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Pongs O, Schwarz JR. Ancillary Subunits Associated With Voltage-Dependent K⁺ Channels. Physiol Rev 90: 755–796, 2010; doi:10.1152/physrev.00020.2009.—Since the first discovery of Kvβ-subunits more than 15 years ago, many more ancillary Kv channel subunits were characterized, for example, KChIPs, KCNEs, and BKβ-subunits. The ancillary subunits are often integral parts of native Kv channels, which, therefore, are mostly multiprotein complexes composed of voltage-sensing and pore-forming Kvα-subunits and of ancillary or β-subunits. Apparently, Kv channels need the ancillary subunits to fulfill their many different cell physiological roles. This is reflected by the large structural diversity observed with ancillary subunit structures. They range from proteins with transmembrane segments and extracellular domains to purely cytoplasmic proteins. Ancillary subunits modulate Kv channel gating but can also have a great impact on channel assembly, on channel trafficking to and from the cellular surface, and on targeting Kv channels to different cellular compartments. The importance of the role of accessory subunits is further emphasized by the number of mutations that are associated in both humans and animals with diseases like hypertension, epilepsy, arrhythmogenesis, periodic paralysis, and hypothyroidism. Interestingly, several ancillary subunits have in vitro enzymatic activity; for example, Kvβ-subunits are oxidoreductases, or modulate enzymatic activity, i.e., KChIP3 modulates presenilin activity. Thus different modes of β-subunit association and of functional
I. INTRODUCTION

Voltage-gated potassium (Kv) channels selectively catalyze the transport of K⁺ across the plasma membrane. The channels are multisubunit complexes, being composed of membrane-integrated Kvα-subunits and of accessory subunits. Kvα-subunits form a tetrameric protein complex that assembles the core of a Kv channel consisting of a pore domain with activation (and inactivation) gate(s) and selectivity filter linked to peripheral voltage-sensor domains. In in vitro expression systems, most Kvα-subunits form functional Kv channels, reproducing basic Kv channel properties such as opening and closing (gating) of the pore in response to a change in the membrane electric field (60, 219, 283, 342). The activation process is well described by a sequential gating model, in which voltage-sensor movements in each Kvα-subunit are usually followed by a concerted pore opening step to permit passage of K⁺. The details of conformational changes associated with the electromechanical coupling-mechanism between voltage sensor and activation gate are presently under intense investigation (33, 153, 154, 282).

In vivo, Kv channels appear as heteromultimeric complexes coassembled with accessory subunits. Accessory subunits influence a wide range of Kv channel properties, which play important roles during Kv channel ontogeny and function, respectively. The importance of the role of accessory subunits is emphasized by the number of mutations that are associated in both humans and animals with diseases like hypertension, epilepsy, arrhythmogenesis, hypothyroidism, and periodic paralysis (1, 15, 37, 39, 240, 258). Notably, two of the early described excitability mutants were described in Drosophila melanogaster, with a leg shaking phenotype (105) resulting from mutation in a Kvα-subunit (Shaker; Refs. 122, 221, 262) and from mutation in a Kvβ-subunit (hyperkinetic; Ref. 52). What are the exact biological roles of the accessory subunits has been a matter of intense research. To determine cellular function(s), two major avenues were followed. The first one investigates modulatory influences of accessory subunits on various ion channel gating parameters including the aim to closely reproduce in heterologous expression systems electrophysiological and pharmacological Kv channel properties observed in vivo, e.g., in primary neurons in culture or in acute brain slices (11, 161). The second avenue studies potential roles of accessory subunits in Kv channel assembly and exit from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Kv channel trafficking to and from the plasma membrane, Kv channel sorting, and regulation of Kv channel activity by posttranslational modifications (66). The results unveil common themes, although accessory subunits come in different flavors. One important theme is that the ancillary subunits link Kv channel activity both with extra- and intracellular signaling pathways and protein networks, respectively.

Accessory Kv channel subunits exhibit different protein structures reflecting their divergent biological roles. Some accessory subunits, e.g., β-subunits of both BK (130, 203) and Kv7 (KCNQ) channels (23, 248), are integral membrane proteins with additional NH₂-terminal and/or COOH-terminal sequences extending into the extra- and/or intracellular space. Other accessory subunits are cytosolic proteins and bind to cytoplasmic domains of Kv channel α-subunits, e.g., Kvβ-subunits of Shaker Kv channels (232) and KChIPs of Kv4 channels (13). To date, we are unaware of extracellular accessory β-subunits binding to Kv channels from an extracellular site. The first accessory Kv channel subunit cloned and functionally characterized was a Kvβ-(Kvβ1.1) subunit (232, 265). Studies on the biological function of this subunit class have been very influential for our present understanding of heteromultimeric Kv channel complexes, yet results on the cellular function of Kvβ-subunits are inconclusive and major questions still remain unresolved. An important evolving concept is that auxiliary subunits have, in addition to their influence on Kv channel gating parameters, important roles in Kv channel sorting and trafficking to distinct cellular localizations.

Since the discovery of the auxiliary Kvβ-subunits, impressive progress has been made. Many more accessory subunits were discovered, and their properties were studied. Stable association between Kvα and accessory subunits made it possible to obtain crystal structures, for example, the auxiliary Kvβ-subunit in association with the entire Kv1.2 channel (153), and the ancillary subunit KChIP2 in association with a cytoplasmic Kv4e tetramerization domain (215, 319). The structural models have many important implications for our understanding about mechanisms of interaction between Kvα-subunits and accessory subunits. For functional studies, mostly in vitro expression systems were used. They have been instrumental to analyze influences of accessory subunits on Kv channel gating. Observed influences are often quite remarkable, e.g., members of the Kvβ-subunit family confer rapid inactivation to delayed rectifier-type Kv channels, which otherwise do not inactivate (94–96, 232); the ancillary BKβ-subunits of BK channels dramatically increase Ca²⁺ sensitivity of BK channel gating and also markedly affect their pharmacological properties (130, 247). How-
ever, transient heterologous expression systems are potentially controversial and prone to artifacts. Not surprisingly, in vitro studies have frequently yielded conflicting results on possible cellular functions of accessory subunits. This seems particularly true for studies on specificity and stoichiometry of subunit assembly and its impact on Kv channel trafficking.

Despite the critical importance for understanding biological functions of Kvβ as well as other accessory subunits for human physiology, detailed structure-function studies on the role of Kvβ-subunits in genetically modified mice are relatively scarce. Furthermore, a clear-cut structure-function relationship between mutated accessory subunit gene and mutant phenotype is difficult to reach in correlating genotype and phenotype of mutant mice. Thus we have so far limited insights into disease mechanisms as well as other accessory subunits in genetically modified mice are relatively scarce. More studies of this kind will certainly be helpful to sharpen our understanding of the biophysical and cellular basis of disease correlated with mutations in accessory Kv channel subunit genes.

II. Kvβ-Subunits

A. Structure of Kvβ-Subunits

In the early days of biochemical and molecular biological research on ion channels, Kv channels from bovine brain were successfully purified based on their property to bind the mamba snake venom polypeptide α-dendrotoxin (α-DTX) with high affinity (206, 230). The purified preparation consisted of glycosylated Kvα-subunits (~70–80 kDa in size) and Kvβ-subunits (~40 kDa in size) (264, 265). Biochemical analysis of the purified α-DTX receptor (Kv channel) had the important implication that Kvα- and Kvβ-subunits are tightly associated with each other in a heteromultimeric complex. This is highlighted by the resilience of the heteromultimeric complex against high-salt treatment. Sedimentation analyses, using the buoyant density method, yielded a size of ~400 kDa and a Stokes’ radius of 8.6 nm for the purified Kv channel preparation (207). The data indicated that native α-DTX-sensitive Kv channels are isolated as tightly associated octameric structures in a stoichiometry of four α- and four β-subunits. Sequencing of NH₂-terminal Kvα peptide showed that the major Kvα-subunit in the Kv channel preparation was Kv1.2 (318). Determination of proteolytic Kvβ polypeptides yielded partial sequences of Kvβ1 and Kvβ2 protein (232, 265). The important information from this study was that Kv1.2/Kvβ1, Kvβ2 seems a predominant Kvα/Kvβ-subunit combination for bovine brain Kv1 channels.

The Kvβ sequence information served to clone the mammalian Kvβ-subunit family (220, 222). In the mammalian genome, three genes encode Kvβ-subunits: Kvβ1, Kvβ2, and Kvβ3 (Fig. 1) (96, 142, 222, 259). The Kvβ1 gene gives rise to splice variants resulting in Kvβ1 proteins with different NH₂-terminal sequences (70–90 amino acids; Kvβ1.1–1.3). Protein sequence alignment of Kvβ family members showed that Kvβ proteins generally display variant NH₂-terminal sequences which are followed by a highly conserved protein core sequence (~330 amino acids) with more than 80% sequence identity. Inspection of Kvβ protein sequences revealed two important properties. First, Kvβ-subunits are members of an extended protein superfamily, the oxidoreductases (88, 172). Second, certain NH₂-termini, e.g., of Kvβ, display a sequence that potentially confers rapid inactivation to otherwise noninactivating Kv channels (220, 232). The first property concurred with the observation that purified Kvβ-subunits bind with low micromolar affinity oxidoreductase cofactors, for example, NADPH and NADP⁺ (Fig. 2A). Importantly, analysis of the Kvβ2 crystal structure nicely confirmed the potential relationship of Kvβ protein to oxidoreductase enzymes (Fig. 2, B–D; Ref. 88). The second property implies that Kvβ-subunits can influence Kv channel gating properties, conferring rapid inactivation to otherwise noninactivating Kv channels. Electrophysiological investigations with Kv1.1 and Kvβ1.1-subunits coexpressed in Xenopus oocytes confirmed that the NH₂-terminus of Kvβ1.1 behaves like an inactivating domain that rapidly occludes the pore of activated Kv channels of the Kv1 family (Fig. 4A).

The structure of the conserved Kvβ core sequence was determined by X-ray crystallography of a truncated mammalian Kvβ2 protein both alone and in complex with Kv1.2 α-subunits (69, 88, 153). Four α-subunits assemble
to form a functional K channel (Fig. 3A). The cytoplasmic T1 domains of each NH2 terminus of the α-subunits interact with each other, thereby generating an intracellular structure composed of four columns and a central platform called “hanging gondola” (277). The structural model revealed a tetrameric Kvβ-subunit assembly with a four-fold symmetry matching the one seen in the structure of tetrameric K+ channel α-subunits (Fig. 2C). Each subunit disposes of a TIM barrel fold with typical αβ8 arrangement of α-helices and β-strands. Oxidoreductases have a comparable TIM barrel fold with the active site located at the COOH-terminal edge of the β-strands. The Kvβ2 TIM-
barrels are arranged in the tetramer end to side so that the back face of a barrel is wedged against the side of an adjacent barrel (Fig. 2B). This arrangement places the putative active Kvβ sites away from the fourfold axis. Each active Kvβ-site is composed of a substrate binding site, a NADP⁺ (NADPH) cofactor binding pocket (Fig. 2D), and catalytic residues for hydride transfer reminiscent of those observed for bona-fide oxidoreductases. It, however, is still unclear whether Kvβ-subunits act in vivo as genuine oxidoreductase enzymes.

B. Structure of Kvα/Kvβ Channel Complex

Recently, rat Kvα-subunit Kv1.2 and Kvβ-subunit Kvβ2 were coexpressed in the yeast expression system Pichia pastoris, purified, and crystallized (153). Throughout purification and crystallization procedures, it was necessary to have reducing and oxygen-free solutions, which may indicate an exquisite sensitivity of the Kv channel complex towards oxidation. This went unnoticed during the purification of native bovine Kvα/Kvβ channel complexes (207, 265, 271). The crystal structure (Fig. 3A) impressively confirmed the stoichiometry of the complex and allows unprecedented insight into Kvβ structure and its interaction with Kvα-subunits. The interaction site is formed by a contact loop between each Kvα- and Kvβ-subunit, which provides the docking surface engaging only a few amino acids of the Kvα tetramerization domain and the complementary Kvβ surface (Fig. 3B). The amino acid sequence of the contact loop is highly conserved among members of the Kvα-subunit family, providing a molecular explanation for the specificity of Kvα- and Kvβ-subunit interactions (89). Complex formation between Kv1α- and Kvβ-subunits is only associated with a small tilt of the tetramerization domain in the NH₂ terminus of the Kvα-subunit with respect to the central fourfold axis of the channel (154). The important implication of this observation is that both Kvα- and Kvβ-subunits seem to associate with each other as preformed tetramers. Note the available crystal structures are uninformative about the distal NH₂ and the COOH terminus of the Kv channel. A structural study based on electron microscopic images indicated a conformational change at the Kvα COOH terminus upon Kvβ2 subunit binding, during which a large part of the COOH terminus is shifted away from the Kvα-subunit membrane domain and into close contact with the β-subunit (Fig. 3C; Ref. 276).

C. Interaction of Kvβ-Subunits With Cytoplasmic Proteins

The interaction of Kv1 channels with Kvβ-subunits extends the membrane-inserted pore domain and voltage sensor of the channel by ~100 Å deep into the cytoplasm (Fig. 3A). Thus the Kvβ-subunit may provide a large surface for interaction with other proteins, e.g., protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and other signaling complexes, and also provides a potentially important link to the cytoskeleton. Two types of fishing approaches have been used to characterize potential binding partners of Kvβ-subunits. In the first approach, a yeast two-hybrid library made from rat hippocampal cDNA was screened (83). In the second approach, Kv1.1 channel complexes were isolated by immunoaffinity purification from plasma membrane-enriched protein fractions of rat brain (258). The yeast two-hybrid approach showed that ZIP1 and ZIP2 protein can bind to Kvβ2 and to protein kinase C (PKC)-ζ, thereby acting as physical link in the assembly of
PKC-ζ with Kv1 channels. Whether this interaction also occurs in vivo and plays a role in fine tuning Kv1 channel activity remains to be shown. In the second approach, mass spectrometry (MS)-purified Kv1.1 channel protein complexes revealed in addition to the expected Kv1α- and Kvβ-subunits a remarkable number of other proteins. Some of the proteins were members of the PSD95 (128) and neurexin (218) families known to be involved in Kv1 channel clustering at the neuronal membrane. Other proteins seem to operate in the synaptic active zone or have no clear function. The MS/MS analysis of the purified Kv1.1 channel multiprotein complex suggests a multitude of possible Kv channel interacting proteins (258). Their interactions may be of permanent or transient nature. It is unclear whether axonal, presynaptic, or postsynaptic Kv channels have different protein compositions. This is an attractive hypothesis for explaining differential targeting and functions of the channel complexes.

Interestingly, Lgi1 has been copurified with Kv1.1 protein (258). In heterologous expression systems, Lgi1 interferes with Kvβ1-conferred inactivation of Kv1 channels. This activity was not seen with Lgi1 mutants. The data suggest that Lgi1 can modulate gating properties of Kv1 channels. The molecular basis of the Lgi1 effect on Kv1 channel inactivation is presently unknown. Mutations in the Lgi1 gene are causatively associated with one form of epilepsy, the autosomal dominant lateral temporal lobe epilepsy (258). However, expression profiles of Kv1.1 and Lgi1 protein in adult rat brain are distinct and only partially overlapping, leaving room for alternative explanations to the cause of this type of epilepsy. The pathophysiological relevance of coassembly between mutant Lgi1 and Kv1.1 channels remains to be determined.

### D. Functional In Vitro Studies

The relative ease to assay Kv channel inactivation in vitro gave rise to a plethora of data reporting modulation of Kv1 channel inactivation properties (Table 1). Electrophysiological investigations with Kv1α- and Kvβ1.1-subunits coexpressed in *Xenopus* oocytes showed that the NH2 terminus of Kvβ1.1 behaves like an inactivating domain that rapidly occludes the open pore of Kv1.x channels (Table 1; Fig. 4A; Refs. 19, 95, 96, 232). A detailed analysis of the structural and functional interactions between Kvβ1.2 and Kv1.2 provides a coherent picture of how Kvβ1.2 in vitro modulates gating properties of the Kv1.2 channel. At depolarized potentials, the extent of open channel block by the Kvβ1.2 inactivating domain (5, 6, 73, 329) progressively increases hindering the activation gate within the channel’s conduction pathway to close. Thus Kvβ1.2 directly slows deactivation and indirectly enhances slow inactivation as well as shifts Kv1.2 channel activation to more negative voltages (211).

Some published studies, however, are equivocal, and it is not clear whether reported gating modulations are due to indirect or direct effects. Since the membrane provides a special environment for membrane proteins like ion channels to carry out their functions, changes in lipidic environment can have profound influences on Kv channel gating properties (255). For example, phosphoinositides remove N-type inactivation from A-type channels by immobilizing the inactivating domains. Conversely, arachidonic acid and its amide anandamide confer rapid voltage-dependent inactivation to a broad range of Kv channels that otherwise do not inactivate (200). Similarly, function of the voltage-dependent K+ channel KvAP de-

### Table 1. Kvβ effects on heterologously expressed Kv channels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kvβ Subunit</th>
<th>Coexpressed With</th>
<th>Current Density</th>
<th>Activation $V_{1/2}$</th>
<th>N-Type Inactivation</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1.1</td>
<td>Kv1.1–1.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>19, 94-96, 142, 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv1.6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximate $-12$ mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv1.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximately $-13$ mV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>62, 63, 72, 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximately $-10$ mV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>5, 6, 95, 96, 141, 170, 171, 173, 189, 272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ2.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximately $-10$ mV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ3.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>321, 337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximate $-7$ mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Increase in parameter; − decrease or shift to more negative potentials; ±, no effect; ND, parameter was not determined. $V_{1/2}$, membrane potentials at which 50% of the channels are activated. Effects were measured in *Xenopus laevis* oocyte (*) or in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell expression system (†).
depends on membrane lipid composition (254), and removal of phosphor-head groups of membrane lipids can have dramatic effects on voltage-dependent gating properties of Kv channels (229, 336). These observations have important implications and add a note of caution to many studies in the literature reporting effects on Kv channel inactivation. Potentially, overexpression of Kv channels in transient transfection systems can expose expressed channels to a lipidic environment that the channel does not normally see. Also, the overexpressed Kv channel may encounter interacting partners in membrane microdomains that the channel may not visit in vivo.

A combination of electrophysiological investigations and structure-based mutations suggests that oxidoreductase activity and inactivating domain activity of Kvβ-subunits are coupled (19, 204, 300, 326). In particular, amino acid substitutions of catalytic residues attenuated the activity of Kvβ1.1 to confer inactivation to a noninactivating Kv1.5 channel (Fig. 4B). Kvβ3.1 also contains an NH₂-terminal inactivating domain (Fig. 1). This domain, however, is ineffective when Kvβ3.1 is coexpressed with the Kv1.5 channel in Xenopus oocytes (Fig. 4C). The failure of Kvβ3.1 to confer rapid inactivation to the Kv1.5 channel was rescued replacing Kvβ3.1 active site residues by those of Kvβ1.1 (Fig. 4C) (19). Furthermore, catalytic activity of Kvβ1 affects in a voltage-dependent manner the rate of Kv channel inactivation concomitantly with an increase in outward current (Fig. 4D). Also, mutation of catalytic residues or substitution of NADPH by NADP⁺ have apparently similar attenuating effects on Kvβ1.1-mediated reduction of Kv channel activity (204, 300, 301, 326). The physiological relevance of these findings is presently unclear. Note that Kvβ2, the major Kvβ-subunit in mammalian brain, does not have an NH₂-terminal inactivating domain like Kvβ1.1 and Kvβ3.1 (Fig. 1). Yet, coexpression of the Kv1.4 channel with Kvβ2 accelerates Kv1.4 N-type inactivation (171). Whether an important physiological role of Kvβ-subunits is to couple membrane excit-
ability to the redox status of the cell still awaits investigation in primary cells and tissue.

E. Influence of Kβ-Subunits on Kv Channel Surface Expression

The biochemical preparation of Kv1α/Kvβ-channel complexes showed tight association of the subunits within an octameric complex (264, 265). Assembly of Kv1α- and Kβ-subunits appears as early biosynthetic event in Kv channel genesis. Yet, regulation of subunit coassembly and stoichiometry remains largely unexplored. Note Kv1α/Kvβ-subunit coassembly is neither obligatory nor necessary for Kv1 channels to reach the cell surface. It, therefore, is unclear if all Kv1 channels represent Kv1α/Kvβ heteromultimeric complexes in the plasma membrane. Also, Kβ-subunits can assemble to tetramers in the absence of Kv1α-subunits (88, 89, 310). Furthermore, synthesis of Kv1α- and Kvβ-mRNA may be uncoordinated. For example, stimulation of lymphocytes with mitogen induces expression of Kvβ1- and Kvβ2-mRNA, but not of Kv1α-mRNA (16, 54). Combining these data with the ones for Kvβ knockout mice tells us that expression of Kv1α- and Kβ-subunits can occur independently of each other. How the cell controls assembly of Kβα-subunits and Kββ-subunits remains a mystery. In this context, a recent report about a reversible assembly and disassembly of Kv1α- and Kvβ-subunits is revealing (204). Reversibility of Kv-subunit assembly and its physiological consequences appears an interesting field for future investigation on Kv channel heteromultimers.

Kβ coexpression with Kvα-subunits can increase surface expression of Kv channels in heterologous expression systems. For example, coexpression of Kv1.2 and Kvβ2-subunits in Xenopus oocytes resulted in a sixfold increase in current amplitude (Table 1) (5). Since Kv1.2 single-channel conductance was not altered by Kβ, the observed current increase was most likely due to increased channel density at the plasma membrane. The data found further support in studies with Kv1.2 and Kvβ1.2 coexpressed in tissue culture cells. This resulted in an increase in α-DTX binding sites as well as in increased anti-Kv1.2 antibody binding to the cell surface. Therefore, it was suggested that stimulation of surface expression, unlike modulation of Kv channel gating, is a more general function of Kβ-subunits (272).

However, the evidence that Kβ-subunits generally function as chaperones is not compelling. Coexpression with Kβ-subunits does not increase Kv1.5 surface expression (142). Thus Kβ-subunits may either stimulate or attenuate Kv1 channel density in the plasma membrane (5). A recent report suggests an important role for Kβ-subunits in targeting of Kv1 channels to axonal compartments (86). Transfection of cultured hippocampal neurons with dye-labeled Kv1.2α and Kvβ2 constructs showed that Kvβ2-subunits can independently target to the axon. Remarkably, Kv1.2 subunits were not targeted to the axon, unless Kvβ2 was present. Next, it was shown that the microtubule (MT) plus-end tracking protein EB1 was crucial for Kvβ2 axonal targeting. EB1 was found in coimmunoprecipitation experiments to associate with Kv1.2 and Kvβ2. A possible scenario to explain the data is that Kv1.2 and Kvβ2, after assembly in the endoplasmatic reticulum (188), are sorted with EB1 into post-Golgi carrier vesicles which then travel down the axon utilizing the KIF3/kinesin II motor system to reach its final destination (86). The chaperone hypothesis incurs with data obtained with Kβ knockout mice. Both Kvβ2 knockout and Kvβ1/Kvβ2 double knockout mice displayed an apparently similar expression of Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 channels at the surface of cerebellar neurons and peripheral nerve (56, 170). In summary, Kβ-subunits may play a role in trafficking Kv channels to distinct cellular sites. However, this is still a controversial issue and needs further investigation.

F. Function of Kβ-Subunits In Vivo

Kv1 channels and Kβ subunits are widely expressed in the mammalian brain, having distinct as well as overlapping expression patterns (234, 311). Kvβ2 is the predominant ancillary Kβ subunit in the brain and exhibits a similar expression pattern as Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 (234–236). Kvβ1 also codistributes extensively with Kv1.1 and Kv1.4 (236). It is unclear whether the same Kv channel complex contains both Kvβ1 and Kvβ2 (or Kvβ3) subunits. Possibly, the different Kvβ subunits are associated with localizing the Kv channel to distinct cellular subsites. This, however, needs to be explored. In the axolemma of myelinated nerve fibers, Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 are coexpressed in the juxtaparanodes, together with Kvβ2 most likely representing a heteromeric Kv channel complex (234–236). Since in the nodal axolemma of mammalian myelinated nerve fibers this channel complex is absent (199, 242), application of TEA does not increase the duration of the action potential in these fibers (260, 261). Its main function in myelinated nerve fibers seems to be the inhibition of reexcitation following an action potential. The axon initial segment (AIS) of cortical and hippocampal pyramidal neurons also expresses a channel complex composed of Kv1.1, Kv1.2 (Fig. 5), and presumably also of Kvβ2. In the AIS of mitral cells of the olfactory bulb, only Kv1.2 channels are expressed, whereas in the AIS of Purkinje neurons, Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 are absent (Fig. 5). The density of the Kv1.1/Kv1.2 channel complex increases toward the distal part of the AIS of cortical pyramidal neurons. The Kv1.1 channel is strategically positioned to play a dynamic role in shaping the orthodromic action
potential and to integrate slow subthreshold signals to provide control of presynaptic action potential waveform and synaptic coupling in local cortical circuits (131). Note that Kv1.1 subunits also occur in dendrites (226) due to a local synthesis from dendritic Kv1.1 mRNA. Whether dendritic Kv1.1 channels are assembled with Kvβ-subunits is presently unknown.

The association of Kv1 channels with Kvβ2 has a marked influence on the threshold potential of action potentials (shift of the activation curve to more negative membrane potentials; Table 1). Also, they are involved in regulation of action potential duration. This is especially true for Kv1.4 channels that are primarily located in presynaptic terminals. Kv1.4 channels are characterized by slow recovery from inactivation. This property has not only been observed in homomeric Kv1.4 channels (246), but it also occurs in heteromeric Kv1.1/Kv1.2/Kv1.4 channels or channels consisting of Kv1.x, Kvβ1, and Kvβ2 subunits (232, 236). A functional consequence of slow recovery from inactivation is frequency-dependent broadening of action potentials which has been observed in presynaptic terminals and in nerve endings of the posterior pituitary (112). Simultaneous recordings of Ca2+ currents from presynaptic terminals of hippocampal mossy fiber boutons and postsynaptic excitation postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) showed that spike broadening led to an increase in Ca2+ influx into the presynaptic terminal and to an increase in the EPSCs, indicating an increase in the synaptic strength upon spike broadening (81). In line with this, the reported properties of Kv1.4/Kvβ1/Kvβ2 channel complexes and the occurrence of the Kv subunits in mossy fibers support the idea that Kv1.4 channel complexes play a role in regulating action potential duration in the hippocampal mossy fiber system of the mouse.

The phenotype of Kvβ1 knockout (Kvβ1−/−) mice show deficits in learning and memory as tested with the Morris water maze (Table 2; Refs. 82, 190). Kvβ2 knockout (Kvβ2−/−) and Kvβ1/Kvβ2 double-knockout (Kvβ1−/−/Kvβ2−/−) mice (56) are characterized by a reduced life span, an increased neuronal excitability, occasional seizures, and cold swim-induced tremors (Table 2). Although surface expression of Kv1.1/Kv1.2 channels appears normal in these mice, it is unclear whether this applies to all Kv1.x subunits in all neurons and neuronal compartments, respectively. Electrophysiological recordings from CA1 neurons of Kvβ1−/− mice showed a decrease in A-type current and an increase in sustained outward K+ current amplitude. Also, frequency-dependent spike broadening and reduced afterhyperpolarization amplitude was
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**FIG. 5.** Schematic diagram illustrating the molecular composition of the axon initial segments (AIS) of distinct types of nerve cells. A: in layer 2/3 and CA1 pyramidal cells, Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 subunits are localized at more distal parts of the AIS, and their density gradually increases towards the end of the AIS. B: in layer 5 and CA3 pyramidal cells, the Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 subunits have a relatively uniform distribution at high densities along the AIS. C: in mitral/tufted cells of the olfactory bulb, only Kv1.2 subunits are localized along the whole length of the AIS. D: in cerebellar Purkinje cells, there are no Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 subunits. E and F: GABAergic interneurons in the neocortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, and olfactory bulb express Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 at high densities in their AISs. In addition, the distribution of Nav1.1 and Nav1.6 is shown. [From Lorincz and Nusser (156).]

### Table 2. Kvβ knockout mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Background</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Electrophysiology, Molecular Biology</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1−/−</td>
<td>3-Mo-old mice: impairment in learning and memory (water maze, food preference task); rescue: enriched environment, aging</td>
<td>CA1 neurons: ( I_{\text{A}} ) decreased, ( I_{\text{m}} ) increased, less broadening of frequency-dependent AP, decreased slow AHP, no change in Kv1.1, Kv1.2, Kv1.4 density. LTP more readily induced than in WT control</td>
<td>82, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged mutants (12 mo): enhanced learning (Morris water maze)</td>
<td>Ventricular myocytes: ( I_{\text{A}} ) (Kv4.3) decreased, ( I_{\text{k,slow}} ) (Kv2.1) increased, no change in Kv1.4, Kv1.5, no change in AP shape</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1−/− (targeted to heart)</td>
<td>Normal ECG</td>
<td>Normal Kv1.1, Kv1.2 density and localization in cerebellar basket cell terminals and juxtaparanodes of myelinated nerve fibers</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ2−/−</td>
<td>Life span reduced, occasional seizures and cold swim-temperature-induced tremors</td>
<td>No change in Kv1.2 density in cerebellar basket cells</td>
<td>56, 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvβ1−/−; Kvβ2−/−</td>
<td>Increased mortality, cold-induced tremor</td>
<td>No change in Kv1.2 density in cerebellar basket cells</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG, electrocardiogram; \( I_{\text{A}} \), A-type current; \( I_{\text{m}} \), fast component of transient outward K current; \( I_{\text{K,slow}} \), sustained component of outward-rectifying K current; \( I_{\text{K,slow}} \), slow component of the outward-rectifying K current; AP, action potential; AHP, afterhyperpolarization; LTP, long-term potentiation.
observed for hippocampal neurons of the knockout mice compared with controls (82). Mice with a targeted deletion of Kvβ1 in the heart were used to analyze the role of Kvβ1 in the generation of ventricular Kv currents in the mouse (8). Wild-type and Kvβ1−/− cardiomyocytes isolated from the left ventricular apex displayed similar action potential wave forms and a similar peak Kv current density. The contribution of individual Kv channels to Kv current density, however, differed between wild-type and Kvβ1−/− ventricular cardiomyocytes. Notably, Kv4.3 channel expression was decreased and Kv2.1 expression was increased in Kvβ1−/− ventricular cardiomyocytes compared with wild type. The density of Kv1 channels (Kv1.1, Kv1.2, Kv1.4) was not changed, similar to the finding in CA1 neurons of a general knockout of Kvβ1 (82) and Kvβ2 (no change in Kv1.4, Kv1.5; Refs. 56, 170). The data indicate that a loss of ancillary subunit expression may not be a simple matter and may give rise to complex compensatory mechanisms at the transcriptional as well as translational and posttranslational level of Kv channel. Presently, it is unclear by which cellular mechanism(s) loss of Kvβ1 leads to modulation of Kv4.3 and Kv2.1 channel density at the cell surface. An as yet unexplored possibility in this context is the influence of Kvβ1 on the oxygen sensitivity of cardiac Kv channels, which reportedly affects their activity (55, 208, 210). In summary, in vitro and in vivo studies on the function of Kvβ-subunits have provided important insights into their role to confer inactivation on Kv1 channels. However, Kvβ-subunits most likely also have other functions. For example, all Kvβ-subunits contain an oxidoreductase active site, but why this is so still remains a mystery. Detailed electrophysiological and biochemical studies on intact cells in which Kvβ subunit function is specifically being manipulated may shed more light into the physiological role(s) of auxiliary Kvβ subunits.

III. KChIPs AND DPPLs: ANCILLARY SUBUNITS OF Kv4 CHANNELS

K+ channel interacting proteins (KChIPs) represent another family of ancillary subunits (Fig. 6). They specifically interact with cytoplasmic domains of Kv4 α-subunits, which form rapidly inactivating A-type K+ channels exhibiting a broad variability in both time course and voltage dependence of activation and inactivation. The variant gating properties of Kv4 channels appear to be mainly due to complex formation of Kv4 channels with different KChIPs (36, 117) as well as transmembrane dipeptidyl aminopeptidase-like proteins (DPPL) (117, 161, 187). These two types of ancillary subunits may either separately or jointly associate with Kv4 subunits to multiprotein complexes modulating trafficking, targeting to the plasma membrane, as well as turnover and endocytosis of Kv4 channels. Importantly, Kv4 multiprotein complexes represent the molecular correlate to neuronal somatodendritic A-type K current (I_{SA}) and cardiac transient outward current (I_{to}) (161, 193, 266). I_{SA} plays a considerable role in neuronal excitability, for example, in timing and frequency, and in backpropagation of action potentials into dendrites of hippocampal neurons (100). I_{to} contributes to the regulation of cardiac action potential repolarization in ventricular muscle (193). Thus two distinct types of ancillary subunits significantly modulate Kv4 channel gating properties, notably regulating Kv4

Fig. 6. Bar diagram of KChIP protein family. Alternative splicing of the four human KChIP genes generates a large number of isoforms (KChIP1–4) with a variant NH4-terminal region and a conserved COOH-terminal domain containing four EF hands (boxed in light green). Human KCNIP1 gene generates three KCNIP1 isoforms. Genbank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_001030009 (KChIP1.1), NP_055407 (KChIP1.2), NP_001030010 (KChIP1.3). Human KCNIP2 generates seven KCNIP2 isoforms. Genbank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_055406 (KChIP2.1), NP_775283 (KChIP2.2), NP_775284 (KChIP2.3), NP_775285 (KChIP2.4), NP_775286 (KChIP2.5), NP_775287 (KChIP2.6), NP_775289 (KChIP2.7). Human KCNIP3 gene generates two KCNIP3 isoforms. Genbank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_097947 (KChIP4.1), NP_671710 (KChIP4.2), NP_001030176 (KChIP4.3), NP_671712 (KChIP4.4), NP_001030175 (KChIP4.5), NP_001030176 (KChIP4.6). Functional domains are indicated by different colors or symbols, e.g., EF hands (green), myristoylation sites (●), palmitoylation sites (●), KIS domain (light blue). [Modified from Burgoyne (40).]
A. Structure of KChIPs

Members of the KChIP family were biochemically not purified from brain lysates like Kvβ subunits but were identified in yeast two-hybrid screens using the NH2 terminus of Kv4α-subunits and, respectively, the COOH terminus of presenilin 2 as bait (13, 184). The first screen yielded KChIP1–3. Incidentally, KChIP3 was already known as calsenilin (43) and as DREAM (downstream regulatory element antagonistic modulator) (45). The second screen resulted in the characterization of neuronal Ca2+ sensor protein CALP (calsenilin-like peptide), which is identical to KChIP4. Altogether, four KChIP genes (KChIP1–4) are known, giving rise to a large number of alternatively spliced isoforms. This makes the KChIP protein family the most diverse of the ancillary Kv-channel subunit families (Fig. 6). As for Kvβ-subunits, KChIP isoforms all contain a conserved COOH-terminal core region of ~180 amino acids, but distinct NH2 termini variable in sequence and length (13, 117, 286). Unlike Kvβ subunits, which form tetramers, purified KChIP proteins come as homomers. KChIPs are Ca2+ binding proteins belonging to the neuronal calcium-sensor (NCS) superfamily (40). Similar to other NCS proteins, KChIPs contain four EF-hand Ca2+-binding motifs within their conserved COOH terminus (Fig. 6) with Ca2+ bound to the third and fourth EF-hand (Fig. 7C). According to the crystal structural analysis of a KChIP1 preparation, EF-1 and EF-2 form the N lobe, and EF-3 and EF-4 the C lobe. Each of the two lobes consists of five α-helices (127, 251, 351). It has been shown that point mutations of highly conserved amino acids in EF-hands 2, 3, and 4 attenuate Ca2+ binding to KChIP1. The mutant KChIPs were still capable of binding to the Kv4 channel, but apparently had lost their capacity to modulate Kv4-channel inactivation (13). This result suggested that Ca2+ may affect Kv4 channel kinetics by binding to KChIP EF-hands. A direct effect of Ca2+, however, remains to be shown. Thus the functional significance of Ca2+ binding to KChIP EF-hands is still unclear.

Based on an electron microscopical analysis of purified Kv4.2-KChIP2 complexes (127), they represent octameric structures in a stoichiometry of four KChIP2 and four Kv4α-subunits. But unlike Kvβ tetramers, which are docked vertically to the bottom of the Kv1-assembly (T1) domain, KChIPs bind laterally to the Kv4 T1 domain (Fig. 7). Note that the overall three-dimensional structures of Kv1 and Kv4 T1 domains are very similar, yet the Kvα/Kvβ binding interfaces are quite different. Literally, the tetrameric T1 domains look like a gondola hanging beneath the membrane-inserted pore domain of Kv channels (277). Then the four bound KChIP molecules, which are rotated by 45° against the central T1 axis, form a circle and, thereby, markedly increase the area of the central platform of the gondola (127). Since the platform of the hanging gondola has no ion-permeable pore in its center, ions have to travel along the outer KChIP surface and subsequently pass the “ropes” of the gondola to

---

**Fig. 7.** Model of Kv4.3-KChIP1 channel complex. The model from Pioletti et al. (215) was constructed by docking KChIP1 to the cytoplasmic NH2 terminus of a Kv channel structure, which is based on the Kv1.2 crystal structure (153). A: side view of the channel complex. Transmembrane (TM) domains are shown in dark red, tetramerization (T1) domains in orange, and KChIPs in blue. Ca2+ are in magenta. [From Pioletti et al. (215). Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd.] B: view of the channel complex from the cytoplasmic side. KChIPs (blue) and Kv4.3 components (orange) are shown as ribbons. On the right, an enlarged view of KChIP1 (boxed area) is shown. EF hands are highlighted in orange and the two Ca2+ binding to EF-hand 3 and 4 in red. NH2-terminal (1–5) and COOH-terminal (6–10) α-helices are numbered. KChIP1 structure was taken from a protein databank (1S1E). [From Wang et al. (319). Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd.]
approach and finally enter the channel pore from the cytoplasmic side.

In contrast to the small contact sites between Kv1α- and Kvβ-subunits, the interaction of Kv4α-subunits with KChIP appears more complex, involving several, spatially separated sites. This conclusion is derived from extensive studies employing targeted deletions and site-directed mutagenesis of the Kv4 NH₂ terminus combined with Kv4 current recordings in heterologous expression systems and with crystallography analyses. The data suggest that a proximal (residues 7–11) and distal (residues 71–90) domain of the NH₂ terminus of Kv4.2 are important for binding KChIP1 (13, 17, 44, 251). The proximal NH₂-terminal Kv4 domain contains an α-helical stretch of hydrophobic amino acid residues. Presumably, it binds to a hydrophobic pocket of KChIP1, thereby forming a stable complex. This view is strongly supported by the crystal structure of KChIP1 in complex with the proximal Kv4.2 NH₂ terminus (amino acids 1–30). The structure shows the α-helix of the Kv4.2 NH₂ terminus in close contact with KChIP amino acid residues within a hydrophobic groove of the KChIP1 molecule (251). Mutagenesis of the distal NH₂-terminal Kv4.2 domain indicated that this domain is essential for binding KChIPs (44). This hypothesis found strong support by an elegant experiment, where this domain was inserted into the NH₂ terminus of Kv1.2. The insertion conferred to the Kv1.2 channel binding to KChIP1, which otherwise does not interact with Kv1.2 (251).

Recently, the structure of cocryystals between the human Kv4.3 NH₂ terminus (residues 6–145) and KChIP1 (residues 38–217) were solved at a resolution of 3.2 Å (319). The crystal structure provides a detailed picture of an octameric KChIP-Kv4.2 complex, in which four KChIPs are symmetrically arranged around the four α-subunits (Fig. 7B). Importantly, the structure shows each KChIP molecule in contact with two neighboring Kv4.3 NH₂ termini (215, 319). Note formation of two interfaces between a Ca²⁺-binding protein and a cytoplasmic K⁺-channel domain is also seen in the crystal structure of a complex between calmodulin and a small-conductance (SK) K⁺ channel (10, 168). But in detail, the two complexes are quite different. An elongated groove formed by the displacement of the helix 10 on the surface of KChIP1 is the first interface with the proximal NH₂-terminal Kv4.3 binding domain. The second interface involves helix 2 of the same KChIP molecule, which contacts the T1 assembly domain of the neighboring Kv4.3α-subunit. It is likely that this interaction clamps two Kv4α-subunits together to stabilize the octameric Kv4-KChIP channel complex (215, 319). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that KChIPs are capable of rescuing assembly-defective Kv4 subunits. Point mutations in the Kv4 NH₂ terminus, which affect the Zn²⁺ binding sites in the T1 domain (251), disrupt Kv4α-subunit tetramerization and trap Kv4 protein in the ER (135). When coexpressed with KChIPs, the mutant subunits, however, assemble together and form tetramers (135, 319).

Unfortunately, available Kv-channel crystal structures are uninformative on the contribution or role of COOH-terminal Kv-channel domains to binding ancillary subunits like Kvβ or KChIP. Mutagenesis studies imply that the cytoplasmic Kv4 COOH terminus contains a third KChIP-interaction site. Mutations in this COOH-terminal Kv4 domain similarly to those in the NH₂-terminal Kv4 domains seem to influence surface expression as well as Kv4 channel gating (44, 92). Clearly, additional structural data are needed to understand whether the observed mutational effects are based on direct or indirect effects on KChIP binding to the Kv4 channel.

B. Function of KChIPs In Vitro

Coexpression of KChIPs with Kv4 in heterologous expression system may lead to two effects. The first one is seen as an increase in Kv4 current density, presumably because the density of Kv4 channels is increased in the plasma membrane. The second one concerns Kv4-channel kinetics of inactivation and recovery from inactivation. Both are altered by KChIP binding to the channel (Table 3). The effect on current density differs greatly for the various KChIP isoforms. In fact, some KChIP variants, for example, KChIP4, have almost no effect, and others stimulate current density 10- to 100-fold (13, 17). In this case, KChIPs seem to facilitate Kv4 channel assembly in the ER and Kv4 trafficking to the plasma membrane. The molecular mechanisms underlying these different steps are unclear (13, 101, 135, 274).

The variant NH₂ termini of the different KChIP isoforms display remarkably distinct sets of consensus sequences for myristoylation and palmitoylation (40, 286). As proposed for other members of the NCS protein family, myristoylation and palmitoylation of KChIPs may be important for membrane localization (197). In some NCS proteins, like recoverin, the translocation from the cytosol to the membrane-bound form is Ca²⁺ dependent, because the myristoyl group is only exposed after binding of Ca²⁺ (40). KChIPs appear to have a permanently exposed myristoyl or palmitoyl group, insensitive to Ca²⁺ binding. Thus KChIPs are membrane-associated proteins; only KChIP isoforms like most of the KChIP4 isoforms (Fig. 6), which lack a myristoyl or palmitoyl group, are cytosolic proteins. They bind to the plasma membrane only after association with Kv4 channel α-subunits (40). Interestingly, the effect of KChIP2.2 (KChIP2.b) and KChIP3 on current density was eliminated by mutation of an NH₂-terminal double cysteine motif for palmitoylation to alanine. Note the effect of the mutant KChIPs on Kv4.2 channel kinetics was like that of wild-type KChIPs (286).
TABLE 3. Effects of KChIPs and/or DPPLs on Kv4 channels in heterologous expression systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit</th>
<th>Coexpressed With</th>
<th>Increase in Surface Expression</th>
<th>Activation (V_{1/2})</th>
<th>Inactivation (V_{1/2})</th>
<th>Inactivation Kinetics</th>
<th>Recovery From Inactivation</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KChIP1</td>
<td>Kv4.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+12 mV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+, 4-fold</td>
<td>24, 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>-14-fold</td>
<td>-20, -40 mV</td>
<td>-5 mV</td>
<td>-4-fold</td>
<td>+, 3-fold</td>
<td>11, 13, 101, 102, 187, 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>2-fold</td>
<td>-3 mV</td>
<td>+5 mV</td>
<td>-2, 3-fold</td>
<td>+, 2- to 10-fold</td>
<td>13, 24, 101, 102, 187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP2</td>
<td>Kv4.1</td>
<td>&gt;100-fold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>+15 mV</td>
<td>+, 7-fold</td>
<td>+, 7-fold</td>
<td>17, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>9- to 55-fold</td>
<td>+, 9, -30 mV</td>
<td>+16 mV</td>
<td>-3-fold</td>
<td>+, -6-fold</td>
<td>13, 17, 18, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP3</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>10-fold</td>
<td>+14 mV</td>
<td>+6 mV</td>
<td>-3-fold</td>
<td>+, 4.5-fold</td>
<td>17, 18, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>6- to 14-fold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>+5 mV</td>
<td>-2-fold</td>
<td>+, 3-fold</td>
<td>13, 114, 116, 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP4</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>1.5-fold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>+10 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-10-fold</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+10 mV</td>
<td>+15 mV</td>
<td>+, 2- to 3-fold</td>
<td>+, 3-fold</td>
<td>11, 114, 125, 186, 187, 275, 346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPXX-S (DPp6)</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>11- to 20-fold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>+, -30 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-15 mV</td>
<td>+, 10-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>3-fold</td>
<td>-15, -30 mV</td>
<td>-8 mV</td>
<td>+, -2-fold</td>
<td>+, -3-fold</td>
<td>125, 187, 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPI0 (DPpY)</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>7-fold</td>
<td>-10, -30 mV</td>
<td>-8-16 mV</td>
<td>+, -8-fold</td>
<td>+, -3-fold</td>
<td>114, 115, 145, 346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-10 mV</td>
<td>-17 mV</td>
<td>+, -3-fold</td>
<td>+, -2-fold</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPXX-S + KChIP1</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>-8 mV</td>
<td>U-shaped</td>
<td>+, 3-fold</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+KChIP2</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-12 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+, 11-fold</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+KChIP4</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-18 mV</td>
<td>-5 mV</td>
<td>+, 2-fold</td>
<td>+, -2-fold</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+KChIP2</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>twofold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>-4 mV</td>
<td>U-shaped</td>
<td>+, -8-fold</td>
<td>114, 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP10 + KChIP2</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>twofold</td>
<td>Approximately</td>
<td>-20 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-10 mV</td>
<td>+, 10 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+KChIP3</td>
<td>Kv4.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-10 mV</td>
<td>+, 2-fold</td>
<td>+, 4-fold</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+KChIP4</td>
<td>Kv4.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-10 mV</td>
<td>-9 mV</td>
<td>+, -5-fold</td>
<td>+, 2.5-fold</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Increase in parameter or shift to more positive potentials; -: decrease or shift to more negative potentials; ±: no effect; ND: parameter was not determined. \(V_{1/2}\): membrane potentials at which 50% of the channels are activated or inactivated.

The data suggest that the variant KChIP NH2 termini specifically affect Kv4 channel trafficking and membrane targeting. Possibly, distinct posttranslational KChIP modifications influence Kv4-channel targeting to distinct microdomains of the plasma membrane. Potentially, KChIPs may also play an important role in modulating membrane mobility and endocytosis of the Kv4 channel. This aspect of Kv4 channel trafficking remains largely unexplored. Clearly, further studies are needed to understand the specific roles of KChIP NH2 termini in Kv4 channel assembly, trafficking, and endocytosis.

An important aspect of KChIP activity is that they markedly affect Kv4 channel gating (Table 3; Fig. 10, A and B). The Kv4 channel expresses a rapidly inactivating current; inactivation is fast and voltage dependent. In contrast to Shaker-type channels, which enter the inactivated state from the open state(s), the Kv4 channel mostly inactivates from closed state (17, 22, 118). Closed-state inactivation, which is a hallmark of Kv4 channels, has intensely been investigated, and detailed kinetic models have been developed to explain this type of inactivation (11, 117, 124). Steady-state inactivation of Kv4 channels is high at a resting membrane potential of about -60 mV, but recovery from Kv4 inactivation at more negative membrane potentials is very fast. Thus closed-state inactivation in Kv4 channels represents an effective mechanism to regulate Kv4-channel availability at subthreshold membrane potentials. For example, brief conditioning hyperpolarization, such as a hyperpolarizing afterpotential, which follows an action potential, will rapidly recruit inactive Kv4 channels.

KChIPs induce large changes in time- and voltage-dependent properties of Kv4 current and, therefore, are probably important regulators of Kv4 channel activity in excitable cells. For example, KChIP2s slow the time course of Kv4.1-current inactivation ~7-fold and increase the rate of recovery from inactivation 2- to 10-fold. In contrast, KChIP1 accelerates the time course of Kv4.1-current inactivation (189; Table 3). Furthermore, the voltage dependence of Kv4.2 activation is shifted to more negative membrane potentials by 30 to 40 mV (Table 3; Refs. 11, 13, 24, 101, 187). KChIP1–3 accelerate Kv4.2 and Kv4.3 current inactivation at depolarizing potentials from -40 to -20 or 0 mV. At more positive potentials, however, the time course of Kv4 current inactivation becomes slower again, inducing a U-shaped voltage dependence. This type of voltage dependence has also been observed in native A-type currents, e.g., in cerebellar granule cells (11) and hippocampal pyramidal neurons of the CA1 region (100). In addition, modulatory effects of cAMP-de-
ependent protein kinase and arachidonic acid on current density and kinetics of Kv4 currents require KChIP presence (102).

In addition to closed-state inactivation, the Kv4 channel may undergo an N-type inactivation in which the distal part of the NH2 terminus of the Kv4 channel moves towards the cytoplasmic pore of the channel and occludes it in its open state (11, 17, 80). A characteristic of this type of voltage-dependent inactivation is that it becomes monotonically faster with more positive membrane potentials (11). Interestingly, binding of KChIP1–3 to the Kv4 NH2 terminus sequesters and immobilizes the NH2-terminal Kv4 inactivating domain. The KChIP1–3-Kv4 interaction prevents N-type but not closed-state inactivation, which is the predominant type of Kv4 channel inactivation (215, 319).

KChIP4.4 represents a special case and demonstrates the enormous variability of KChIP effects on Kv4 channel activity. KChIP4.4 suppresses fast inactivation of the Kv4.3 and Kv4.2 channel, converting the fast transient A-type Kv4 current into a slowly inactivating outward current. The KChIP4.4 channel inactivation suppressor (KIS) domain is located within the first 34 amino acids of the KChIP4 NH2 terminus (101). The KIS domain forms an extended α-helix that folds back onto the KChIP core region, where it binds to the same hydrophobic surface pocket recently shown to harbor the NH2-terminal α-helix of Kv4.3 in a KChIP1-Kv4.3 T1 complex (Fig. 7; Refs. 215, 263, 319). Functionally, this interaction abolishes the increased surface expression mediated by the KChIP-core domain (101). The observation provides a coherent picture of KChIP effects on Kv4 channel surface expression. During assembly of Kv4 channel complexes in ER and Golgi compartments, the Kv4 NH2 terminus will be exposed on the surface and interacts with a retention apparatus in ER and Golgi compartments. KChIP binding to the Kv4 NH2 terminus then will relieve retention and stimulate anterograde trafficking to the plasma membrane. In contrast, any KChIP4.4 subunit associating with Kv4 channel will expose a Kv4 NH2 terminus and, thus, impair surface expression of the Kv4 channel (263).

C. Structure of DPPLs

Comparison of current properties mediated by heterologously expressed KChIP/Kv4 channel complexes with those measured in primary cells showed significant kinetic differences, in particular in the inactivation time course (Fig. 10, B and D). This led to the hypothesis that Kv4 channel complexes contain an additional subunit. Indeed, analysis of immunopurified Kv4 channel complexes showed that they consisted of three main Kv4 channel types of protein: Kv4, KChIP, and an unidentified 115-kDa protein. The protein was purified and sequenced by tandem mass spectrometry. This led to the discovery of a novel Kv4 channel-associated protein. This new subunit (DPP6 or DPPX) exhibited sequence similarity to dipeptidyl aminopeptidase protein (DPP), an integral membrane protein with serine-protease activity. Coexpression of DPP6 with the Kv4 channel leads to a great increase in surface expression and to a significant acceleration of the inactivation time course of the Kv4 channel (Table 3; Ref. 187). Importantly, assembly of the Kv4/ KChIP/DPP-channel complex in in vitro expression systems yielded inactivating outward currents indistinguishable from those observed as I(SA in primary neurons (Fig. 10, C and D; Refs. 114, 115, 145, 161, 263). The data concur with the idea that native Kv4 channels are multiprotein complexes assembled from Kv4, KChIP, and/or DPP-like subunits (Fig. 8).

DPPL Kv4 channel subunits carry mutations of the highly conserved serine residue in the catalytic site of the DPP serine proteases. Most likely, this is the reason why DPPL subunits of Kv4-channel complexes do not exert dipeptidyl aminopeptidase activity (225, 281). Two DPPL families have been described, DPP6 (DPPX) and DPP10 (DPPY) (Fig. 9) (114, 187, 346). The general topology reveals a relatively short cytoplasmic NH2 terminus, a

![Fig. 8. Structural model of Kv4.3-KChIP1-DPP6 channel complex.](image-url)
FIG. 9. Bar diagram of DPPL protein family. Human DP6 (DPX) gene generates three isoforms. Genbank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_570629 (DPX1, DPX isoform 1, DPX-L, long isoform), NP_001927 (DPX2, DPX isoform 2, DPX-S, short isoform), NP_001034439 (DPX3, DPX isoform 3). Human DP10 (DPY) gene generates four isoforms. Genbank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_001034439 (DPY1 variant a, long isoform), ABI16085 (DPY1 variant b), ABI16086 (DPY1 variant c), ABI16087 (DPY1 variant d, short isoform). Transmembrane domain (TMD) is boxed in black, β-propeller domain is dark green, and α/β-hydroxylase is light green.

single transmembrane segment, and a large extracellular COOH terminus, which displays a glycosylation domain, a cysteine-rich domain, and an aminopeptidase-like domain. DPP6 protein is related to the dipeptidyl aminopeptidase CD-26. This serine-protease mediates several important functions through its extracellular catalytic and cysteine-rich domain in cell adhesion, cell migration, and T-cell activation. The latter domain binds to components of the extracellular matrix. It is likely that the extracellular domain of DPPL subunits comparably interacts with extracellular matrix proteins (187, 281). Possibly, this interaction provides a molecular basis for the observed large increase in Kv4 channel surface expression upon coexpression with DPPL subunits. Potentially, they could fix the Kv4 channel in the plasma membrane making the channel more resistant to endocytic turnover. It is attractive to speculate that interaction with extracellular matrix aids Kv4 channel clustering at hippocampal synaptic spines.

Whereas the extracellular domain(s) of the DPPL subunits may interact with extracellular matrix components, it has been proposed that the single DPPL-transmembrane domain binds to the voltage sensors of the Kv4 channel (231). This binding could explain observed DPPL effects on voltage-dependent Kv4 channel gating (68, 346). If the DPPL NH2 terminus interacts with a cytoplasmic domain of the Kv4 channel remains to be explored. Additionally, Kv4-channel protein complexes containing different KChIP isoforms, expression levels of DPPL subunits can substantially differ from one brain region to another. For example, DP6 expression in hippocampus is markedly stronger than that of DP10, whereas both subunits have a similar expression level in neurons of neocortical layers (114). How the different expression levels reflect variant heteromultimerizations to binary and ternary Kv4 channel complexes is presently unclear. Intuitively, however, distinct differences in subunit protein levels provide a reasonable explanation for the different biophysical properties of native A-type currents. In conclusion, Kv4-channel protein complexes containing different members of the KChIP and DPPL subunit families most likely reflect differences in A-type currents observed in various types of neurons.

Yet another turn in the complexity of Kv4 channel assembly with KChIP and DPPL is that DPPL isoforms, like KChIP, appear to have additional cellular functions unrelated to Kv4 channel activity. Thus, with the use of a
conditional DPP6 knockout mouse, it was shown that DPP6 occurs at high density in hippocampal mossy fibers where Kv4 channels are absent (53), and single nucleotide polymorphisms in the DPP10 gene have been shown to be associated with asthma (231). It is unknown whether these activities cross-talk with the ones associated with Kv4 channel activity. Possibly, reversible association with the Kv4 channel is connected with channel-unrelated functions of ancillary Kv4 subunits. Mutations in DPP6 have also been associated with human diseases, like amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (58, 309) and autism (163). It is not known in which way the mutated DPP6 is associated with these diseases.

D. Coexpression of KChIPs and DPPLs In Vitro

Heterologous coexpression of Kv4 channels with DPPL and KChIP subunits reveals two kind of general effects. The first alters the gating behavior of the Kv4 channel. The second one significantly increases surface expression of the Kv4 channel in the plasma membrane. Coexpression of DPP6-S with Kv4.2 in Xenopus oocytes induces large negative shifts in voltage dependence of steady-state activation (−30 mV) and inactivation (−15 mV) of the Kv4.2 current. Also, it significantly accelerates the time course of activation, inactivation, and recovery from inactivation (Table 3) (187). Detailed kinetic analysis showed that the presence of DPP6-S increases severalfold the rate of closed-state Kv4.2-channel inactivation, i.e., the main DPP6-S effect on Kv4-channel gating is to enhance preopen-closed state inactivation of the channel (117). Coexpression of DPP6-S with Kv4.2 yields A-type currents that inactivate faster with more positive membrane potentials. In contrast, coexpression of Kv4.2, KChIP1, and DPP6-S yields A-type currents that inactivate increasingly slower at higher positive membrane potentials, resulting in an U-shaped voltage dependence (11). Cerebellar granule cells express a combination of DPP6-S, KChIP1, and Kv4.2. Coexpression of DPP6-S together with KChIP1 and Kv4.2 indeed yields Kv4.2 currents faithfully displaying the characteristic properties of A-type currents recorded from cerebellar granule neurons (Fig. 10) (11, 161). This resemblance to native A-type currents was not observed when Kv4.2 was coexpressed with either ancillary subunit alone (187). Another example, where in vitro expressed A-type current properties match well to native A-type current, represents the coexpression of Kv4.2 and DPP6-E. This binary subunit combination generates A-type currents that inactivate increasingly faster with more positive membrane potentials. The results can provide a handy explanation for the voltage dependence of the time course of A-type current inactivation recorded from layer V neocortical neurons (25, 26, 132).

Differential effects on Kv4.2 current inactivation are also seen upon coexpression of Kv4.2 with members of the DPP10 subunit family, which consists of four isoforms (DPP variant a-d). Coexpression of Kv4.2 with DPP10a induces Kv4 currents exhibiting strong acceleration of inactivation with more positive membrane potentials, whereas inactivation of the Kv4 currents recorded after coexpression of Kv4.2 with DPP10c or DPP10d accelerates only slowly with more positive potentials (115). Interestingly, coexpression of DPP10c or DPP10d with KChIP3 and Kv4.2 induced a U-shaped voltage dependence of the time constants of Kv4 current inactivation. In contrast, coexpression of DPP10a with KChIP3 and Kv4.2 induced an A-type current inactivation which became monotonically faster with more positive potentials (115). Taken together, the in vitro expression data show that the biophysical properties of native A-type currents can satisfactorily be reproduced in vitro by choosing the right subunit combination (11, 114, 115, 161, 187). Note biophysical Kv4 current properties are also sensitive to decreasing or increasing relative amounts of subunit cRNA or cDNA (114).

Another aspect of DDPL subunits, which may be independent of their effects on Kv4 channel gating, is their influence on Kv4 channel surface expression. Coexpression of Kv4.2 with DPP6-S or DPP6-L in CHO cells results in a ~20-fold increase in the surface-exposed channel protein as measured by a surface protein biotinylation assay (Table 3) (187). This increase in surface expression of the Kv4.2 protein correlates with a similar current increase following coexpression of Kv4.2 with DPP6-S in CHO cells. The data indicate that binding of
DPP6 to Kv4 channels leads to a dramatic increase of Kv4 channels resident in the plasma membrane (187). It is possible that both ancillary subunits exert their effects on surface expression by different mechanisms. KChIPs have been proposed to facilitate anterograde trafficking of the Kv4.2 channel to the plasma membrane (see above). Conversely, DPPL subunits may slow retrograde trafficking by stimulating Kv4 channel retention in the plasma membrane by binding to components of the extracellular matrix. This hypothesis predicts that both ancillary subunits cooperatively stimulate cell surface expression of the Kv4 channel. Future studies will shed light onto the mechanisms that regulate cell surface expression of the Kv4 channel and the specific roles of Kv4 ancillary subunits in trafficking.

E. Function of KChIPs In Vivo (Heart)

In mice, the $I_{to}$ consists of a fast component ($I_{to,f}$) characterized by fast activation, inactivation, and fast recovery from inactivation. $I_{to,f}$ is mediated by Kv4.2 and Kv4.3 channels. The slow component of $I_{to}$ ($I_{to,s}$) is mediated by Kv1.4 channels. In addition, a slow K current mediated by TASK1 channels, have been distinguished (193). In the mouse, Kv4.3 accelerates recovery from inactivation, the mouse potential shape. Because binding of KChIP2 to Kv4.2/Kv4.3 increases Kv4-encoded K currents, slows inactivation caused by graded expression of Kv4.2 (244, 292). In vitro, KChIP2 increases Kv4-encoded K currents, slows inactivation, and accelerates recovery from inactivation (13, 65).

Whereas KChIP1–4 are expressed in the brain (13, 184), only KChIP2 is expressed in cardiac tissue (245), where it has been shown to interact with Kv4.2 and Kv4.3 to form $I_{to,f}$ (193, 196). This is fortunate as it greatly simplifies investigations on the in vivo function of a particular KChIP isoform by choosing cardiac tissue for study. In canine and human ventricles, the density of KChIP2 mRNA and protein increases from endo- to epicardium, whereas Kv4.3 mRNA is uniformly expressed within a gradient of Kv4.3 protein (243, 245). It is assumed that the KChIP2 gradient regulates the Kv4.3 protein gradient, which then mediates the $I_{to,f}$ gradient. Although in mouse ventricles there is a small transmural KChIP2 gradient, the transmural gradient of $I_{to,f}$ is presumably caused by graded expression of Kv4.2 (244, 292). In vitro, KChIP2 increases Kv4-encoded K currents, slows inactivation, and accelerates recovery from inactivation (13, 65).

The functional importance of KChIP2 for $I_{to}$ expression was clearly demonstrated in KChIP2 knockout mice (Table 4). The heart of these mice completely lacks $I_{to,f}$ (136), whereas the amplitude of $I_{K,slow}$ mediated by Kv1.5 is increased to an extent that the maximal peak K current in KChIP2 knockout mice is not changed. Although Kv4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Background</th>
<th>Behavioral Tests</th>
<th>Electrophysiology, Molecular Biology</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KChIP1$^{-/-}$</td>
<td>Normal life expectancy, increased susceptibility to PTZ-induced seizures</td>
<td>AP duration prolonged, cardiac $I_{K,slow}$ (Kv4.2/Kv4.3) absent, $I_{K,slow}$ increased; Kv1.5 mRNA increased, Kv1.4 and Kv2.1 mRNA unchanged</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP2$^{-/-}$</td>
<td>Highly susceptible to arrhythmias, otherwise normal structure and function of the heart</td>
<td>A-type current decreased, LTP enhanced in dentate gyrus; CREB-dependent transcription during learning facilitated</td>
<td>136, 295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KChIP3/Calsenilin/DREAM$^{-/-}$</td>
<td>No obvious movement disorders</td>
<td>Prodynorphin mRNA and dynorphin A peptides in the spinal cord increased</td>
<td>9, 47, 50, 78, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dppx$^{-/-}$</td>
<td>Enhanced learning and synaptic plasticity: shorter escape latencies (Morris water maze); fear conditioning: memory 24 h after training significantly enhanced</td>
<td>Cortical progenitor cells fail to express GFAP in response to PACAP; number of astrocytes reduced, number of neurons increased</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$I_{to}$ transient outward K current; $I_{K,slow}$ sustained component of outward rectifying K current, LTP, long-term potentiation.
and Kv4.3 are still transcribed, in KChIP2<sup>−/−</sup> mice no \( I_{\text{to}} \) could be measured (295). Interestingly, in Kv4.2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, an upregulated \( I_{\text{K},\alpha} \) and increased transcription of Kv1.4 has been found (90). Although KChIP2 and Kv4 expression in the mouse heart seem to influence each other, the data also indicate that the compensatory mechanisms differ in Kv4.2<sup>−/−</sup> and KChIP2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. As a result of the abolished \( I_{\text{to},\alpha} \), the cardiac action potential is prolonged, making KChIP2 knockout mice susceptible to ventricular tachycardia (136). Hitherto, mutants in the human Kv1.5 gene as a cause of a hereditary cardiac arrhythmia have not been described. Comparably to KChIP2, differences in\( I_{\text{to}} \) density are correlated with variations in cardiac Kv4.2 protein expression. Also, deletion of Kv4.2 eliminates \( I_{\text{to}} \) (90, 196). Note Kv4.3 is expressed in cardiac tissue as well. Remarkably, Kv4.3 mRNA expression is essentially the same in different heart regions and does not display a concentration gradient between endo- and epicardium like KChIP2 and Kv4.2 (245). Furthermore, loss of cardiac Kv4.3 expression in the mouse leaves \( I_{\text{to}} \) density unaffected. Thus Kv4.3 protein is unimportant for mediating \( I_{\text{to}} \) in the mouse (196). Its significance for cardiac K<sup>+</sup> channel expression is uncertain.

The effects of KChIP2 are not restricted to Kv4 channels. In addition to its binding to Kv4.2/Kv4.3 channels, KChIP2 impairs trafficking and cell surface expression of Kv1.5 channels in transiently transfected HEK293 cells (144). This important observation may explain the increased density of Kv1.5 channels in cardiomyocytes in KChIP2 knockout mice. Other examples support the notion that KChIP2 is a multifunctional K<sup>+</sup> channel accessory subunit. Silencing of KChIP2 eliminates the Na<sup>+</sup> current in neonatal myocytes (65), and in KChIP2 knockout mice the L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> current is decreased by 30% (296), suggesting that KChIP2 may have a chaperone function for Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels to increase cell surface expression. These data indicate that in vivo KChIP2 modulates Kv4 channel gating properties and is involved in regulating surface expression of different types of ion channels (Kv1.5, Kv4, Na<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels). DPPLs are also expressed in the human heart. Since coexpression of Kv4.3 with KChIP2 does not satisfactorily reproduce the characteristic fast kinetics of inactivation and recovery from inactivation of human cardiac \( I_{\text{to},\alpha} \), it was found that a heterologously expressed ternary complex of Kv4.3, KChIP2 and DPPL produced current kinetics very similar to \( I_{\text{to},\alpha} \) in human cardiomyocytes (227). Like KChIPs, DPPL function may go beyond an interaction with Kv4 channels. DPP10 affects Kv1.4 channel properties comparably to Kv4 channels, accelerating the time course of Kv1.4 current activation and shifting the voltage dependence of activation and inactivation to more negative membrane potentials. However, in contrast to its effect on Kv4 channels, DPP10 slows recovery from inactivation of Kv1.4 channels (144).

### F. Function of KChIPs and DPPLs for Native A-type Currents In Vivo (Brain)

Based on in vitro expression experiments, one may expect that the Kv4 channel associates with KChIPs and DPPLs to form large heteromeric protein complexes. Indeed, KChIP and Kv4 channel protein are coimmunoprecipitated from brain lysates, demonstrating association of the two types of ancillary subunits with native Kv4 channel (13, 101, 227, 245). However, one can only but speculate how many different Kv4 multiprotein complexes are expressed in the brain and what the underlying physiological basis is. Using specific anti-KChIP1–4 and anti-Kv4.2 or -Kv4.3 antibodies, Kv4 channel complexes were localized to neuronal somata and dendrites in immunohistochemical investigations on rodent brain slices (233). Apparently, the Kv4 channel complexes are being concentrated toward distal dendritic compartments of hippocampal pyramidal neurons and contain both types of ancillary subunits. This observation is supported by a combination of electrophysiological experiments showing higher \( I_{\text{SA}} \) density at distal than at proximal dendrites in these cells (100, 119) and by siRNA experiments knocking down DPP6 expression in hippocampal CA1 neurons through viral infection of an organotypic hippocampal slice preparation (126). The results indicated that Kv4 channels need DPP6 in CA1 neurons to steer precision of onset in action potential firing (126, 161). On the basis of data from heterologous expression studies (see above), it was predicted that knockdown of DPP6 would decrease Kv4 channel activity and, thereby, enhance excitability of CA1 pyramidal neurons. In contrast, the results from somatic recordings showed that siDPPX expression caused a decrease in subthreshold excitability of CA1 pyramidial neurons. The authors offer two alternative explanations to explain their unanticipated findings. First, DPP6 knockdown leaves more Kv4 channels available at rest and increases the window, where A-type current persists at steady state near the membrane resting potential. The increased window current induced an increase in the resting conductance leading to delayed onset of action potential firing upon depolarizing current injection. Second, DPP6 knockdown shallows the voltage dependence of Kv4 channel activation, which may significantly delay action potential onset times. Note the data add a cautious note to linear extrapolations between results obtained from studies using in vitro expression systems and transient channel subunit expression and those obtained by manipulating primary neurons.

The single-channel conductance (\( \gamma \)) of neuronal somatodendritic A-type K<sup>+</sup> channels is about twofold larger than that of Kv4 channels expressed in heterologous cells. Association of DPP6-S with the Kv4 channel seems responsible for the observed \( \gamma \) difference between native and heterologously expressed Kv4 channels (125). Coex-
pression of the Kv4.2 channel with DPP6-S was sufficient to match the single-channel conductance of native Kv4 channel measured on cerebellar granule (CGN) neurons. On the other hand, CGN Kv4 channels from dpp6 knockout mice showed a γ-like heterologously expressed Kv4 channel. Note KChIPs have no influence on γ of the Kv4 channel (24, 101). In summary, DPP6-S has several favorable effects on crucial aspects of Kv4 channel function. It promotes trafficking to the plasma membrane, accelerates recovery from inactivation, shifts the voltage dependence of activation to more negative voltages, and enhances single-channel conductance. Preliminary work reports that loss of DPP6-S in knockout mice affects LTP, learning, and social behavior (Table 4) (345). Furthermore, recent reports indicate that dpp6 may belong to the susceptibility genes for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and autism (163, 309). To dissect the different effects of DPP6-S on native Kv4 channels and how they contribute to functional and behavioral phenotypes in the mouse will be a challenging and important undertaking in the future.

Both the expression of KChIPs and their assembly with Kv4 protein displays a distinct pattern in different regions (or neurons) of the rodent brain. KChIP1 is colocalized with Kv4.3 in cerebellar Purkinje cells, and with Kv4.2 and Kv4.3 in hippocampal interneurons, cerebellar granule cells, and neurons of the thalamus. KChIP2 colocalizes with both Kv4 channels in apical and basal dendrites of hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal cells, whereas KChIP3 is predominantly expressed together with Kv4.2/Kv4.3 in neocortical layer VI, dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, and cerebellar granule and Purkinje cells. The differential expression of KChIPs may suggest that they have some nonoverlapping functions in the nervous system. This point of view is supported by the observation of noncompensated phenotypes in KChIP2 and KChIP3 knockout mice (50, 136, 147). KChIP4 was depleted in all neocortical layers, the hippocampal CA1 region, the thalamus, and Purkinje cells (13, 114, 233). The data suggest that certain neurons appear to specifically express only one particular isoform, whereas other neurons, for example, the Purkinje cells, express more than one KChIP isoform. Potentially, KChIP4, which does not promote surface expression of Kv4.2, may competitively antagonize binding of other KChIPs to Kv4.2 (274). Whether these observations implicate that one Kv4 channel associates in a generic fashion with different KChIPs in heteromultimeric protein complexes or, alternatively, the formation of distinct subsets, each containing only one type of KChIP, is presently not known. To further our present understanding of KChIP expression patterns, it would be important to directly and quantitatively probe the functioning of KChIPs on ionic currents in intact neurons. Note a lack of investigations on a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent regulation of Kv4 channel activity by KChIPs, although knowledge about the sensitivity of dendritic KChIP/Kv4 channel activity towards changes in cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration might be of particular interest. Intuitively, a Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding protein such as KChIP would have the potential to confer Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity to Kv4 channel activity. This would be of high functional importance in dendritic boutons where transient increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]

Although much is known about the regional and neuronal specificity of KChIP isoform expression, it is unclear how this affects the Kv4 channel in terms of localization to different neuronal compartments, trafficking, lifetime in the plasma membrane, endocytosis, and proteasomal degradation. Studies with Kv4.2 and KChIP knockout mice have revealed very complex relationships between Kv4 channel and KChIP expression in the nervous system. Kv4.2 knockout mice exhibit a marked region-specific decrease in the expression of specific KChIP isoforms. For example, KChIP2 and KChIP3 expression is decreased in hippocampus, that of KChIP2 in striatum, and that of KChIP1 and KChIP3 in cerebellum (180). Conversely, KChIP1 and KChIP2 expression are unaffected in visual cortex, whereas KChIP3 protein expression is drastically reduced (192). Clearly, the data indicate a cross-talk between Kv4.2 and KChIP expression. Yet, regulation of this cross-talk seemingly differs among different brain regions or neurons. The mechanisms leading to such a complex subtype- and neuron-specific regulation of KChIP expression remain to be investigated. Also, the possible involvement of DPPL in this cross-talk is an important aspect of Kv4 channel activities in future investigations.

Astonishingly, Kv4.2 knockout mice apparently have a relatively normal phenotype and behavior. Also, there is no compensatory upregulation or redistribution of Kv4.3 channels (108). Conversely, loss of KChIP3 expression in KChIP3 knockout mice leads to a decrease in A-type current density in those neurons which strongly express KChIP3 and Kv4.2/Kv4.3 in the wild-type mouse (Table 4) (147). In particular, the decrease in Kv4 current amplitude in granule cells of the dentate gyrus appears associated with enhanced long-term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus. An attractive explanation for enhanced LTP in the KChIP3 knockout mice provides the proposed role of Kv4 channels as dendritic shock absorbers (100). It follows that a loss in Kv4 channel activity would lead to a more effective or unfiltered transmission of synaptic input. In conclusion, specific knockout of a KChIP isoform displays a defined decrease in Kv4.2 channel affecting LTP in distinct areas of the rodent brain. In contrast, the general loss of Kv4.2 expression leads to turmoil in KChIP isoform expression in the brain.
G. KChIP Function Unrelated to K⁺ Channel Activity

Multifunctionality seems a relatively widespread phenomenon for auxiliary proteins that is also relevant for those of ion channels. Here gephyrin presents a striking example as it is required for synaptic clustering of glycine channels in neurons of the spinal cord as well as for molybdoenzyme activity in nonneuronal tissue (74). Also KChIP isoforms have in addition to the common interaction with Kv4 channels other cellular activities. Thus observed phenotypical and cellular physiological changes in KChIP knockout mice might not solely originate from loss of KChIP function as ancillary Kv4 channel subunit. A very interesting aspect of KChIP physiology is that KChIP3 and KChIP4 interact with the presenilins and affect the processing of amyloid precursor protein (43, 184). KChIP3 isoforms have been characterized as calsenilin (43) and, respectively, as DREAM (45), even before recognizing KChIP3 as an ancillary Kv4 channel subunit. Calsenilin binds to presenilin, an important component of the γ-secretase, which is mainly localized to the ER and Golgi apparatus. Ca²⁺-dependent binding of calsenilin to presenilin-1 activates γ-cleavage of the β-amyloid precursor protein (βAPP). Thus calsenilin stimulates in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner production of β-amyloid peptide, a crucial molecule in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer disease (147). In KChIP3 knockout mice, there is a decreased β-amyloid peptide concentration especially in cerebellum and cortex, which concurs with the hypothesis that calsenilin in vivo stimulates γ-secretase activity. The expression pattern of calsenilin (KChIP3) overlaps both that of presenilin and of the Kv4 channel (147).

The KChIP3 gene encodes an isoform (KChIP3.1) that acts as a Ca²⁺-regulated nuclear transcription factor and is denoted DREAM. DREAM activity of KChIP3 refers to its ability to act as a repressor of transcription when it is Ca²⁺ free but not Ca²⁺ bound (45). Compared with calsenilin (KChIP3.2), DREAM displays 30 additional residues at the NH₂ terminus (280). In fact, isoforms of all four KChIPs have been shown to have DREAM activity (149). The very different functions and cellular localizations of calsenilin and DREAM emphasize the importance of the variant NH₂ termini for cellular KChIP isoform function. At low intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations, DREAM represses transcription of the prodynorphin gene and the c-fos gene by binding downstream of the transcription start site to a conserved DNA sequence element, dubbed downstream regulatory element (DRE). Binding of Ca²⁺ to DREAM attenuates DREAM repressor activity and elevates DREAM binding to its DRE binding site (43, 45). In line with DREAM function as a repressor of dynorphin-gene transcription, DREAM (KChIP3) knockout mice contain an increased concentration of dynorphin-A peptide in the spinal cord (Table 4). The knockout mice show a remarkable decrease in pain sensitivity (50). It is likely that increased dynorphin-A binding to κ-opiate receptors is the molecular basis of reduced pain sensitivity.

Potentially, the different activities of KChIP3 may jointly play an important role in learning and memory of the mouse (Table 4), consistent with the observation that hippocampus LTP at perforant path-dentate granule cell synapses is enhanced (147). A recent study evaluated the role of KChIP3 in a hippocampus-dependent memory task, contextual fear conditioning. KChIP3 knockout mice showed in this task a significantly enhanced memory (9). Possibly, an increase in c-fos activity can be associated with enhanced LTP observed in the dentate gyrus of the KChIP3⁻/⁻ hippocampus (147). The reported enhancing effect of KChIP3 on Ca²⁺-regulated secretion in PC12 cells may add other facets to a role of KChIP3 in cellular excitability (312). Importantly, studies with wild-type mice showed a marked redistribution of KChIP3 protein during fear conditioning training. It was observed in the data that membrane association and interaction with Kv4.2 of KChIP3 protein was significantly decreased, and nuclear KChIP3 (DREAM) expression was increased 6 h after the conditioning paradigm with no significant change in KChIP3 mRNA. Furthermore, prodynorphin mRNA expression was significantly decreased after training in wild-type but not in KChIP3⁻/⁻ animals (9). These data suggest an intricate mechanism coupling neuronal excitability and gene transcription by redistributing KChIP3 between membrane and cell nucleus in consolidation of contextual fear conditioning memories.

IV. KCNEs (MinK AND MiRPs)

A. Structural Basis of KCNE-Kv7.1 Interactions

MinK (KCNEL1) and MinK-related peptides MiRP1–4 (KCNE2–5) are small ancillary subunits (14–20 kDa or 103–177 residues) with one membrane-spanning domain (Fig. 11). KCNEs generally interact with members of the Kv7 ( KCNQ) channel family and markedly modify their gating (174). KCNE1, also named IsK or MinK (minimal K channel protein), was initially reported to represent the minimal or smallest protein that could form a K channel (287). Subsequent in vitro studies showed that KCNE1 acts as ancillary β-subunit of the Kv7.1 channel (23, 248). Compared with Kvβ-, KChIP-, or DPPL-subunits, KCNEs distinguish themselves by several remarkable features.

First, KCNEs stand out as β-subunits because mutations in KCNE genes are associated with hereditary, especially cardiac diseases. For example, the Kv7.1/KCNE1 channel complex represents the molecular basis of Iₖs, the slow component of the delayed rectifier K current in cardiac ventricle (23, 248). Mutations in KCNE1 (or Kv7.1) are associated with a life-threatening cardiac arrhythmia,
FIG. 11. Bar diagram of KCNE protein family. Each human KCNE gene expresses one subunit (KCNE1–5). Genebank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: CAG46556 (KCNE1), NP_751951 (KCNE2), CAG33490 (KCNE3), NP_542402 (KCNE4), ABQ08564 (KCNE5). Transmembrane domain (TMD) is indicated by a black box.

the long QT syndrome (LQT) (248). The other KCNE family members (KCNE2–5) have also been associated with inherited cardiac arrhythmias (34, 111, 159, 279, 309, 341). The KCNE5 gene is one of at least four genes deleted in the human AMME contiguous gene syndrome (Alport syndrome predominantly characterized by a chronic kidney disease and deafness, mental retardation, midface hypoplasia, and the erythrocyte abnormality elliptocytosis) (214). In which way the KCNE5 gene deletion is involved, e.g., in the neurological symptoms of this syndrome, is unclear.

Second, each KCNE is separately encoded by one gene, and splice variants are not known. Unlike Kvβ-, KChIP- and DPPL-subunits, KCNE subunit sequences are relatively uninformative with respect to functional conserved domains. The sequences do not reveal a conserved COOH-terminal core region preceded by a variant NH2-terminal sequence. Sequence variations encompass the entire KCNE molecule. KCNEs contain one transmembrane domain like DPPLs but have a contrasting topology with the NH2 terminus on the extracellular and the COOH terminus on the intracellular side of the membrane. As yet, a KCNE high-resolution structure is missing.

Third, stoichiometry and specificity of KCNE/Kv channel complexes are a matter of conjecture. Recent data indicate that two KCNE molecules bind to one tetrameric Kv7.1 channel (183). The 0.5:1 stoichiometry differs from the 1:1 stoichiometry of Kvβ-Kv1 and, respectively, KChIP-Kv4 channel complexes. Assembly of KCNE1 and Kv7.1 subunits apparently occurs at early stages in channel maturation promoting transport of the Kv7.1 channel to the plasma membrane and Kv7.1 current density (98, 134, 269).

Fourth, although most significant changes have been reported for KCNE effects on Kv7.1 channel gating (Table 5; Fig. 13), KCNE interaction with Kv channels seems promiscuous. Importantly, a modulation of cardiac HERG channel activity by KCNEs has been reported, suggesting multimeric HERG/KCNE channel complexes underlying IKr in cardiac cells. IKr represents an important repolarizing current for cardiac action potentials. Mutations in the HERG gene are also associated with an LQT syndrome (61, 249). In addition, a considerable number of ion channels have been reported to be modulated by KCNE1–5, e.g., Kv1, Kv2, Kv3, Kv4, and HCN channels (174, 291). This leaves us with a blurred picture of KCNE-ion channel interaction specificity. Possibly, some of the reported modulations are only observable in in vitro expression systems, which are notorious for artefacts caused by protein overexpression. Yet, it is quite remarkable that so many different ion channels are sensitive to the presence of KCNEs.

**B. Effects of KCNEs on Kv7.1 Channels In Vitro**

Most studies were carried out coexpressing KCNE1 or KCNE3 together with Kv7.1 in heterologous expression systems (Table 5). By itself, the Kv7.1 channel distinguishes itself by two important features from other Kv channels, for example, the Shaker channel. First, the Kv7 channel disposures of a relatively short NH2 terminus and a long COOH terminus. The latter contains tetramerization domain(s) determining the specificity of Kv7-subunit assembly as well as calmodulin binding sites and two coiled-coiled domains (91, 107, 256, 330). The crystal structure of part of the COOH terminus including the coiled-coiled domains has recently been solved (107). Second, the differences in topological organization of Shaker and Kv7.1 channel likely reflect significant differences in Kv7.1 channel gating that is both voltage and Ca2+ sensitive (98, 269, 320).

**TABLE 5. KCNE effects on KCNQ1 channels in heterologous expression systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KCNQ1</th>
<th>Increase in Surface Expression</th>
<th>Activation Kinetics</th>
<th>Activation V1/2</th>
<th>Deactivation</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ KCNE1 (Mink)</td>
<td>2- to 10-fold</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Approximately +20 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>14, 23, 41, 223, 248, 257, 303, 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ KCNE2 (MIRP1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>64, 298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ KCNE3 (MIRP2)</td>
<td>2- to 10-fold</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3, 257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ KCNE4 (MIRP3)</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>±, 50 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>14, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ KCNE5 (MIRP4)</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>±, &gt;140 mV</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>14, 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, Increase in parameter or shift to more positive potentials; –, decrease or shift to more negative potentials; ±, no effect. V1/2, membrane potentials at which 50% of the channels are activated.
Thus, under certain conditions, the Kv7.1 channel shows a voltage-independent as well as a voltage-dependent conductance (223, 248, 303).

Coexpression of KCNE1 or KCNE3 with the Kv7.1 channel elicits dramatic and opposing effects on Kv7.1 channel gating behavior. Association of KCNE1 with Kv7.1 apparently stabilizes a closed conformation of the Kv7.1 channel, whereas the one of KCNE3 with Kv7.1 seems to destabilize the closed (or conversely stabilize the opened) Kv7.1 channel. Main features of KCNE1 effects on Kv7.1 channel gating are a marked slowing of the activation time course, a voltage-conductance relation shifted by ~20 mV to more positive potentials, suppression of inactivation, and four- to sevenfold increase in single-channel conductance (23, 223, 248, 268, 279, 340). Potential effects of KCNE1 on Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent gating of the Kv7.1 channel are still unexplored. In contrast, association of KCNE3 with Kv7.1 generates voltage-independent channels (Fig. 13D). The complete loss in voltage-dependent gating is accompanied by an ~10-fold increase in single-channel conductance (3, 27, 257). Gating properties of the KCNE3/Kv7.1 channel resemble those of a K channel current density (3, 27, 257). Gating properties of the KCNE3/Kv7.1 channel resemble those of a K\(^+\) channel found in crypt cells of small intestine and colon. This K\(^+\) channel is open at the membrane resting potential and plays an important role in K\(^+\) recycling by crypt cells. In summary, heterologous expression of KCNEs with Kv7.1 can generate both voltage-dependent and voltage-independent K\(^+\) channels that resemble important K\(^+\) channels in native tissue (Fig. 13).

In addition to Kv7.1 channel trafficking and gating behavior, KCNEs affect Kv7.1 channel pharmacology. It is likely that the many and diverse KCNE effects reflect considerable conformational changes associated with KCNE-Kv7.1 interaction. It distinguishes KCNE from Kv\(\beta\) and KChIP subunits, whose binding to Kv channels leaves their conformation relatively undisturbed. Indeed, mutagenesis studies have implicated the extracellular NH\(_2\) terminus, transmembrane spanning region, as well as cytoplasmic COOH terminus of the KCNE subunit in its various regulatory actions. A solution NMR study investigating the interaction between KCNE1 and Kv7.1 proposed that KCNE1 interacts with pore helix (S5-P-S6) residues (Fig. 12A) and that the single transmembrane domain of the KCNE1 protein is located in a cleft between voltage sensor domain of one Kv7.1 subunit and the pore helix of a neighboring subunit (Fig. 12B) (123). Tryptophan-scanning mutagenesis suggests that KCNE1 and KCNE3 reside in slightly different positions close to the Kv7.1 pore, thereby differently perturbing the S6 domain (205). Recent tryptophan and cysteine mutagenesis studies suggest that KCNE1 lodges between S1, S4, and S6 of three separate Kv7.1 subunits (269, 335). However, the models based on indirect data are tentative and use the Kv1.2 crystal structure as a template. The models await validation by crystallographical analysis of the KCNE1/Kv7.1 channel complex.

![Model of Kv7.1-KCNE1 channel protein complex. A: schematic presentation of the complex viewed from the extracellular top side. Model is based on the reported 2:4 stoichiometry of the KCNQ1-KCNE1 complex. KCNQ1 tetramer is illustrated by the green shapes. KCNE1 is in pink. The α-helical transmembrane domain of KCNE1 is diagrammed as a circle, and the connecting lines and the pink rectangles indicate hypothetical locations of NH\(_2\)- and/or COOH-KCNE1 termini. B: ribbon diagram of Kv7.1-KCNE1 channel in the opened state. The model only shows one transmembrane domain of KCNE1 (residues 45–71), which is in red. The four Kv7.1 subunits are based on the Kv1.2 crystal structure. Subunits are green, magenta, light blue, and brown. [From Kang et al. (123).] All three KCNE domains, extracellular NH\(_2\) terminus, transmembrane region, and cytoplasmic COOH terminus, are apparently engaged in some kind of interaction with the Kv7.1 channel. Association of KCNE1 with Kv7.1 reduces the sensitivity of the Kv7.1 channel to the specific Kv7-channel blocker XE991 14-fold (320) and increases the sensitivity to blockers like chromanol 293B or azimilide (41). The effect of KCNE1 on Kv7.1-channel pharmacology depends on the extracellular KCNE1 NH\(_2\) terminus (256). A recently described contact in the extracellular KCNE1 and Kv7.1 domains (335) tentatively suggests that both domains jointly form a receptor site for antagonist binding to the extracellular side of the Kv7.1 channel pore. Effects on Kv7.1 pharmacology are not specific for KCNE1. Like KCNE1, KCNE2 and KCNE3 increase Kv7.1 sensitivity to block by chromanol 293B (298).

Apparently, the KCNE transmembrane region dominates the opposing effects of KCNE1 and KCNE3 on Kv7.1 gating. Swapping a short stretch of three amino acid residues within the transmembrane region of KCNE1 and KCNE3 (FTL 57–59 of KCNE1, TVG 71–73 of KCNE3) can confer to KCNE1 KCNE3-like effects on Kv7.1 channel gating and, vice versa, to KCNE3 KCNE1-like activity (178, 179). The data are in good agreement with mutagenesis studies suggesting an engagement of KCNE1 in interactions with Kv7.1 transmembrane segments S1, S4, and S6 (269, 335).

The KCNE1 COOH terminus binds to a Kv7.1 COOH-terminal domain which includes both coiled-coiled structures (91). This is in contrast to Shaker channels whose NH\(_2\)-terminal T1 tetramerization domain serves as a platform for a localized attachment of the Kvβ subunit (89). Both pulldown and immunoprecipitation experiments
demonstrated direct interaction between the COOH termini of KCNE1 and Kv7.1 (91). Note several LQT mutations are caused by mutations of amino acid residues in the KCNE1 COOH terminus. For example, a KCNE1 LQT mutant (D76N), which alters the sequence of a conserved region within the proximal COOH terminus, seems to play a role in determining single-channel conductance and current density (59, 179). Presumably, the D76N mutation disturbs a correct positioning of KCNE1 within the KCNE1/Kv7.1 channel complex leading to a marked reduction in \( I_{Ks} \) amplitude (268). Another KCNE1 LQT mutant (L51H) is unable to traffic to the plasma membrane at the cell surface, underlining the importance of KCNE1/Kv7.1 assembly for normal Kv7.1 channel trafficking (34). On the other hand, association of KCNE1 with Kv7.1 appears insensitive to a deletion of the KCNE1 COOH terminus. The deletion, however, greatly impairs KCNE1 modulation of the Kv7.1 channel (289). The specific contributions of the three KCNE domains to the observed KCNE effects on Kv7.1 trafficking and gating need to be further unraveled in future studies. Clearly, both transmembrane and COOH-terminal KCNE domains affect the gating behavior of the Kv7.1 channel. Whether the domains function synergistically or independently of each other, as suggested by a “bipartite” model (79, 238), is still a matter of conjecture.

Comparably to KCNE3, coexpression of KCNE2 and Kv7.1 in COS cells yields constitutively open K\(^{+}\) channels. KCNE2/Kv7.1-mediated currents almost instantaneously activate upon depolarization and rapidly deactivate upon repolarization, displaying an essentially linear voltage-current relation (298). Interestingly, the KCNE2/Kv7.1 channel exhibits a lower K\(^{+}\) selectivity than the Kv7.1 channel (48 vs. 58 mV change upon a 10-fold change in extracellular K\(^{+}\) concentration). Possibly, the KCNE2/Kv7.1 channel contributes to maintenance of the resting potential, e.g., in epithelial cells of stomach or intestine (97). Note mutations in the KCNE2 gene are also correlated with the LQT syndrome. However, the consequences of KCNE2 mutations for cardiac K\(^{+}\) channel activity are unclear because in vitro both KCNE2 and KCNE3 can interact with at least four different cardiac Kv channels (Kv1.5, Kv4.2, Kv7.1, and HERG) (174).

KCNE4 (MiRP3) is unique among KCNE subunits. Coexpression of KCNE4 and Kv7.1 suppresses Kv7.1 current, whereas other Kv channels, e.g., Kv7.2-Kv7.5 and HERG, are insensitive to KCNE4 inhibition. Large depolarizations (>50 mV), however, slowly activate KCNE4/Kv7.1 currents, indicating that KCNE4 shifts Kv7.1 activation curve to even more positive (unphysiological) membrane potentials than KCNE1 (85). As for KCNE1 and KCNE3, KCNE4 transmembrane domain and COOH terminus are important for KCNE4 activity (162). A physiological function of the KCNE4/Kv7.1 channel complex is not known. The inhibitory action of KCNE4 is, however, not confined to Kv7.1, as KCNE4 reportedly also suppresses Kv1.3 currents in leukocytes by modulating trafficking, surface expression, and Kv1.3 channel gating (278). KCNE5 (MiRP4) also interacts with Kv7.1, shifting its activation curve by more than 140 mV to more positive potentials. KCNE5 is expressed in the heart, but whether it is also part of the Kv7.1 channel complex is not known (14). In Figure 13, \( E \) and \( F \), activating membrane currents were only recorded at large positive potentials (up to 90 mV).

For a full reproduction of KCNE1/Kv7.1 channel properties, the complex has to be supplemented with yotiao, a protein kinase A (PKA) anchoring protein (AKAP). Yotiao binds to the Kv7.1 COOH terminus. Binding involves NH\(_2\)- and COOH-terminal yotiao-binding domains and a COOH-terminal Kv7.1 leucine zipper motif. Yotiao acts as a scaffolding protein to position regulatory and catalytic PKA subunits as well as the phosphatase protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) close to the Kv7.1 channel, thereby controlling the state of Kv7.1 channel phosphorylation at an NH\(_2\)-terminal serine residue (Ser-27) (167, 195). This is physiologically
very important because a read-out of β-adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes is associated with Kv7.1 phosphorylation. This leads to an increase in $I_{Kr}$ amplitude concomitant with accelerated action potential repolarization. A comparable stimulation of Kv7.1 current by PKA phosphorylation is only observed in vitro if Kv7.1 is coexpressed together with KCNE1 and yotiao. Apparently, assembly of quite a large multiprotein complex is required to reproduce all facets of $I_{Kr}$ properties (138). Interestingly, a mutation in the human Kv7.1 gene (G589D), which disturbs assembly of the Kv7.1/KCNE1/yotiao multiprotein complex, renders the Kv7.1 channel insensitive to PKA phosphorylation. This mutation is correlated with LQT syndrome emphasizing yotiao binding as an important component for physiological Kv7.1 activity and its response to β-adrenergic signaling (138, 167).

C. Effects of KCNEs on HERG In Vitro

A potentially physiologically important example for KCNE promiscuity is the finding that KCNE1 and KCNE2 can interact in in vitro expression systems with the HERG (human ether-à-go-go-related gene) channel (4, 111, 158, 174, 175, 307). Upon depolarization, the HERG channel very slowly activates and rapidly inactivates, endowing the HERG channel with inwardly rectifying properties (250, 273, 304). The HERG channel mediates $I_{Kr}$, the rapidly activating $K^+$ current component of repolarizing current in cardiac action potentials (249). Biophysical properties of $I_{Kr}$ can be fairly well reproduced by coexpressing two HERG isoforms, HERG1a and HERG1b, suggesting that heteromeric HERG1a/1b channels mediate $I_{Kr}$ (139, 140, 152). In addition, the native channels are presumably associated with KCNE1 (175) and/or KCNE2 (4). In heterologous expression systems, HERG and KCNE1 can be coimmunoprecipitated, most likely indicating coassembly of the two subunits.

In contrast to KCNE1 effects on Kv7.1, coexpression of KCNE1 and HERG leads to a relatively mild increase in current density (~2-fold), a negatively shifted voltage-conductance relation, and an increase in steady-state inactivation (175). Both effects of KCNE1, increase in HERG current density and changes in biophysical properties, were abolished when HERG was coexpressed with the KCNE1 LQT mutant D76N (34, 175). Incubation with anti-sense oligonucleotides against KCNE1 decreases the amplitude of $I_{Kr}$ in a cell line (AT-1) derived from a mouse atrial tumor in which $I_{Kr}$ is constitutively present (339). Although somewhat less dramatic than on Kv7.1 current, KCNE1 effects on HERG current are potentially significant in cardiac ion channel physiology.

Similarly to KCNE1, KCNE2 can be coimmunoprecipitated together with HERG following coexpression in CHO cells (4). When coexpressed in Xenopus oocytes, KCNE2 apparently exerts several effects on the HERG channel. It slows activation, accelerates deactivation, shifts the activation curve by ~9 mV to more positive membrane potentials, and decreases HERG current amplitude, presumably due to a decrease in single-channel conductance, whereas development of and recovery from inactivation remain unchanged (4). However, the results are equivocal, since they could not be reproduced in mammalian expression systems (CHO, HEK 293, GH3/B6 cells) (59, 158, 169, 253, 325). Taken together, presently available data indicate association of KCNE2 and HERG, but this seems to produce only small changes in HERG biophysical properties. Also, pharmacological properties of HERG and HERG/KCNE2 channel show essentially similar sensitivities to quinidine, E-4031, and dofetilide that are identical to those of $I_{Kr}$ measured in guinea pig myocytes (325).

In patients suffering from an inherited or a drug-induced LQT syndrome, a variety of missense mutations in KCNE2 have been detected (4, 61, 111, 267, 304). Studies with the mutant KCNE2 subunits display a clearer picture of the potential importance of KCNE2 for HERG channel activity than wild-type KCNE2. Most KCNE2 mutations reduce $I_{Kr}$ amplitude, thereby decreasing the repolarization reserve, i.e., the ability of the HERG current to abolish the occurrence of premature action potentials or early depolarizing potentials that may then lead to torsade de points (158). For example, the mutation KCNE2-V65M induces an acceleration of HERG channel inactivation resulting in a decrease in $I_{Kr}$ amplitude (111). The mutation KCNE2-M54T shows comparable effects on HERG channel gating (158). NH2-terminal KCNE2 mutations (T8A, Q9E) remarkably alter HERG channel drug sensitivity, which correlates with drug-induced LQT in respective mutation carriers (4, 158, 267). We can derive two important conclusions from these observations. First, the NH2 terminus of KCNE2 alters HERG channel drug sensitivity. This compares with the role of the KCNE1 NH2 terminus for drug sensitivity of the Kv7.1 channel. Second, pharmacology of the HERG channel in vivo is recapitulated in vitro upon coexpression with KCNE2. However, the studies cannot exclude that mutant KCNE2 subunits also affect other types of cardiac ion channels that interact with KCNE2 in vitro. Note the KCNE2 protein is more rapidly processed and transported to the cell membrane than HERG protein. This may indicate that KCNE2 is not as important for HERG channel maturation as KCNE1 is for the Kv7.1 channel (307).

KCNE2 affects HERG current modulation by cAMP (59). Binding of cAMP to a nucleotide binding domain (NBD) within the HERG channel COOH terminus induces a shift in the activation curve to more negative potentials, i.e., increases HERG activity in the physiological membrane potential range. This shift is increased when HERG is coexpressed with KCNE1 or KCNE2 (59). PKA phosphorylation also modulates HERG channel activity (59, 293). Features of this modulation are reminiscent of those
observed with the Kv7.1/KCNE1 channel, because PKA phosphorylation of the HERG activity is particularly effective in the presence of the cardiac adapter protein 14–3–3ε (284), which binds to the HERG channel (121). After forming a dimer, 14–3–3ε binds to PKA-phosphorylated serines (S283 in the NH2 and S1137 in the COOH terminus) of HERG, thereby stabilizing the phosphorylated state of the HERG channel. This accelerates HERG channel activation and shifts the activation curve to more negative membrane potentials (51, 121). The net result is an increase in HERG channel activity at physiological membrane potentials. LQT mutations in the HERG COOH terminus abolish the 14–3–3ε effect (51). If KCNE2 has an important influence in the 14–3–3ε-mediated response of the HERG channel to PKA phosphorylation has not been investigated. In this context it is informative to consider that the Kvβ.1 channel requires both KCNE1 and yotiao to respond to β-adrenergic signaling that eventually leads to Kvβ.1 phosphorylation by PKA. Possibly, a similar constellation, i.e., a HERG/KCNE2 (KCNE1)/14–3–3ε multiprotein complex, is necessary to ensure a proper response of IKr to β-adrenergic signaling. Thus sympathetic stimulation of the heart, which accelerates the heart rate, may increase both IKs and Ikr activity by phosphorylation involving adaptor proteins and KCNE ancillary subunits.

The other KCNEs (KCNE3–5), which potentially could interact with the HERG channel, seem unimportant for HERG despite one report that coexpression of KCNE3 with HERG reduces HERG current amplitude in Xenopus laevis oocytes (257). HERG current properties were unaffected after expression of HERG with either KCNE4 in Xenopus oocytes (85) or KCNE5 in CHO cells (14).

D. Function of KCNEs In Vivo

The association of KCNE gene mutations with human diseases emphasizes the physiological role and importance of KCNEs. Mutations in KCNE1 (LQT5) and KCNE2 (LQT6) are associated with the LQT syndrome. This cardiac arrhythmia is characterized by a prolonged QT interval in the surface electrocardiogram essentially due to a delayed repolarization of the ventricular action potential. This can lead to occurrence of early afterdepolarizations, torsade de points, and ventricular fibrillation, eventually leading to sudden death (249). Electrophysiological and molecular biological studies of LQT mutations show KCNE1 and KCNE2 mutants can lead to a reduction in repolarizing current IKs (Kv7.1/KCNE1) and/or IKr (HERG/KCNE2) (34, 268, 279). The accompanying prolongation of the ventricular action potential provides a convincing explanatory basis for in vivo observed QT-interval prolongation in electrocardiograms, although many details still await clarification. Promiscuous interactions of KCNE subunits with (cardiac) Kv channels are a major obstacle in unraveling distinctly the molecular basis of, e.g., LQT5 and LQT6 mutations. For designing potential therapies, it would be important to know if KCNE1 and KCNE2 affect only one type of cardiac current or several. Furthermore, it is unclear whether KCNE effects are the same or different in different compartments of the heart. Kv channel subunits are differentially expressed in heart tissue. Potentially, the promiscuity of KCNE subunits could give rise to interactions of KCNEs with different Kv channel types from one compartment to another.

Studies with genetically altered mice often provide deeper insights into the molecular basis and physiology of disease-causing mutations. In the case of KCNE, a significant drawback for this kind of study originates from the fact that the mouse heart, which beats ~10 times faster, differs in its molecular physiology from the human heart. Thus action potential repolarization of mouse ventricular cardiomyocytes requires repolarizing currents with significantly faster kinetics than IKs and IKr (193). Consequently, they are not expressed in adult ventricular muscle of the mouse. This situation makes it difficult to assess the association of KCNE mutants, for example, with LQT syndrome in the mouse.

In one of the first papers describing kcne1−/− mice, duration of ventricular action potential was like wild type (Table 6) (48). Then it was found that action potential duration in kcne1−/− mice recorded from epicardium was significantly longer than the one recorded from endocardium. kcne1−/− mice were showing spontaneous episodes of atrial fibrillations (21). In a recent report, longer action potential duration was observed in kcne1−/− mice at the age of 6 mo and an increased occurrence of early afterdepolarizations and arrhythmogenesis (294). An earlier study (70) showed that kcne1−/− mice exhibit under bradycardic conditions a prolonged QT interval and under tachycardic conditions a shorter QT interval than wild-type mice. The data suggest that loss of KCNE1 function in the mouse impairs QT adaptability to changes in heart rates. Furthermore, kcne1−/− mice display shortened atrial action potentials (290). In fact, total K+ currents (and those sensitive to the Kv7.1 blocker chromanol 293B) were significantly increased in atrial cells from kcne1−/− mice compared with controls. It is difficult to derive a coherent picture from these seemingly controversial findings. Also, synthesis of cardiac kcne1 mRNA is significantly attenuated in newly born mouse pups (103), making it even more difficult to correlate the reported phenotypes with the kcne1−/− genotype. Possibly, lack of kcne1 expression during embryogenesis influences normal heart development and K channel expression giving rise to the observed cardiac dysfunctions. For further discussion of cardiac kcne−/− phenotypes, see
below and the exciting finding that \textit{knce2} deficiency in the mouse affects thyroid hormone biosynthesis (240). Potentially, observed cardiac abnormalities in \textit{knce} \textsubscript{2/-/-} mice have rather indirect causes.

In this context, it is very interesting that analysis of ventricular myocytes of \textit{knce2} \textsubscript{2/-/-} mice showed a \textasciitilde{}50\% reduction in the \textit{I}_{\text{K,slow}} current mediated by Kv1.5, and a \textasciitilde{}25\% reduction in the \textit{I}_{\text{K,to,f}} current, mediated by Kv4.2 (Table 6) (241). The data were supported by coimmunoprecipitation experiments indicating association of KCNE2 with native Kv1.5 and Kv4.2 subunits. Consistent with a reduced ventricular \textit{K} current was the cardiac \textit{knce2} \textsubscript{2/-/-} phenotype.

Isolated, perfused intact hearts of \textit{knce2} \textsubscript{2/-/-} mice exhibited a prolonged ventricular action potential duration (Fig. 14). ECG patterns of freely running \textit{knce2} \textsubscript{2/-/-} mice remain to be investigated. Although the data appear clear-cut, one may add at this point a note of caution as an increasing number of studies show that loss of one ion channel subunit can alter expression of existing subunits or even stipulate de novo expression of novel subunits (77). In any case, the

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Genetic Background & Phenotype & Electrophysiology, Molecular Biology & Reference Nos. \\
\hline
\textit{knce1} \textsubscript{-/-} & Hair cell degeneration: bilateral deafness, Shaker/Waltzer movements, arrhythmogenic atrial and ventricular phenotypes of varying severity & ECG: impaired QT-RR adaptability, \textit{I}_{\text{Kr}} absent, \textit{I}_{\text{Ks}} decreased (by 50\%), atrial \textit{I}_{\text{Ks}} increased, atrial action potentials shortened, epicardial action potentials prolonged, frequent epicardial early afterdepolarizations & 21, 48, 70, 137, 290, 294, 314, 323 \\
\textit{knce2} \textsubscript{-/-} & Reduced gastric proton secretion, achlorhydria, hypernatremia, gastric glandular hyperplasia & AP prolonged, \textit{I}_{\text{K,slow}} reduced \textasciitilde{}50\%, \textit{Kv1.5}, \textit{I}_{\text{K,to,f}} reduced \textasciitilde{}25\%, \textit{Kv4.2}, Co-IP: ventricular KCNE2 with Kv1.5 and Kv4.2, not with Kv1.4 and Kv4.3; no reduction in \textit{I}_{\text{Kr}} (erg) and \textit{I}_{\text{Ks}} (KCNQ1) & 239–241 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{KCNE knockout mice}
\end{table}

ECG, electrocardiogram; \textit{I}_{\text{Ks}}, slowly activating; \textit{I}_{\text{Kr}}, rapidly activating \textit{K} current; \textit{I}_{\text{K,to,f}}, fast component of transient outward \textit{K} current; \textit{I}_{\text{K,slow}}, slow component of the outward rectifying \textit{K} current; \textit{AP}, action potential.
molecular biological and electrophysiological phenotype of these mice is a warning example that extrapolations from in vitro to in vivo functions are not always as straightforward as one would wish.

Kv7.1 expression is also found in gastrointestinal epithelia, kidney, and inner ear, where Kv7.1-mediated currents have an important role in regulating transepithelial fluid and salt transport (97, 113, 194, 237). In these tissues, Kv7.1 channels may be assembled with KCNE1 and KCNE2. Accordingly, kcone1−/− and kcone2−/− mice exhibit a large variety of symptoms (reviewed in Refs. 97, 323). For example, kcone1−/− mice exhibit deafness and a shaker/waltzer behavior characterized by vestibular symptoms (bidirectional circling, head bobbing, and head tilt behavior). Apparently, defective salt and fluid transport across the kcone1−/− inner ear epithelium causes hair cell degeneration and structural abnormalities in cochlea and vestibular labyrinth (70, 314). Also, kcone1−/− mice exhibit symptoms of severe renal and gastrointestinal dysfunction, like hypokalemia, urinary and fecal salt loss, and volume depletion, consistent with a significant role of Kv7.1/KCNE channel in the thyroid. Here the Kv7.1/KCNE2 channel forms a hormone-stimulated thyrocyte transport (97, 323).

E. Effects of KCNEs on Other Kv Channels
In Vitro

In heterologous expression systems, KCNEs seem also to affect gating of other Kv channels. KCNE2 may serve as an example for KCNE promiscuity in in vitro expression systems and the difficulties to understand the specific details of KCNE interaction with distinct Kv channels. In addition to Kv7.1 (298) and HERG (4), KCNE2 interacts with Kv7.2 and Kv7.3 subunits forming neuronal M channels. The interaction accelerates M-current deactivation (299). KCNE2 seems also to interact with Kv4.2, Kv4.3 (350), and HCN2 (343). It is not known whether the channel complexes have counterparts in vivo. Coexpression of KCNE2 with Kv4.2 changes Kv4.2 current density and gating kinetics (350). Similar effects were observed for coexpression of KCNE1 with Kv4.3 (1).

KCNE2 as well as KCNE1 and KCNE3 also modulate Kv3.1 and Kv3.2 channels by slowing activation and deactivation and by accelerating inactivation kinetics (143). Coexpression of KCNE3 and Kv3.4, a rapidly inactivating A-type Kv channel, induces marked changes in Kv3.4 channel gating. The activation curve is shifted by −47 mV to more negative membrane potentials (V0.5 = −44 mV) transforming this channel into an effective subthreshold channel, the single-channel conductance is increased, and recovery from inactivation is accelerated (1). For its activity, KCNE3 needs to be phosphorylated by PKC at serine-82. Ser-82 is located near or at the border between the KCNE3 transmembrane segment and KCNE3 cytoplasmic COOH terminus. Potentially, a phosphorylation at this site can influence the interaction of KCNE3 with membrane lipids. An interesting study recently discovered a KCNE3 missense mutation (KCNE3-R83H) associated with periodic paralysis in two families with members suffering from periodic paralysis, characterized by episodes of muscle weakness (1, 2). His-83 of the KCNE3 mutant is adjacent to Ser-82 and disturbs in a pH-dependent manner PKC phosphorylation of Ser-82, which is necessary for KCNE3/Kv3.4 channel activity. KCNE3-R83H, therefore, renders the KCNE3/Kv3.4 channel complex sensitive to physiological changes in intracellular pH. The mechanism may provide a molecular basis for a link between intracellular acidosis and onset of periodic paralysis (See note added in proof). Note that effects of KCNE3-R83H on Kv7.1 were not reported.

V. ANCILLARY BK CHANNEL SUBUNITS

BK channels, K+ channels with “big” conductance, are activated by both changes in membrane potential and increases in intracellular [Ca2+] (7, 42, 165, 166, 247, 313). BK channels are widely expressed in excitable tissue including neurons, where they are accumulated in axons and synaptic terminal zones (181), and in smooth muscle
cells, where they play a prominent role in the regulation of contraction (213). However, they are also expressed in nonexcitable cells, like in exocrine acinar cells where they are involved in the regulation of fluid secretion (212). Thus BK channel malfunction is associated with a variety of diseases, for example, related to hypertension, ataxia, epilepsy, or bladder contraction. BK channel activity may also be involved in the cellular mechanisms underlying cell migration, for example, in human glioma cells (252, 324). The complex voltage- and Ca$^{2+}$-dependent gating of the BK channel can be described by an allosteric gating model (104). In most tissues, the BK channel assembles together with ancillary BKβ-subunits markedly affecting gating behavior and pharmacology of the BK channel (157, 302).

**A. Structure of BKβ-Subunits**

Comparably to Kvβ1-subunits, which were characterized from biochemically purified Kv channel preparations, BKβ1 was first identified in BK channel preparations purified from smooth muscle tissue, exploiting the high affinity of smooth muscle BK channel for the blocker charybotoxin (CTX), a scorpion toxin. The isolated BK channel complex contained an octameric assembly of BKβ- and BKβ1-subunits in 1:1 stoichiometry (129). The BKβ1-subunit represents a 31-kDa glycosylated peptide of 191 amino acid residues. It is a membrane integral protein comprising two transmembrane segments connected by a relatively long (~115 amino acid residues) extracellular loop and flanked by short intracellular NH$_2$ and COOH termini (202, 247, 302). Altogether, four BKβ genes (KCNMB1–4) were discovered, whereby the KCNMB3 gene gives rise to four splice variants (BK β3a-d) (202, 332, 333, 347). The four BKβ-subunit types display the same topology with cytoplasmic NH$_2$ and COOH termini flanking the membrane-spanning core domain consisting of two transmembrane segments and the large extracellular loop (Fig. 15). The BKβ1-, BKβ2-, and BKβ3-subunits are quite similar in sequence; BKβ4 is most divergent from the other BKβ-subunits (202).

BKβ2- and BKβ3-subunits have NH$_2$ termini containing a BK channel inactivating domain reminiscent of the one present at the NH$_2$ terminus of some Kvβ subunits (Fig. 15). NMR spectroscopic analysis of the structure of the BKβ2 NH$_2$ terminus (residues 1–45) indicated two domains in the BKβ2 NH$_2$ terminus that are connected by a flexible linker (29). The proximal domain displays a so-called barrel structure that is typical for Kv channel inactivating domains and is adapted to block the intracellular pore entrance.

The extracellular loop contains four conserved cysteine residues that form disulfide bridges (93). It plays an important role in conferring toxin sensitivity to the BK channel. Exchange of the extracellular loop in BKβ1/32 cassettes showed that the four cysteines of BKβ1 are involved in transmitting BKβ1’s influence on toxin binding. Conversely, positive charges in the extracellular loop of BKβ2 prevent CTX from approaching the channel pore leading to attenuated toxin affinity of the BKα/BKβ2 channel (177, 332). Affinity-labeling experiments showed that CTX can be cross-linked to an amino acid residue in the BKβ1 extracellular loop (130). The data suggest that part of the extracellular loop contributes to the toxin binding site near or at the extracellular pore entrance of the BK channel.

In comparison to other Kv channel α-subunits, the BK channel α-subunit shows NH$_2$-terminally an extra (seventh) transmembrane segment (S0). This topology places extracellularly the NH$_2$ terminus in front of the S0 segment. Both extracellular NH$_2$ terminus and S0 segment are required for BKβ1-subunit activity (316) and represent interaction site(s) for BKβ1 as well as other BKβ subunits (317). Presumably, the extracellular BKα NH$_2$ terminus interacts with part of the extracellular BKβ loop, and the BKα S0 segment interacts with one or both BKβ transmembrane segments. Because the extracellular BKβ loop is responsible for BKβ effects on BK channel pharmacology and alters sensitivity to pore blocking toxins (130), it is very likely that the large extracellular loop of the BKβ1 contributes directly or indirectly to the toxin receptor, bringing it into immediate vicinity to the outer entrance of the BK channel pore.

**FIG. 15.** Bar diagram of BKβ protein family. Human KCNMB2 gene generates four BKβ3 isoforms. The other KCNMB genes (KCNMB1, -2, and -4) encode each one BKβ-subunit (BKβ1, BKβ2, BKβ4). Genebank (NCBI) accession numbers are as follows: NP_004128 (BKβ1), NP_852006 (BKβ2), NP_741970 (BKβ3a), NP_741980 (BKβ3b), NP_741981 (BKβ3c), NP_055320 (BKβ3d), NP_155329 (BKβ4). The two transmembrane domains (TMD1 and TMD2) are marked by a black box. White lines highlight conserved cysteine residues in conserved extracellular domain. Inactivating domains in NH$_2$-terminal variable region are indicated in white. NH$_2$- and COOH-terminal regions are located intracellularly.
B. Function of BKβ-Subunits In Vitro

Each of the four BKβ-subunits has distinct effects on BK channel gating, e.g., BKβ1 induces high Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity of BK channels, BKβ2 and BKβ3 produce inactivating BK channels, and BKβ4 dramatically slows activation and deactivation of the BK channel. Also, assembly with BKβ-subunit influences the pharmacology of the BK channel. Some of these effects can be detected even if less than four BKβ subunits are bound per BK channel (146, 322).

C. BKβ1-Subunits

In the absence of BKβ-subunits, the BK channel exhibits low Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity and activation at very positive membrane potentials (Fig. 16A) (57). Coexpression with BKβ1 produces BK channels with increased Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity, increased voltage sensitivity, slowed deactivation kinetics, increased affinity for CTX, and decreased affinity to iberiotoxin (Fig. 16B, Table 7) (71, 130, 176, 228, 315, 317, 332). Furthermore, the BKβ1-subunit confers 17β-estradiol (308) and dihydrosoyasaponin (313) sensitivity to BK channels. Increased Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity and shift in voltage-dependent activation to more negative membrane potentials apparently relate to allosteric effects on the voltage sensor domain of the BK channel. It has been proposed that a ring of RCK (regulators of K conductance) domains in the BK channel COOH terminus is important for Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity of BK channel gating (148, 338, 344). Potentially, BKβ1-subunits affect RCK domain conformation, thereby modifying allosteric coupling factors operative in BK channel opening (203). However, BK channel lacking the RCK domains exhibits almost the same Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity as wild-type BK channel (216).

D. BKβ2-Subunits

Coexpression of BKβ2-subunits with BKα-subunits confers to the BK channel enhanced Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity similar to BKβ1-subunits (Fig. 16C). An important difference, however, is that BKβ2-subunits contain an NH\(_2\)-terminal inactivating domain resembling the one of Kvβ subunits (Fig. 15). Thus the BK channel rapidly inactivates when assembled with BKβ2 (99, 317, 332, 333). BKβ2-mediated N-type inactivation shares several features with N-type inactivation of the Shaker channel. The BKβ2 inactivating domain binds to a receptor site, only accessible in the opened BK channel; recovery from inactivation is accelerated by an increase in extracellular [K\(^+\)].

TABLE 7. BKβ-subunit effects on BK channels in heterologous expression systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+}) Sensitivity</th>
<th>Activation Kinetics</th>
<th>Activation (V_{1/2})</th>
<th>Deactivation</th>
<th>Inactivation</th>
<th>Affinity to CTX</th>
<th>Affinity to BIX</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BKβ1</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Accelerated</td>
<td>Negative shift</td>
<td>Slowed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>39, 71, 129, 130, 150, 176, 201, 203, 316, 317, 332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKβ2</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Slowed</td>
<td>Negative shift</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Fast and complete</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>99, 150, 201, 203, 317, 331, 332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKβ3</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Accelerated (β3b)</td>
<td>Positive shift</td>
<td>33a, 33c</td>
<td>Fast and incomplete; 33b, d</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>39, 100, 150, 201, 306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKβ4</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Slowed</td>
<td>Negative shift</td>
<td>Slowed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>39, 150, 177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Increase in parameter; – decrease or shift to more negative potentials; ± no effect; ND, parameter not determined. \(V_{1/2}\), membrane potentials at which 50% of the channels are activated.
channel in an opened state allowing a transient flow of current during recovery from inactivation. In contrast, the inactivated BK channel seems to transit rapidly into an inactivated-closed state because a comparable transient flow of current is undetectable during recovery of the BK channel from inactivation (30, 331). N-type inactivation of the Shaker channel is well described as a first-order reaction involving an interaction of an inactivating particle with a binding site at the pore. N-type inactivation of the BK channel, however, proceeds as a two-step inactivation process (30).

The extracellular loop of BKβ2 contains four lysines. Thus assembly of BKα and BKβ2 to octamers can lead to a positively charged ring structure at the outer entrance of the channel pore (Fig. 16). The electric field formed by these charges seems to be one important component in conferring outward rectification to the BK channel (49). BKβ2- and BKβ4-subunits do not induce rectification in BK channels (349).

BK current properties seem to change incrementally, if the ratio of injected BKβ2 versus BKβ1 cRNA is increased in the Xenopus laevis oocyte expression system. The results showed that an increase in the number of bound BKβ2-subunits induced an incremental shift in the activation curve to more negative membrane potentials as well as an incremental acceleration in the time course of inactivation. Each additional BKβ2-subunit seems to contribute equally to the gating properties of the BK channel (322). Similar results were obtained in coexpression studies with BKβ1- and BKα-subunits. The data indicate that BK channel properties like Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity, voltage dependence, and inactivation can be adjusted on a sliding scale by changing the fractional occupancy of the BK channel with BKβ-subunit (120, 322). Apparently, the stoichiometry of BKβ- and BKα-subunits is not a fixed entity for the BK channel. If cells modify BKβ/BKα stoichiometry to demand and thereby adapt their excitability is not known. However, BKβ/ BKα stoichiometry can vary from one cell to another, e.g., in human coronary smooth muscle cells (288).

E. BKβ3-Subunits

In contrast to BKβ1 and BKβ2, coexpression of BKα with BKβ3 isoforms has no influence on BK channel Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity but generates outwardly rectifying BK channels. The experiments indicated that rectification is due to voltage-dependent block of the extracellular BK channel pore by the extracellular loop of the BKβ3-subunit. Application of reducing agents like dithiothreitol (DTT) to outside-out patches attenuated BKβ3-induced rectification, presumably due to disruption of extracellular disulfide linkages (349). The BKβ3 gene generates four splice variants (BKβ3a-d). They have a constant COOH terminus including the two transmembrane regions and the extra-

![Figure 17](https://physrev.physiology.org/)

**FIG. 17.** Voltage- and Ca$^{2+}$-dependent properties of hSlo channels without and with one of the four BKβ-subunits. A: current traces recorded in an inside-out patch from HEK293 cells expressing hSlo (a), hSlo + β1 (b), hSlo + β2 (c), hSlo + β3 (d), and hSlo + β4 (e) exposed to 1 and 10 μM Ca$^{2+}$. Both pipette and bath contained 140 mM K+. Pulse protocol was as shown in the pulse diagram. B. a–e: normalized conductance-voltage relations plotted below the corresponding panels. *Inactivation was removed by exposing the patch to 1 mg/ml trypsin for 60 s. [Modified from Lippiat et al. (150).]
cellular loop, but varying cytoplasmic NH$_2$ termini (Fig. 15) (332). The NH$_2$ termini of BK$\beta$3a or BK$\beta$3c contain inactivating domains. Thus coexpression of BK$\alpha$ with BK$\beta$3a or BK$\beta$3c isoforms in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes leads to a rapidly inactivating BK channel. In contrast to BK$\beta$2, however, BK$\beta$3 inactivation is incomplete. Possibly, this results from a weaker affinity of the BK$\beta$3 inactivating domain to the BK channel receptor due to faster dissociation rates (306). Also, BK$\beta$3a and BK$\beta$3c shift the activation curve of the BK channel to more positive (unphysiological) membrane potentials, which effectively decreases BK channel open probability (201, 306). In addition, the BK$\beta$3a-subunit induces a slow component in BK tail currents, not seen with other BK$\beta$3-subunits (348).

F. BK$\beta$4-Subunits

Remarkably, BK$\beta$4 confers to the BK channel insensitivity to block by CTX and iberiotoxin. Consistent with the view that the extracellular BK$\beta$ loop is responsible for toxin sensitivity, exchange of the extracellular BK$\beta$4 loop by the one of BK$\beta$1 restores toxin sensitivity (177). Also, the BK$\beta$4/BK$\alpha$ channel is characterized by slow activation and deactivation kinetics and an increased Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity at intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations $>$1 $\mu$M (38, 177). The properties of the BK$\beta$4/BK$\alpha$ channel resemble those of the type II BK channel described on posterior pituitary nerve terminals (35, 67) and on granular cells of hippocampal dentate gyrus (37). The slowly activating BK channel likely contributes during high-frequency action potential firing to the afterhyperpolarization amplitude (87).

G. Function of BK$\beta$-Subunits In Vivo

Each BK$\beta$-subunit exhibits a distinct tissue-specific expression pattern. BK$\beta$1-subunits are predominantly expressed in smooth muscle cells (130). BK$\beta$2 is expressed in chromaffin cells, pancreatic $\beta$-cells, ovaries, and dorsal root ganglion cells as well as in brain (38). The BK$\beta$3 splice variants are prominently expressed in adrenal chromaffin cells and pancreas and also in the brain. Although the pattern of expression for each isoform of the BK$\beta$3-subunit is distinct, there is a considerable overlap, implying that in a particular tissue, or even in a single cell, more than one type of BK$\beta$3-subunit may be expressed. However, it is not known whether two or even more different types of BK$\beta$3-subunit associate with one BK channel. The BK$\beta$4-subunit, on the other hand, is predominantly expressed in the brain. The BK$\beta$-subunit expression pattern concurs with the large variability in native BK current properties (38, 157, 313). Thus neurons express both CTX-sensitive (BK type I) and CTX-insensitive BK channels (BK type II), whereas the BK channel in smooth muscle cells, which assembled with BK$\beta$1, is blocked by CTX.

Antibodies against the BK$\beta$1-subunit were used to coimmunoprecipitate BK $\alpha$- and BK$\beta$1-subunits, demonstrating that in vivo the BK channel exists as a heteromultimer (130). The inner hair cells, tuned to respond to sounds varying in frequency $\sim$20-fold, provide a striking example for variations in BK$\alpha$/BK$\beta$ heteromultimerization in correlation to the tonotopic organization in the turtle cochlea. The tonotopic organization concurs with a gradual change in BK channel kinetics. To achieve this, two molecular mechanisms seem to operate. The first mechanism implicates a graded expression of BK$\alpha$ splice variants. The second mechanism invokes a gradual variation in the BK$\beta$/BK$\alpha$ subunit stoichiometry for explaining the tonotopic organization in turtle cochlea (120, 228). This notion is based on in vitro coexpression studies with avian BK$\beta$- and BK$\alpha$-subunits necessary to obtain native-like Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive BK channels. The results showed that the BK$\beta$-subunit induced an all-or-none shift in the activation curve of a particular BK$\alpha$-subunit isoform by $\sim$100 mV and an $\sim$10-fold slowing of BK channel activation. Then a gradual shift in these parameters could be achieved by varying the BK$\beta$/BK$\alpha$ ratio (120, 228). The correlation of tonotopic organization and BK channel gating characteristics, however, needs further analysis. Note BK channel properties in mammalian cochlea are uncorrelated with the tonotopic organization of inner hair cells (224, 297).

Like other K$^+$ channels, the BK channel is in vivo part of large protein complexes. For example, analysis of BK channel preparations, immunopurified from total rat brain lysate, showed that rat brain BK$\alpha$/BK$\beta$/BK$\beta$4 channels are associated with several Ca$^{2+}$ channels as part of large protein complexes (32). Also in vascular smooth muscle cells, the BK channel appears to interact with L, N-, and/or P/Q-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels, $\beta$2-adrenergic receptor, PKA, and various scaffolding proteins (151). It is unclear how transient or permanent the interactions are. Potentially, BK$\beta$1 plays a role in localizing the BK channel to specific membrane domains at the cell surface to increase the effectiveness of a $\beta$-adrenergic signaling cascade and to stimulate BK channel activity by an increase in [Ca$^{2+}$], and by phosphorylation. The close vicinity of the BK channel to voltage-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ channels within a protein complex allows activation of BK channels within fractions of a millisecond eliciting rapid repolarization of action potentials and hyperpolarization, respectively. This negative-feedback mechanism leads to Ca$^{2+}$ channel deactivation and terminates Ca$^{2+}$ influx (31, 32). A comparable negative-feedback function was proposed for the BK channel in regulating smooth muscle tone (39, 217) and neurotransmitter release from presynaptic terminals (35). Because the BK$\beta$-subunit regulates the Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity of the BK channel, their presence is an important factor for the hyperpolarizing activity of the BK channel.
This proposition found strong support in studies of BKβ function investigating BKβ1- and BKβ4-knockout mouse lines (Table 8). The data showed that the BK channel needs BKβ1-subunits for normal function in vascular smooth muscle cells (28, 191). In the absence of BKβ1, BK channel activity is shifted to more positive membrane potentials (Fig. 18) correlated with increased constriction of blood vessels and mild hypertension. In addition, vascular smooth muscle cells in BKβ1−/− mice exhibit an increased contractile response to vasoactive substances (327, 328). The importance of BKβ1-subunit for regulation of smooth muscle tone is further supported by the finding that a marked decrease in BKβ1 expression was observed in humans during acquired hypertension, like in angiotensin-induced hypertension (12). In an epidemiological study, a remarkable BKβ1 point mutation (E65K) was found, which in vitro produces a gain-of-function in BK channel function. Individuals carrying this mutation suffer significantly less from increased diastolic blood pressure than normal individuals (75).

A single base pair deletion in exon 4 of KCNMB3 (delA750) leads to truncation of the terminal 21 residues of the BKβ3-subunit. This mutation has been associated with a form of generalized epilepsy, although it is unlikely that it can induce this disease by its own (155). Nevertheless, BKβ4−/− mice show signs of an epileptic phenotype (37). Cortical electroencephalograms of these mice exhibit increased neuronal excitability concomitant with generation of epileptic spike and waves, which first appear in the temporal lobe and subsequently generalize over the whole cortex. However, epileptic seizures display no signs of tonic-clonic component. Single-channel recordings from granule cells of hippocampal dentate gyrus show that absence of BKβ4 eliminates expression of toxin-insensitive BK type II channels. Instead, the BKβ4−/− granule cells express toxin-sensitive BK type I channels, which cause shorter durations of both action potential and afterhyperpolarization than in wild-type mice. As a result, the granule cells fire action potentials at higher frequency and undergo a decreased frequency adaptation. Recently, a very interesting correlation was made between the sensitivity of Kcnma1−/− mice to lolitrem B or paxilline and a neurological disorder in farm animals known as “rye grass staggers” (110). Lolitrem B belongs to a class of neurotoxic indol-terpenoids produced by the endophytic fungus Neotyphodium lolii (76). Animals ingesting the fungus with their food develop uncontrollable tremors and become uncoordinated in their movement. Lolitrem B and the structurally related tremor inducer paxilline both act as potent BK channel inhibitors. At higher doses, the neurotoxins are lethal. Kcnma1−/− mice, which exhibit a mild tremor phenotype and a moderate ataxia, are unaffected by these neurotoxins. Furthermore, when the response to lolitrem B was examined in Kcnmb4−/− mice, only low-level ataxia

**TABLE 8. BKβ ancillary protein knockout mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Background</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Electrophysiology</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BKβ1−/−</td>
<td>Arterial tone and blood pressure increase, increased aortic smooth muscle cell contractility in response to agonists</td>
<td>Ca2⁺ sensitivity and Ca2⁺ sparks decreased, increased open probability of BK channels, BK channel activity decreased, shift in BK channel voltage/Ca2⁺ sensitivity</td>
<td>39, 198, 213, 217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKβ4−/−</td>
<td>Temporal lobe epileptic discharges</td>
<td>Granule cells of the dentate gyrus: gain of function of BK channels with shortening of action potentials inducing higher firing rates</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKβ1/4−/−</td>
<td>Decreased ethanol tolerance</td>
<td>Decreased ethanol tolerance at the molecular and cellular levels</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis restricted to cochlear function</td>
<td>Normal cochlear function (normal auditory brain stem responses)</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 18.** Influence of BKβ1 on BK current. Single BK channel currents were recorded at indicated intracellular Ca2⁺ concentrations and voltages on acutely isolated smooth muscle cells of the mouse aorta from control (WT) and BKβ1 knockout (KO) mice. Arrows indicate level of closed state. [Original recordings by G. Sachse and O. Pongs (see also Ref. 39).]
was observed. The data suggest that BK channels assembled with ancillary BKβ4 subunits have an important role in the development of motor impairments induced by rye grass neurotoxins. Apparently, absence of BKβ4 subunits renders the mouse insensitive to neurotoxins like lolitrem B and paxilline. Yet the unresolved question remains why the KenmA1−/− mouse has a mild tremor and ataxia phenotype, whereas block of the BK channel by lolitrem B and paxilline exerts a very strong movement disorder.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Kv channels are multiprotein complexes consisting of a membrane-inserted core associated with ancillary β-subunits. The core of Kv channels is assembled from Kvα-subunits and displays an essentially conserved topology with a central pore domain surrounded by the voltage sensors. In contrast, β-subunits come in different flavors revealing a high diversity in β-subunit structure and function. Yet, despite the observation of both cytoplasmic and membrane-inserted β-subunits, one can recognize some comparable functions and delineate general aspects of the cellular role of ancillary Kv channel subunits.

Kv channels with NH₂-terminal tetramerization domains bind cytoplasmic β-subunits. Typical examples are the interaction of Kvβ-subunits with members of the Shaker-related Kv1 channel family and that of KChIPs with members of the Kv4 family. The resulting Kv-channel protein complexes potentially extend the reach with which Kv1 and Kv4 channels can communicate cellular excitability to cytoplasmic signaling pathways (and vice versa). This type of cellular communication is still largely unexplored. In the case of Kvβ-subunits, there may be a cross-talk between cellular redox status and Kv channel gating. But a satisfactory answer to this is still missing. KChIPs, on the other hand, may mediate a link to Ca²⁺ signaling pathways or even to transcriptional activities. Many studies have shown that both Kvβ and KChIP stimulate Kv channel expression at the cell surface in in vitro expression systems. However, results obtained with Kvβ and, respectively, KChIP knockout mice produced contradicting data. This sets off a warning signal that data obtained in vitro with transiently transfected cells may not always reflect in vivo reality.

Seemingly simple questions like regulation of Kvα- and Kvβ-subunit assembly, their trafficking, and targeting are not yet well resolved. In this context, reversibility of Kvα and Kvβ/KChIP interaction appears as a very interesting and unresolved issue. We do not know how permanent complex formation between Kvα- and Kv channel β-subunits is. This is an important issue particularly in the light of an increasing number of examples which show that Kv channel β-subunits fulfill also other cellular functions ranging, for example, from enzyme activities like caldescent (KChIP3) to transcription like DREAM (KChIP3) and CALP (KChIP4).

Another unresolved question is whether Kvα- and Kv channel β-subunits are endocytosed together or separately. Furthermore, where do we draw a line to designate a protein an ancillary subunit or a regulatory binding protein? For example, the interaction of Kv channels with proteins like calmodulin, Lgi1, ZIP, or PSD-95 can have dramatic effects on gating, cellular localization, and turnover of the Kv channel. Are they cellularly or compartmentally specific ancillary subunits? These are just a few examples to illustrate how little we know about Kv channels and their “life” in situ.

A common denominator for membrane-spanning Kv channel β-subunits can be seen in their activity to markedly modify gating of the Kv channel with which they interact. The most remarkable example represents the influence of KCNE1 and, respectively, KCNE3 on the Kv7.1 channel. The extracellular domains of membrane-spanning Kv channel β-subunits most likely interact with components of the extracellular matrix, yet the details of this type of interaction and their physiological consequences remain to be elucidated. Also, many open questions remain concerning regulation of assembly, trafficking, and endocytosis of membrane-spanning Kv channel β-subunits. Again, these are conflicting in vitro and in vivo results in the literature. In particular, the results obtained with KCNEs emphasize the need to explore Kv channel β-subunit function in primary cells and tissue. Here, an important aspect is that ancillary Kv channel β-subunits are potentially involved in the organization of large membrane protein complexes. For example, BK channel β-subunits are important for the association of the BK channel with L-type Ca²⁺ channel in a multi-ion-channel protein complex. It is likely that more examples will be discovered in the future.

In landmark studies, MacKinnon and co-workers have elucidated the crystal structure of a Kv1.2-Kvβ channel complex (153, 154). Such studies are invaluable for understanding the structural basis of Kv channel β-subunit interaction with the pore-forming Kvα-subunits, the biophysical changes of the ion channel gating induced by the β-subunits, and their effects in vivo. It may be expected that the future will bring to us similarly detailed information on the structure of other Kv channel complexes, in particular on the Kv4 channel associated with KChIP and DPPL subunits, on Kv7.1-KCNE complexes, and on the BKA-BKβ channel complex.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

After final submission of this review, a paper was published entitled “Disruption of the K⁺ channel β-sub-
unit KCNE3 reveals an important role in intestinal and tracheal Cl− “transport” by Preston P, Wartosch L, Günzel D, Fromm M, Kongsuphol P, Ousingawat J, Kunzelmann K, Barhanin J, Warth R, Jentsch T (J Biol Chem 285: 7165–7175, 2010). The authors show that kcnene−/− mice lacking the KCNE3 protein were viable and fertile and did not display any sign of periodic paralysis or other skeletal-muscle abnormalities. However, kcnene−/− mice show a drastically reduced cAMP-stimulated electrogenic Cl− secretion across tracheal and intestinal epithelia.
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