one can select relevant animal models, for example, models of myocardial infarction, brain injury, cancer, inflammation, etc. Once again, one must carefully validate whether the imaging signal accurately reflects the underlying biological process of interest. For example, if one is interested in imaging EGFR overexpression in different cancers, one may choose to work with mice bearing xenografts or orthotopic tumors known to overexpress (or not express as a control) EGFR to validate their imaging strategy. Imaging studies can be followed by IHC and/or western blot analysis to determine levels of target.

6. Regulatory approval

If the research question is of a clinical nature, the imaging agent and/or imaging strategy must be tested for safety prior to pilot testing in humans. This process usually involves submission of animal data for approval of the imaging agent for human use by the FDA (159). For certain imaging agents, one can obtain an exploratory investigational new drug (eIND) approval from the FDA to proceed with pilot studies in human volunteers or patients (10 patients/application). To apply for an eIND, one must have appropriate toxicity data from testing in at least one species (e.g., rodent) and radiation dosimetry estimates based on animal studies (if the agent is radioactive). The eIND can be converted to a full IND based on the results in the pilot patient studies to proceed to additional patient studies. For most imaging agents, it is also currently possible to directly file for a full IND from the beginning with toxicity testing in two species.

7. Clinical studies

Initial clinical studies conducted via the eIND or IND mechanism can help to identify the potential application(s) of the imaging agent of interest. Some of the key important goals of the initial pilot human studies include 1) evaluation of the imaging agent’s biodistribution in humans as a function of time following its administration, 2) proof that there is no toxicity in humans at the doses being used for producing a useful imaging signal, and 3) radiation dosimetry for whole body and each key organ (only in the case of a radioactive imaging agent). The need for human dosimetry data is becoming a common requirement for advancing a molecular imaging agent from phase I to phase II clinical trials (464). Another goal of human imaging studies is to provide information about whether the imaging results correlate with the levels of target(s) the imaging agent was designed to interact with. This is typically assessed by obtaining tissue samples from patients following imaging studies.

Design of the appropriate clinical trials, including control groups, is critical to understanding the true utility of an
imaging agent. Although the process of eventually obtaining clinical data is not entirely straightforward, human studies are extremely valuable when determining key applications of the imaging agent in the diagnosis and management of various diseases.

VI. THE FUTURE OF MOLECULAR IMAGING

A. Grand Challenges of the Field

Molecular imaging has revolutionized the way we study fundamental biological processes, and how we diagnose, stage, and monitor certain diseases. Although molecular imaging has enormous potential for a range of applications (see sect. IV), there remain challenges to overcome so that the potential of the field can be fully realized. Listed below are some of the key challenges/bottlenecks.

1. Imaging agents

The Achilles’ heel of most molecular imaging techniques is undoubtedly the need to administer an exogenous imaging agent for interrogation of biochemical processes. The discovery of such imaging agents is a relatively long, arduous, and expensive investment that rarely affords a successful (clinically useful and reimbursed) imaging tool. Some imaging techniques, such as MRS and RS, do not require the use of an imaging agent; however, they are typically restricted by the types of biochemical targets/processes they can image and/or the depth and spatial resolution they can achieve. In the future, more emphasis needs to be placed on developing new molecular imaging strategies whereby imaging agents are not required, yet a large diversity of targets can be interrogated. However, if we cannot avoid using imaging agents, more collaborative efforts are needed to automate their discovery and synthesis, to expedite their production. Microfluidics, “lab-on-a-chip platforms,” and phage display are already being implemented for these purposes.

2. Biomarker discovery

Unfortunately, therapeutic biomarkers do not necessarily translate into successful diagnostic imaging biomarkers. As a result, the molecular imaging community cannot simply rely on the pharmaceutical industry for their biomarker needs. The requirements for a successful “imaging biomarker” are quite different from those of a therapeutic biomarker. For example, a therapeutic biomarker needs to lead to a pharmacological effect when modulated by a therapeutic drug; however, this is not the case for an imaging biomarker. Due to the different needs of molecular imaging, researchers in this field should not necessarily overlook biomarkers that pharmaceutical companies ignore. More efforts should be focused on improving the high-throughput discovery of biomarkers suitable for imaging purposes.

If one ignores the types of biomarkers that pharmaceutical companies do (due to their different specific needs), one misses out on the potential to markedly improve the field of molecular imaging.

3. Chemistry

As mentioned above, imaging agent development is an inefficient and time-consuming process. One of the rate-limiting factors in this process is the chemistry required to synthesize these agents. This is mainly due to the amount of time, effort, and resources poured into devising “one off” solutions (i.e., strategies to synthesize one imaging agent). Rather, designs should be geared toward general solutions so that multiple imaging agents can be constructed using a near-identical strategy. This is currently being addressed through examples such as protein engineering-based generalizable scaffolds (see Sect. VI.B3). In addition to improving the way synthetic strategies are approached, there is an enormous need for high-throughput platforms for expediting development of imaging agents and also for screening them in cell culture.

4. Instrumentation

Although there are numerous useful molecular imaging instruments, they are not without their limitations. For example, PET is clinically useful but suffers from somewhat poor spatial resolution. At best, it enables the visualization of ~1 million cells, whereas ideally we would like to zoom-in on just a few cells anywhere in the body. In terms of imaging instrumentation, there should be a focus on refining and improving upon already known instrumentation, brainstorming novel ways to use the physical electromagnetic spectrum, and further efforts geared toward the merging of existing modalities so as to take advantage of different strengths of complimentary instruments.

5. Number of people in the field who are multimodality trained

There are an extremely limited number of scientists and clinicians in the field of molecular imaging that are multimodality and multidisciplinary trained. Most have a solid background in one (or two) discipline(s) and are instrument- or technique-specialized. This means that the majority of molecular imagers are limited in the way they approach an imaging problem/research question. A new generation of multidisciplinary scientists that can approach a biological problem of interest through multimodality imaging strategies continues to be in need.

6. Clinical translation

To expedite clinical translation of promising imaging agents and instruments, we need to prove clinical utility.
This should be accomplished by increasing the number of rapid first-in-man efforts and by demonstrating safety and reproducibility through standardization of techniques. Academic groups developing imaging agents need to collaborate with each other to accelerate clinical translation and to perform multicenter international clinical trials. Novel models that involve academia, industry (pharmaceutical and imaging companies), governments, and foundations need to be better explored. Without help from industry, academic groups will never be able to bring enough molecular imaging agents into pilot trials. Intellectual property issues related to novel imaging agents also need to be considered along with business models to show profitability for specific imaging agents and their clinical applications.

7. Multiplexing capabilities and sensitivity

At present, it is not possible to look at a large number of biochemical processes or targets simultaneously using most molecular imaging techniques. For molecular imaging to reach its full potential, we need to devise ways of visualizing multiple targets and signaling pathways, simultaneously, with ultra high sensitivity and specificity to gain a more complete picture of disease and normal physiology. A systems imaging approach must be married to a systems biology approach if we are to truly unravel the complexity of biological systems.

8. Cost and availability of facilities

Many of the molecular imaging techniques are still relatively expensive compared with in vitro techniques. Molecular imaging will not survive as a field if everything is relatively costly. We must work towards next-generation solutions while optimizing many variables including costs. If we can make molecular imaging with inherently lower cost technologies (e.g., ultrasound, optical, photoacoustics) successful, then the technologies will be deployed worldwide and gain much more rapid acceptance. Additionally, the molecular imaging agents themselves need to become relatively low cost. This may be possible with nonradioactive based agents.

B. Possible Future Directions

Looking to the future, there are a number of areas in which molecular imaging will likely advance dramatically. Some areas include the following.

1. Implementation of newer imaging agents and imaging techniques in standard practice

A number of the emerging imaging agents and imaging techniques described in this article will become standard practice in clinical environments and/or preclinical settings. Imaging agents for visualizing AD (e.g., [11C]PIB) will likely be used more frequently in the clinical arena during the next decade. Also, imaging agents/technologies for prostate cancer detection, and apoptosis imaging for monitoring tumor response to therapy will likely become available. It is important to realize that (as with drug discovery and development) only a handful of imaging agents that enter clinical trials will ever become truly clinically useful. We will fail often in our endeavors to design and implement new imaging strategies, and thus we need to learn from our mistakes and have realistic expectations. It is easy to get frustrated due to the iterative nature of all research; however, patience and persistence in the field of molecular imaging are critical.

2. More effective screening and personalized medicine

A combination of in vitro diagnostics and molecular imaging will likely enable screening of “at-risk” populations. Effective screening will result in early detection of disease, far before any clinical signs or symptoms manifest, ultimately leading to improved treatment strategies and patient outcomes. The merger of in vitro diagnostics and in vivo diagnostics will be critical to solving the limitations of each approach, and thus making personalized medicine a reality. Clinically, this will lead to a blurring of boundaries between radiology and pathology.

3. More dual-modality and multi-modality molecular imaging

When investigating disease states and biological phenomena, it is not favorable to restrict oneself to a single modality, as each modality has its limitations. Future efforts will be aimed at combining modalities so we can draw on the strengths of each individual instrument/approach. Ultimately, this will improve the way we study complex biological systems and disease states. Currently, there is no Swiss-army knife equivalent of imaging that is able to answer all questions for various applications, but by combining several imaging technologies, we can move closer to this idea. Upcoming clinical technologies, such as MRI-PET, are a perfect example of two modalities that when combined may lead to very useful clinical applications, including monitoring pediatric tumors, and brain imaging using both MRS and PET.

4. Improvements in imaging agent design and development

It is envisioned that much progress will be made in the area of imaging agent development. In particular, it is believed that more high-throughput platforms for screening and evaluating imaging agents prior to their investigation in animals will become available. Significant progress is also
expected in the areas of “smart” probe development and multi-modality probes. Moreover, improvements in reporter-probe pairs to facilitate molecular-genetic imaging are expected, resulting in more generalizable “indirect” imaging approaches. For the most part, our communication with imaging agents is one way, i.e., we can only receive information. However, in the near future, we will likely have access to imaging agents that not only provide us with information, but are also able to receive and respond to external input. Innovations such as this will allow true bidirectional communication with imaging agents, thereby allowing for better delivery of imaging agents that can then act as small nanomachines to accomplish tasks we give them to perform. The merger of diagnostics and therapeutics into the evolving field of theranostics (see sect. IVF) will then likely be possible. Since there are so many parallels between developing and using molecular drugs and molecular imaging agents, there is a strong likelihood that the two fields will merge to accomplish both diagnosis and therapy in a unified fashion.

5. Generalizable scaffolds for imaging agents

For reasons mentioned above, it is not usually wise to focus enormous effort into developing “one-off” chemistry solutions for development of imaging agents. Instead, a general scaffold for a molecular imaging agent that can be easily modified to adjust its in vivo behavior and pharmacokinetics, depending on the needs of a given imaging study, is desirable. This is becoming possible through identifying generalizable scaffolds to which different targeting components can be added, and the size, surface chemistry, and lipophilicity can be tuned to yield an imaging agent with customized capabilities, suitable for different imaging needs. This type of strategy is not necessarily straightforward for all types of imaging agents, such as small molecules; however, it is certainly worthwhile exploring before investing time and resources into novel imaging agent development. Progress in the area of protein engineering and nanoparticle-platform production is already making these types of generalizable scaffolds a reality. Further efforts and progress in this area is anticipated in the future.

C. Conclusion

The future of molecular imaging is indeed very promising. The continual development in the field is likely to lead to major breakthroughs in our understanding of in vivo biology, and also improvement in the clinical detection of disease and management of patients. Although many approaches will likely fail, we must accept this as part of the journey to success. As hard as it may be to believe for many, the field of molecular imaging is still only in its infancy, with many important discoveries around the corner.

VII. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

A. Fluorescent Proteins

Fluorescent proteins (FPs) are proteins capable of fluorescing when exposed to an excitation light of a certain wavelength. The first discovered FP was green fluorescent protein (GFP). In 1962, Shimomura and colleagues reported the isolation of GFP from the jellyfish Aequorea victoria (385); however, it was not until 1994 that GFP was used for molecular imaging purposes (49).

The use of FPs in cell biology and in molecular imaging of living intact subjects is now standard practice. A FP is typically used as either 1) a reporter of gene expression or 2) a fluorescent tag so that a protein of interest can be tracked/studied over time. The latter application involves genetically fusing the cDNA of a FP, to the gene of a second protein of interest. The resulting recombinant vector is then transferred into a host organism/subject so that one can study the location and intracellular dynamics/interactions of the protein of interest (125). Various cellular processes, biochemical pathways, and protein-protein interactions have been visualized using FPs and fluorescence microscopy/related imaging techniques. Some of the common FPs and their important applications in molecular imaging are detailed in the following key references (64, 160, 162, 163, 216, 380, 475).

Compared with fluorophores, FPs are relatively nontoxic, meaning one can observe processes over extended periods of time. This is important when longitudinal studies are required.

Genetic variants of the original GFP nucleotide sequence have been produced, including enhanced GFP (known as EGFP) and Emerald. In fact, there are a number of available spectral classes of FPs, including blue fluorescent proteins (emission λ ~440–470 nm), cyan fluorescent proteins (emission λ ~470–500 nm), green fluorescent protein (emission λ ~500–525 nm), yellow fluorescent proteins (emission λ ~525–555 nm), orange fluorescent proteins (emission λ ~555–590 nm), and red fluorescent proteins. Each spectral class of FPs consists of a range of variants, for example, within the red fluorescent protein class there exists mCherry, mRFP1, tdTomato, mStrawberry, mRuby, mApple, and others. In total, there are over 40 different FPs, each with different spectral profiles, photostability, and brightness (125). It can therefore become overwhelming and confusing when choosing an appropriate FP variant for a particular study. It is typically recommended to stick with the variants that have been tried and tested, for example, EGFP (although some would argue the superiority of the variant Emerald). Please refer to the following review for a guide to selecting FPs (380).

Due to progress in protein engineering, FP variants have been tuned to improve their properties. Ideally, a FP should
have good photostability, sufficient brightness (so there is adequate signal compared with background autofluorescence), suitable spectral profile, and pH insensitivity. Unfortunately, no one FP is suitable for all applications, and no single FP combines the best features of all FPs, so no matter which FP is selected, there will always be a trade-off concerning brightness, photostability, and/or spectral profile (125).

It is important to note that the process of genetically fusing the FP to the second protein of interest can often alter the function (folding, normal localization, etc.) of either, or both, proteins. Please refer to Reference 125 for troubleshooting concerning creating fusion fluorescent proteins and issues relating to unexpected low levels of fluorescence, improper folding of proteins, unstable expression, and/or poor localization.

The discovery of FPs and their use in imaging studies has forever changed the way we study biological systems. These fluorescent entities are invaluable research tools for studying biochemical processes and pathologies.

B. Stimulated Raman Scattering

The amplification of Raman scattering can be afforded via the use of SRS, a phenomena first witnessed in 1962 (465). SRS requires the use of two laser beams and has far greater sensitivity compared with that of traditional spontaneous RS. When the Raman shift equals that of a certain molecular vibrational resonance, the Raman signal is amplified as a consequence of stimulated excitation (111). This three-dimensional multiphoton microscopy technique has been used in a variety of in vitro and ex vivo biomedical applications, including imaging living cells to decipher distributions of omega-3 fatty acids and saturated lipids, evaluation of brain and skin tissues according to intrinsic lipid contrast, and observing drug delivery (111). The main advantages of SRS are its high sensitivity, three-dimensional mapping capabilities, and the fact that no exogenous imaging agent is required.

SRS microscopy is a budding new technique for investigating biochemical processes and their dynamics in living cells and/or tissues based on the molecular specificity of Raman spectroscopy. Imaging studies still need to be performed in living subjects.
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