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I. Introduction

The discovery of the opioid system stems from the use of opium in ancient history. Opium, extracted from poppy seeds (*Papaver somniferum*), has powerful pain-relieving properties and produces euphoria. Morphine, named after the god Morphus, is the most active ingredient of opium. Today morphine remains the most widely used pain killer in contemporary medicine, despite an array of adverse side effects (respiratory depression, constipation, drowsiness, tolerance, and dependence). Heroin was synthesized chemically by morphine diacetylation in the late 1800s and was commercialized as the first nonaddictive opiate to treat cough and asthma. The strong addictive properties of heroin were soon acknowledged, and both heroin and opium were prohibited in
Opioid peptides and receptors are broadly expressed throughout peripheral and central nervous systems and have been the subject of intense investigations for several decades. The opioid system plays a central role in nociception and analgesia, and the main aspects of opioid-regulated pain mechanisms have been reviewed recently (102, 430). The opioid system also regulates numerous physiological functions, including responses to stress, respiration, gastrointestinal transit, as well as endocrine and immune functions. Most aspects of endogenous opioid activity are reviewed comprehensively each year (for example, see Ref. 41).

Importantly, this system also plays a key role in modulating mood and well-being, as well as addictive behaviors. How does this neuromodulatory system mediate or regulate the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse and contribute to the development of addiction? These questions are being investigated actively; relevant data were reviewed and discussed several years ago (131, 362, 389, 409). Here we review current knowledge of the role of the opioid system in hedonic control and of genetic regulations of the system following drug exposure, with a specific emphasis on recent data from rodent models and a focus on the neurocircuitry and behavioral aspects of opioid function.

II. ANATOMY OF THE BRAIN OPIOID SYSTEM

Opioid receptors and peptides are both broadly expressed throughout the brain. Figure 1 presents an updated overview of the anatomy of the opioid system in the rodent brain (rat and mouse). Figure 1A summarizes the distribution pattern of mu, delta, and kappa opioid binding sites (receptor protein), as determined by ligand autoradiography (144, 176, 193, 212, 226, 229, 230, 298, 343, 346) and the distribution of cell bodies expressing opioid receptors, based on the detection of mRNAs by in situ hybridization (ISH) (99, 129, 154, 227, 398). Figure 1B illustrates the distribution of opioid peptide containing...
neuronal fibers and cell bodies as assessed by immunohistochemistry (28, 107, 114, 187–189, 208, 226, 230), with ISH studies completing the mapping of opioid cell bodies (150, 251, 258, 296, 297).

Opioid receptors are expressed primarily in the cortex, limbic system, and brain stem. Binding sites for the three opioid receptors overlap in most structures, but some structures exhibit higher expression of one receptor over the others. Mu is the most expressed opioid receptor in the amygdala [but not the central nucleus, amygdala (CeA)], thalamus (TH), mesencephalon, and some brain stem nuclei. Kappa is the most represented receptor in the basal anterior forebrain, including the claustrum (Cl) and endopiriform cortex (En), olfactory tubercle (Tu), striatum (caudate putamen and nucleus accumbens), preoptic area (POA), hypothalamus, and pituitary. The delta receptor is the most abundant receptor in the olfactory tract (olfactory bulbs, anterior olfactory nucleus, Tu, medial amygdala) and in the cortices, including whole neocortex and regions of the amygdala (AMG) that derive ontogenetically from the cortex (basolateral, cortical, and median nuclei of the AMG; Ref. 364), and is also highly expressed in the striatum. Mu and kappa coexist in most structures, whereas the distribution of delta is more restricted (low expression in the hypothalamus, thalamus, mesencephalon, and brain stem). In a few structures, only one receptor type is detected: mu binding sites only are detected in four thalamic nuclei (lateral geniculate thalamus, ventrolateral thalamus, ventromedial thalamus, and posterior thalamus), the sensory trigeminal nucleus (SNT) and nucleus ambiguus (Amb), and delta binding sites are singly represented in the pontine nucleus (PN). Kappa binding sites only are found in seven brain regions that are part of the stress axis (Cl, paraventricular hypothalamus, arcuate nucleus, supraoptic nucleus, Me, CeA, and pituitary). Notably, ligand autoradiography studies (144, 193, 226, 227, 229, 230, 343, 346) failed to detect significant kappa binding in the hippocampus, although consistent pharmacological and electrophysiological data indicate the presence of kappa receptors in this region (for example, Refs. 89, 106, 207, 340, 416).

The sites of opioid receptor expression (mRNA) generally match the distribution of binding sites (protein), suggesting that many neurons synthesizing opioid receptors are local neurons. Some structures show both mRNA and binding sites for only one receptor type: delta in the PN, mu in the Amb and some thalamic nuclei (ventrolateral, ventromedial, and posterior), and kappa in the Me. Noticeable exceptions exist to the general concomitant presence of mRNA and binding sites, with most frequent cases being detectable transcripts in the absence of binding sites (obvious for delta receptor). Such mismatches could reveal that receptors synthesized in some brain regions (mRNA only) are mostly transported to projection areas where they are localized presynaptically (binding sites only). Alternatively, these mismatches may reflect a lower sensitivity of ligand autoradiography in detecting binding sites compared with high detection efficiency in ISH studies.

Opioid peptide immunoreactivity (IR) in projection fibers overlaps largely with the localization of opioid receptors. Penk is the most abundant and widely distributed opioid precursor and is best detected in the thalamus, where it overlaps with mu receptors. Pdyn is present in most brain structures, with the highest concentration in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and near absence in the thalamus. POMC shows a more restricted distribution and is absent from cortical structures except for the AMG.

Penk-expressing cell bodies (mRNA and immunoreactivity) are the most abundant in the brain. Pdyn cell bodies are also widespread, with a hot spot in the hypothalamus matching high kappa receptor density. In contrast, POMC cell bodies are highly restricted and only detected in three regions of the brain: the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (Arc), nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS, brain stem), and pituitary [anterior lobe (AL) and intermediate lobe (IL)]. However, some mRNA can be detected in other regions by PCR (211). Neurons from the Arc and NTS project mostly to limbic, mesencephalic, and brain stem subcortical regions, where high POMC IR is detected. Mismatches exist between the distribution of opioid peptide IR and the localization of cell bodies, with the most frequent cases being IR detected in fibers with no visible cell bodies (obvious for POMC). These discrepancies between peptide and cell body maps, assessed by the same IR technique, suggest that an important proportion of opioid peptides is released by projecting neurons.

Altogether, the anatomical studies show widespread expression of most components of the opioid system. Pharmacological studies have shown best affinities of β-endorphin and enkephalin for mu and delta receptors, and preferred binding of dynorphins at the kappa receptors, although selectivity factors do not exceed one-order of magnitude (228). The overall anatomical distribution of peptides and receptors is consistent with the notion of distinct Penk-POMC/mu-delta and Pdyn/kappa systems at some brains sites.

III. LOCAL PHARMACOLOGICAL MANIPULATION OF THE OPIOID SYSTEM

In the wild, animals spend most of their time engaged in behaviors necessary for their survival and that of their genes, such as foraging for food and water, avoiding predators, looking for sexual partners, or caring for pups. These goal-directed behaviors must be flexible and plastic so that animals can constantly adapt to their environment (185). In this context, emotions have evolved that encourage animals to engage in behaviors with a beneficial out-
come and avoid behaviors that could reduce their chance of survival (58). Positive emotions, such as pleasure, hedonism, or reward, when associated with the ability to learn from experience, can act to increase the probability of the occurrence of a particular behavior, a phenomenon called positive reinforcement (see Refs. 112, 326).

The view that distributed interconnected neural systems could support positive reinforcement developed from the discovery of specific brain sites supporting self-stimulation (271) and the concurrent identification of ascending monoamine pathways (87). The mesolimbic dopaminergic projections, that originate from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and project to various regions of the forebrain with a major input to the NAc, occupy a central position within the reinforcement circuit (20, 58, 112, 183, 185, 270). Nonetheless, accumulating experimental evidence argues against dopamine (DA) mediation of reward processes per se (37, 58, 112, 326, 333, 412). As a result of this debate, the concept of the reinforcement circuit has expanded beyond the VTA-NAc to include other structures (202, 265; see Fig. 2).

Opioid peptides and receptors are expressed throughout the reinforcement network, placing the opioid system in a key position to modulate this circuit. Experimental data have accumulated over nearly 50 years showing that the opioid system is involved with reinforcement processes. Globally, systemic mu and, to a lesser extent, delta agonists produce positive reinforcement, whereas kappa agonists induce aversion, hallucinations, and malaise. Conversely, mu and delta antagonists suppress the positive reinforcing properties of natural rewards and opiate or nonopioid drugs, whereas kappa antagonists facilitate these effects (reviewed in Refs. 339, 389, 390). Systemic drug effects, however, reflect multiple actions of opioid compounds at several brain sites, not all of which are involved in reinforcement. In this section, we focus on studies using intracranial injection techniques that allow a precise localization of brain sites where opioids modulate reinforcement. Methodological issues related to pharmacological, anatomical, and behavioral specificities following intracranial infusions have been reviewed previously (44, 136, 171).

A. The Endogenous Opioid System and Natural Reinforcement

Among numerous natural appetitive stimuli, food, drinks, and potential mates are the most potent to trigger positive reinforcement in animals and, as such, are widely

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the brain reinforcement circuit. Brain sites of reinforcement are integrated in a circuit based on their connectivity and putative functional roles. BNST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CPU, caudate putamen; LH, lateral hypothalamus; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PAG, periaqueductal gray; POA, preoptic area; VP, ventral pallidum; VTA, ventral tegmental area. [Adapted from Kelley (183) and Koob and Le Moal (202).]
used in animal studies. Studies using food reinforcement have raised considerable interest in neuroscience and produced extensive experimental evidence that the opioid system plays a role in the reinforcing properties of diets (solid or liquid). Less is known about the neurobiological processes supporting sexual reinforcement, although some studies indicate that here also the opioid system is recruited.

I. Food reinforcement

A complex, widespread neuronal circuitry mediates sensory, metabolic, and integrative processes controlling food intake (39) and opioid peptides and receptors are present in most regions of this network (38, 138, 185). Feeding can be qualified as either homeostatic (providing the necessary intake of calories to sustain life) or nonhomeostatic (driven by other processes, such as reward/hedonics, and often resulting in a higher intake than needed). Opioids are involved in both types of food intake, depending on the brain region, suggesting that this system plays a role in energy-driven feeding as well as food hedonics. Glass et al. (138) and later Bodnar (42) reviewed the vast amount of work produced over the last three decades on the pharmacology of feeding behavior. These authors dedicated specific sections to studies using intracerebral microinjection techniques, which we update here with a particular focus on food reinforcement. Brain sites where agonists and antagonists modulate feeding behavior are summarized in Figure 3 (data from literature reviewed below, see also Refs. 175, 383).

1. Food reinforcement

A complex, widespread neuronal circuitry mediates sensory, metabolic, and integrative processes controlling food intake (39) and opioid peptides and receptors are present in most regions of this network (38, 138, 185). Feeding can be qualified as either homeostatic (providing the necessary intake of calories to sustain life) or nonhomeostatic (driven by other processes, such as reward/hedonics, and often resulting in a higher intake than needed). Opioids are involved in both types of food intake, depending on the brain region, suggesting that this system plays a role in energy-driven feeding as well as food hedonics. Glass et al. (138) and later Bodnar (42) reviewed the vast amount of work produced over the last three decades on the pharmacology of feeding behavior. These authors dedicated specific sections to studies using intracerebral microinjection techniques, which we update here with a particular focus on food reinforcement. Brain sites where agonists and antagonists modulate feeding behavior are summarized in Figure 3 (data from literature reviewed below, see also Refs. 175, 383).

A) NAC AND VTA. In accordance with the view of a reinforcement circuit centered on dopaminergic structures and their anatomical targets, research on the food reinforcement has focused on the NAc and VTA. These two structures play a pivotal role in the control of food intake (19, 185). Injections of mu or delta but not kappa receptor agonists into the NAc or VTA stimulate feeding (reviewed in Refs. 19, 42). The hyperphagia induced by intra-NAc infusion of mu receptor agonists depends on macronutrient content and the taste of the food. Kelley and collaborators (19, 184) proposed that activating the ventral striatal opioid system encodes the positive affect induced by tasty and/or high-energy foods and triggers behavioral responses associated with food-seeking. Consistent with this idea, Pecina and Berridge (287, 288) demonstrated that the shell of the NAc contains a hedonic hotspot in which the stimulation of mu receptors increases the “liking” for food reward, as measured by the amplification of positive affective orofacial reactions to sucrose in rats, and the “wanting” for food as reflected by increased eating behavior. Opioid stimulation in a larger region surrounding this hotspot also triggers food intake but has no effect on “liking” reactions to taste (287, 288). The inactivation of mu receptors in the shell of the NAc by infusion of the irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-funaltrexamine (β-FNA) induced a persistent decrease in the consumption of a palatable glucose solution, with no effect on the intake of standard chow. In comparison, the peptidic mu receptor antagonist CTAP produced only a transient decrease in glucose consumption. These results provide further evidence that the NAc supports responding for orosensory reward (400). Consistent with this view, Woolley et al. (413) explored the role of accumbal opioid receptors in flavor preference. In a first study, the authors investigated the role of mu opioid receptors in the NAc in a flavor choice paradigm where two nutritionally identical, but differentially flavored, palatable food pellets were available. Intra-NAc DAMGO selectively increased, whereas naltrexone decreased, consumption of the preferred food (413). Using a sensory specific satiety paradigm, these authors demonstrated that the mu receptor
agonist DAMGO injected into the NAc selectively increases consumption of a prefed flavor, reversing the sensory specific satiety effect, while the antagonist naloxone potentiated this effect. Conversely, injecting the kappa receptor agonist U-50,488H into the NAc of rats decreased the consumption of the prefed flavor, but increased the intake of the non-prefed flavor. Together, these data suggest that opioid peptides released in the NAc during consumption of palatable foods can produce opposite effects on flavor preference depending on the opioid receptors they activate (414, 415). The mechanism by which the striatal opioid system interacts locally with other neurotransmitters to regulate feeding behavior remains to be clarified. High-fat feeding induced by intra-NAc DAMGO was unaffected by prior infusion of D1 and D2, AMPA, or nicotinic receptor antagonists into this structure. In contrast, the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone blocked DAMGO-induced feeding, and the muscarinic receptor antagonist scopolamine injected into the NAc reduced feeding in both DAMGO-treated and control rats. These data suggest that the effects of ventral striatal opioid receptor stimulation on palatable food intake are independent of the activation of DA and glutamate receptors but may recruit cholinergic signaling (408).

Opioid regulation of food consumption in the NAc depends not only on local mechanisms, but also on connections with distant brain sites (185); in that respect, the cross-talk between the NAc and VTA has been particularly well studied. Food intake elicited by infusion of the mu receptor agonist DAMGO into the VTA was dose-dependently decreased by injections of the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone or the D1 DA receptor antagonist SCH-233390 into the NAc (220). Together with previous data from the same group (221), these results suggest that opioid peptides and DA interact within the VTA-NAc pathway (and reciprocal) to regulate feeding. Moreover, the general opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone, and mu (β-FNA) or delta (naltrindole), but not kappa (nor-binaltorphimine) receptor antagonists preinfused into the VTA dose-dependently reduced DAMGO-induced feeding elicited from the NAc. Reciprocally, nonselective antagonists infused into the NAc decreased mu-induced feeding triggered from the VTA. Thus the bidirectional opioid-opioid feeding interaction between the VTA and NAc involves all opioid receptor subtypes (43). The accumbal opioid system has been shown to interact with orexinergic signaling to modulate food intake. The opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone injected into the NAc shell suppressed the increase in food-intake produced by an infusion of orexin-A into the lateral hypothalamus (LH, 365). Moreover, infusing the orexin-1 receptor antagonist SB-334867 into the VTA blocked the increase in high-fat appetite induced by DAMGO injected into the NAc. This result suggests that activation of accumbal mu receptors recruits orexin neurons in the perifornical region. In turn, orexin released from perifornical neurons would stimulate orexin-1 receptors in the VTA to facilitate the intake of palatable food (425).

Together with previous results published in the field (reviewed in Refs. 42, 138, 185), the above experimental data clearly indicate that mu opioid receptors potently induce feeding behavior from the NAc or VTA, an effect exerted through regulation of the affective response to food, i.e., palatability.

B) VENTRAL PALLIDUM. As a major output structure of the NAc (421), the ventral pallidum (VP) plays a role in food reinforcement (39). Interestingly, the VP contains a similar hedonic hotspot as the NAc in its posterior section (287, 347, 371), where stimulation of mu opioid receptors generates an increase in both “liking” and “wanting” for food reward. The mu receptor agonist DAMGO, when injected into the posterior VP, increased hedonic “liking” reactions to oral sucrose but conversely suppressed these reactions when infused into the anterior and central VP. Concerning the “wanting” aspect, DAMGO stimulated food intake when injected into the posterior and central VP and suppressed eating when delivered into the anterior VP. These results show that opioid-mediated increases in food reward and eating behavior in the VP are related but dissociable (347). Injecting the mu receptor agonist DAMGO into the VP produced a biphasic effect on saccharin intake and further demonstrated the modulatory role of VP mu receptors on the consumption of palatable food (338).

Smith and Berridge (347) looked for neurobiological and functional interactions between the two opioid hedonic hotspots of the NAc and VP. Naloxone injected into the NAc blocked the increase in hedonic “liking” reactions triggered by intra-VP injection of DAMGO, and reciprocally blocked “liking” reactions triggered by intra-NAc injection of DAMGO when injected into the VP. When injected into the NAc, naloxone attenuated the stimulation of food intake (“wanting”) produced by intra-VP DAMGO. In contrast, when injected into the VP, naloxone failed to affect the increased food consumption induced by intra-NAc DAMGO. Thus the NAc opioid hotspot is sufficient to stimulate eating behavior and is independent of VP opioid activation (347). In conclusion, compared with NAc and VTA receptors, pallidal mu opioid receptors are the most efficient in modulating food intake.

C) HYPOTHALAMUS. The hypothalamus plays a central role in the control of food intake and the regulation of energy balance, through its multiple connections with brain stem, limbic, and cortical structures (39, 185, 259). Among the numerous hypothalamic nuclei, the paraventricular hypothalamus (PVN), lateral hypothalamus (LH), Arc, and dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) have been identified as sites where opioid peptides modulate eating (42, 185, 355). Activating mu receptors in the PVN by local
agonist injection produces an increase in food intake. Conversely, blocking mu or kappa receptors, but not delta receptors, reduces deprivation-induced feeding (reviewed in Ref. 42). Naleid and collaborators tested the hypothesis, based on their previous results (137), that opioids in the PVN would modulate food intake based on energy needs more than palatability. Intra-PVN DAMGO stimulated sucrose and fat feeding in rats that preferred fat diets, but had no effect on either diet intake in sucrose-prefering animals. Naltrexone decreased fat intake in both groups but had no effect on sucrose intake. Thus activation of opioid receptors in the PVN modulates feeding depending on diet preference and nutrient type, as well as on energy needs (263). Finally, morphine injected into the LH stimulated feeding behavior (215). Whether this effect involves a role of LH opioids on the hedonic aspect of food, however, remains to be examined.

**D) AMYGDALA.** AMG lesions implicate the AMG in food intake (39, 159). Within the AMG, the CeA is traditionally considered as part of the taste pathway (269) and has been proposed to regulate the emotional aspects of food intake (138). The mu receptor agonist DAMGO injected into the CeA increased food intake (134, 213). Conversely, infusion of the opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone decreased food intake, but only for preferred diets, suggesting that endogenous opioids in this structure are involved in modulating food reward rather than energy needs (137). Intra-NAc DAMGO-induced feeding depends on the integrity of AMG function, as it was completely suppressed by pharmacological inactivation of either the basolateral or central nuclei of the AMG (BLA and CeA; Ref. 407). Furthermore, Kim et al. (192) presented evidence for the existence of a bidirectional opioid connection between the shell of the NAc and the CeA. Indeed, naltrexone injected into the CeA reduces food intake triggered by DAMGO infused into the NAc, and vice versa (192). Thus the AMG, and more specifically the CeA, are part of an opioid limbic network modulating food reinforcement.

**E) PARABRACHIAL NUCLEUS.** The parabrachial nucleus (PBN) is part of the taste pathway and is involved in sensory mechanisms modulating food intake (39, 269). Mu receptor activation in this region triggers food intake, whereas kappa receptor stimulation or mu receptor blockade decreases feeding behavior (60, 260, 261, 267, 410). A long-lasting decrease in the consumption of standard, but not palatable, chow was observed after an infusion of the irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-FNA into the PBN, as well as a blockade of the increase in palatable food intake induced by intra-PBN DAMGO (401). These results suggest that opioids in the PBN, unlike the structures reviewed above, play a role in the control of homeostatic rather than reward-driven feeding.

**F) NTS.** The NTS contains the first central synapse of the gustatory system (374) and as such is an essential link in the chain of food reward. Activation of mu receptors in the NTS increased feeding, an effect suppressed by mu receptor blockade in the CeA (139). Moreover, opioid receptor antagonists injected into the NTS reduced feeding responses elicited by intra-PVN injections of neuropeptide Y (NPY; reviewed in Ref. 42). Further study will be needed to determine whether opioids in the NTS modulate homeostatic or reward-driven feeding.

### 2. Sexual reinforcement

As with food intake, the control of the multiple aspects of sexual behavior relies on a complex neurocircuitry, where the components of the opioid system are well represented (73, 135, 166, 248, 295, 387). Opioids are commonly described as exerting an inhibitory influence on male and female sexual activity. Indeed, systemic opioid receptor agonists impair, and antagonists facilitate, sexual behavior (9). Local injections of opioid receptor agonists and antagonists have provided a partial mapping of the brain sites where endogenous opioids influence sexual behavior.

**A) NAC AND VTA.** Local injections of opioid receptor antagonists into the mesoaccumbens DA pathway have failed to reveal a major contribution of accumbal opioid system to sexual behavior. The kappa receptor antagonist nor-BNI injected into the NAc increased female directed behavior in male rats (214). However, when using a conditioned place preference (CPP) protocol to specifically assess sexual reinforcement (see Refs. 286, 294), Agmo and Gomez (2) could not detect an effect of intra-NAc injections of the opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium on an ejaculation-induced CPP. Similarly, the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone infused into the NAc did not affect paced mating-induced CPP in female rats (126). In contrast, opioid agonists, when injected at low doses into the VTA, facilitated sexual behavior in male rats, whereas naloxone decreased the percentage of sexually active rats (reviewed in Ref. 385) and prevented the anticipatory behavioral activation prior to the introduction of a female rat (386). Injections of the kappa receptor agonist nor-BNI into the VTA increased female directed behavior (214). It is not clear, however, whether intra-VTA opioid effects on sexual behavior are mediated through reinforcement mechanisms or an effect on somatomotor control of sexual performance. Taken together, experimental data indicate that the opioid system in the VTA, and to a lesser extent in the NAc, modulates sexual behavior.

**B) HYPOTHALAMUS.** The hypothalamus is critically involved in coordinating the behavioral sequences as well as autonomic and endocrine responses associated with reproductive behavior (248, 314, 342). In the anterior region of the hypothalamus, the sexual dimorphic medial preoptic area (MPOA) has received much attention as a critical brain substrate for the control of sexual behavior.
(23, 104, 166, 295, 387), where opioids can exert their modulatory effects (9, 166, 387). Briefly, opioid receptor agonists injected directly into the MPOA inhibited or delayed masculine copulatory activity in rats, except for low doses of morphine or dynorphin-A-(1–13) (reviewed in Ref. 387; see also Refs. 241, 385) and inhibited female sexual behavior under certain conditions (reviewed in Refs. 292, 293). Conversely, opioid receptor blockade in the MPOA can facilitate male sexual behavior depending on the experimental conditions (387). These data indicate that opioid receptors in the MPOA participate to the control of sexual behavior. Interestingly, some authors have used CPP to measure sexual reinforcement and its modulation by opioidergic manipulations in the MPOA. The opioid receptor antagonist methylaloxonium infused into the MPOA blocked a CPP produced by ejaculation (2). Consistent with this, naloxone microinjected into the MPOA, before each conditioning session, suppressed paced mating-induced CPP in female rats (126). These latter data suggest that opioids in the MPOA are involved, more specifically, with sexual reinforcement.

At the interface between the central and peripheral autonomic nervous systems, the PVN plays an important role in the control of genital responses (248). In males, the PVN participates in the control of penile erection (10) under the inhibitory influence of endogenous opioids. Indeed, when injected into this structure, opioid agonists markedly impaired penile erection (reviewed in Refs. 9 and 10).

The ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (VMH) is involved in female sexual behavior, under the critical influence of estrogens (57, 117). Opioid peptides and opiates injected into the VMH can inhibit female sexual behavior (reviewed in Ref. 292). Such inhibition could reflect an influence of opioids in the VMH on sexual reinforcement, as suggested by the suppression of paced mating-induced CPP observed following intra-VMH naloxone infusion in female rats (126).

B. The Endogenous Opioid System and Drugs of Abuse

Drug addiction is a chronic disorder that builds up from initial recreational drug use and progresses towards compulsive drug seeking and intake. The reinforcing properties of abused drugs are thought to be responsible, in interaction with various environmental factors, for the initiation of drug taking. Once repeated drug use is established, complex neuroadaptive mechanisms develop that lead to dependence, craving, and relapse and contribute to the maintenance of repeated drug intoxication (201). A current hypothesis in the field of drug addiction is that drugs of abuse abnormally recruit neuronal pathways and transmitter systems responding to natural reinforcement and progressively alter their function (112, 168, 186, 266, 356). The mesolimbic DA system has received most attention in this regard. The opioid system, which

3. Conclusion

The data reviewed above clearly demonstrate that brain opioids play a critical role in modulating food and sexual reinforcement.

With regard to food, intracerebral pharmacological studies, in accordance with systemic data, support the now widely accepted view that the central opioid system mediates the hedonic evaluation (palatability) of energy-dense foods (19, 24, 42, 282, 289). Thus opioids in reward sites (VTA, NAc, VP, AMG) participate preferentially in the modulation of nonhomeostatic feeding. New animal models of food reinforcement have been developed that tease out rewarding from motivational/learning aspects of reinforcement and show how opioids in these regions are mainly involved in the former and DA in the latter (19, 24, 289). Opioids in the brain stem (NTS, PBN) also participate in the integration of sensory and metabolic aspects of food intake. In addition, opioids in the hypothalamus (PVN, Arc) are involved in the regulation of energy needs, in tight connect with reward structures where they also control the emotional processing of food intake (138). The role of opioid peptides and receptors in other brain structures involved in the control of feeding behavior, especially limbic structures such as the hippocampus, septum, or bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), has not been examined.

Studies in the field of sexual reinforcement clearly implicate central opioid, especially in the MPOA. Other naturally reinforced behaviors, most notably social behaviors such as pair bonding, mother-infant attachment, and social play, also recruit the brain opioid system (reviewed in Ref. 390; see also Refs. 252, 256, 264, 284, 418). To date, however, data from intracerebral pharmacological studies are too scarce to draw a clear picture of the relevant neuronal network.
mediates hedonic evaluation of natural rewards, represents another key substrate for the deleterious effects of drugs of abuse. Indeed, the reinforcing properties of many abused drugs depend on the activation of mu opioid receptors (for review, see Refs. 72, 191), which thus may be a potential molecular gateway to drug addiction (72).

Research with animal models has led to significant progress in understanding the neurobiological basis of drug reinforcement and addiction. Most of these models assess the direct and acute reinforcing properties (positive or negative) of drugs. As such, these models explore the initial stage of drug addiction, characterized by repeated intoxication in nondependent individuals (201). Two models are most widely used: CPP and operant self-administration (see Refs. 244, 329, 378 for methodology). In these two models, drugs can be administered intracerebrally, either to map brain sites of reinforcement or to study how activation in these sites interferes with reinforcement induced by systemic drug administration.

Figure 4 illustrates the location of brain sites where opioid receptors contribute to drug reinforcement, both opiate and nonopiate. In this section we update reviews presented a decade ago by Shippenberg and Elmer (339), McBride et al. (244), and Van Ree et al. (389).

1. Drug reinforcement

A) VTA AND NAC. The mesolimbic dopaminergic system has long been considered the major neurobiological substrate mediating opiate reinforcement (25, 101, 197, 339, 389). Consequently, the characterization of opioid-sensitive brain sites has focused mainly on the VTA and NAc.

Van Ree and de Wied (388) were the first to demonstrate that rats readily self-administer an opioid receptor agonist into the VTA; a follow-up study confirmed this finding (47). Since then, an overwhelming number of studies using either intracerebral self-administration or CPP in rats and mice have confirmed the involvement of the VTA in opiate reinforcement (reviewed in Refs. 244, 339, 389). Further work has attempted to identify, more precisely, the opioid receptors and neurotransmitter systems recruited by intra-VTA opiate reinforcement. Intra-VTA infusions of a subthreshold dose of heroin, combined with a nonrewarding systemic dose of the benzodiazepine alprazolam (which facilitates GABA transmission), produced a significant CPP (395). This finding indicates that systemic facilitation of GABA tone potentiates the reinforcing properties of centrally applied opiate drugs. The mu receptor agonist endomorphin induced a CPP when...
injected into the VTA or NAc, an effect suppressed by a prior infusion of the mu receptor antagonist CTOP and the opioid receptor antagonist 3-methoxynaltrexone in the VTA or NAc, respectively (369). In another study, the mu receptor agonist endomorphin induced a CPP when infused into the posterior VTA, but not the anterior VTA or the NAc. Moreover, rats self-administered endomorphin into the VTA. These results indicate that mu receptors in the VTA are critically involved in reinforcement and that the VTA is not functionally homogeneous (422). Wild-type and delta opioid receptor knockout mice, but not mu receptor knockout mice, self-administered morphine into the VTA, and systemic naloxone suppressed self-administration. Mu, but not delta, receptors in the VTA thus appear to be critical for the rewarding properties of intra-VTA morphine infusions (96).

The mechanism by which accumbal opioid receptors contribute to opiate reinforcement remains debatable. Early evidence indicated that rats self-administer opioid receptor agonists into the NAc (140, 272), but intra-NAc infusions of mu or delta receptor agonists do not support a CPP (reviewed in Ref. 244). In contrast, intra-NAc microinjections of the kappa receptor agonist U-50,488H produce a conditioned place aversion (CPA; Ref. 22). Preinfusing (+)-morphine (inactive isomer) into the posterior shell of the NAc attenuated a CPP induced by (-)-morphine (active isomer) injected into this structure (370). A CPP to systemic morphine in rats was suppressed by pretest intra-NAc injection of the mu receptor agonist DAMGO, the delta receptor agonist DPDPE, or the kappa receptor agonist U-50,488H. The authors proposed that stimulation of mu and delta opioid receptors in the NAc compete with systemic opiate seeking by producing direct reinforcing effects, while stimulation of kappa receptors produces aversive effects (217). Mice self-administered morphine into the NAc, but not into the caudate putamen (CPu), an effect that is reduced by systemic naloxone. These results suggest that the NAc, but not the CPu, is involved in the reinforcing properties of opiates (93). In a subsequent study, the authors observed that the D2/D3 DA receptor antagonist sulpiride administered before testing reduced (although transiently) intra-VTA but not intra-NAc, morphine self-administration. This work shows that maintenance of intra-VTA, but not intra-NAc, self-administration involves activation of D2/D3 DA receptors (95).

Intra-accumbal injections of opioid receptor antagonists suppress systemic opiate reinforcement (reviewed in Refs. 244, 339, 389). Recent studies have further characterized this phenomenon. The irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-FNA injected into the caudal, but not the rostral part, of the NAc decreased the reinforcing properties of intravenous heroin. Thus the caudal portion of the nucleus accumbens might be more critically involved in mediating the reinforcing properties of opiates (236). The opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium reduced heroin self-administration when injected into the shell of the NAc of morphine-dependent rats, whereas it had no effect in nondependent rats (but see Ref. 382). The authors propose that opioid receptors in the NAc contribute to opioid reinforcement only in dependent animals (396). When injected into the NAc, the mu receptor antagonist CTOP, the D1 DA receptor antagonist SCH23390, the D2 DA receptor antagonist raclopride or the combination of SCH23390 and CTOP, but not of the delta receptor antagonist naltrindole, dose-dependently decreased breakpoints to self-administer intravenous speedball (combination of cocaine and heroin). Therefore, the activation of DA and mu receptors, but not delta receptors, in the NAc is critical for the reinforcing effects of speedball (79).

Opioid receptors in the VTA and NAc not only contribute to opiate reinforcement, but also contribute to the reinforcing effects of nonopiod, systemic drug injections. A number of studies have explored this phenomenon over the last decade, using local injections of opioid receptor agonists or antagonists and a variety of drugs including cocaine, speedball, nicotine, and alcohol.

The participation of opioid receptors in the VTA and/or the NAc in ethanol reinforcement has generated considerable interest over the last decade, with consistent data from operant models of ethanol oral self-administration. Intra-VTA microinjection of the opioid receptor antagonist methylhaloxonium immediately before a test session decreased an ethanol-induced CPP in mice, whereas intra-NAc methylhaloxonium had no effect. This study suggests that a CPP to ethanol depends primarily on the activation of opioid receptors in the VTA (29). Infusion of the opioid receptor antagonist methylhaloxonium into the AMG or the NAc decreased operant responding for ethanol solution in rats, at lower doses in the AMG than in the NAc, indicating that opioid receptors in the AMG and NAc may be involved in the regulation of ethanol self-administration (155). Microinjecting the delta receptor antagonist naltrindole into the NAc or BLA reduced operant responding for ethanol solution in rats, whereas the mu antagonist CTOP was effective only in the AMG. Thus mu and delta opioid receptors in the NAc and BLA are involved in the regulation of ethanol self-administration (169). A selective decrease in operant behavior for ethanol, but not saccharin, solution was observed in alcohol-preferring rats after an injection of the mu receptor antagonist nalmefene into the VTA or NAc. When injected into the hippocampus, nalmefene reduced both ethanol and saccharin consumption. This work provides evidence that nalmefene suppresses ethanol-motivated behavior through the blockade of opioid receptors in the NAc or VTA (178). In a two bottle choice paradigm, the delta receptor agonist DPDPE injected into the VTA decreased, while the delta receptor antagonist TIPP-Ψ increased, ethanol intake in rats. These findings suggest that activation of delta receptors in the VTA acts to inhibit ethanol
consumption (232). Altogether, these results demonstrate that operant responding for ethanol solution depends on the activation of mu and delta opioid receptors in the NAc and AMG, and to a lesser extent on the recruitment of VTA opioid receptors.

Opioid receptors in the VTA and NAc contribute to cocaine reinforcement. The mu receptor antagonist CTAP injected into the core of the NAc or rostral VTA, but not the caudal VTA, CPu or medial shell of the NAc attenuated the development of a cocaine CPP. In contrast, CTAP infused into the medial shell, but not the core, of the NAc blocked the expression of a cocaine-induced CPP (349). In rats trained to self-administer intravenous cocaine, infusing the mu receptor agonist DAMGO into the VTA decreased operant behavior. In contrast, the mu receptor antagonist CTOP reduced self-administration only slightly, and the kappa receptor agonist U-50,488H and antagonist norbinaltorphimine (norBNI) had no consistent effect. These data show that the activation of ventral tegmental mu, but not kappa, receptors interfere with cocaine reinforcement (81). Similarly, infusing the irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-FNA into either the NAc or the VTA attenuated systemic cocaine self-administration under a progressive ratio schedule (402). In a subsequent study by the same group, the delta receptor antagonist naltrindole 5'-isothiocyanate (5'-NTII) decreased systemic cocaine self-administration when microinjected into the NAc, but increased operant responding for the drug when injected into the VTA, and had no effect when injected into the AMG, indicating that delta receptors can modulate cocaine reinforcement in a different direction depending on the brain site (403). Finally, infusing the irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-FNA into the VTA (or the VP, see below), but not into the NAc, of trained rats, reduced rates of responding for a speedball to levels of responding for cocaine infusions, suggesting that heroin facilitates cocaine self-administration by attenuating feedback inhibition from the NAc to the VTA (235).

As for cocaine, pharmacological manipulation of opioid receptors in the VTA or NAc alters intravenous nicotine self-administration. In rats trained to self-administer nicotine, a high dose of the mu receptor agonist DAMGO into the VTA reduced operant responding for nicotine, with no effect on cocaine self-administration. The authors concluded that nicotine exerts its reinforcing properties by recruiting mu receptors in the VTA (82).

In conclusion, the data reviewed above demonstrate the contribution of mu and possibly delta receptors in the VTA and NAc to mediate the positive reinforcing properties of opiates. Moreover, receptor blockade in these two regions decreases conditioned responses for nonopioid drugs given peripherally, strongly suggesting that the reinforcing effects of such drugs involve local release of endogenous opioids.

B) VENTRAL PALLIDUM. In addition to its participation in food reinforcement, the VP contributes to drug reinforcement (88, 143, 157, 165). However, only a few studies have assessed the contribution of VP opioids to drug intake over the past decade. In one example, morphine injections into this region produced a CPP (278). Interestingly, naloxone injected into the VP produced CPA, an effect that was reproduced using the mu antagonist CTOP. These results suggest that mu receptors in the VP, activated by endogenous opioid peptides, modulate basal affective states (345).

Experimental evidence suggests that activation of opioid receptors in the VP contributes to systemic opiate reinforcement. Repeated intrapallidal morphine injections facilitated a CPP induced by a low dose of systemic morphine. Peripheral pretreatment with the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone or dopaminergic antagonists suppressed the CPP, indicating that the facilitatory effects of intra-VP morphine involve activation of mu and dopaminergic receptors (424).

Few studies have addressed a possible contribution of the pallidal opioid system to cocaine reinforcement. A CPP to cocaine was attenuated when intrapallidal naloxone was administered before the test session, at a dose that had no aversive properties, indicating that mu receptors in the VP are involved in mediating cocaine reinforcement (345). The mu receptor antagonist CTAP injected into the VP reduced reinstatement of drug seeking induced by a cocaine-priming injection (367). Conversely, intra-VP morphine reinstated operant behavior, an effect blocked by coinjection of CTAP, and facilitated by systemic cocaine administration. The authors conclude that cocaine seeking is modulated, in part, through corelease of enkephalins and GABA from NAc projections to the VP (367). Finally, β-FNA into the VP shifted the dose-response curve for a cocaine/heroin combination towards that maintained by cocaine alone. Thus pallidal mu-opioid receptors contribute to the facilitating effect of heroin on cocaine reinforcement (235). Taken together, these data provide strong evidence that the activation of mu receptors in the VP participates in mediating cocaine reinforcement. The possibility that the region also participates in the reinforcing properties of other drugs of abuse remains unexplored.

C) AMG AND EXTENDED AMG. Beyond a critical involvement in emotional learning, the AMG plays a key role in reward evaluation and appetitive conditioning (21, 158, 262, 327). Moreover, the CeA shares with the shell of the NAc and the BNST similar morphology, immunoreactivity, and connectivity. Together, these structures form the extended amygdala (6, 61, 97), which plays a key role in reinforcement (196, 198, 201, 334, 399).

The experimental evidence that the AMG is involved in opiate reinforcement is inconsistent (122, 312, 423). Mice self-administered morphine into the AMG (94). How-
ever, intra-amygdala (lateral nucleus) injections of morphine failed to produce CPP in rats (278). The direct rewarding or aversive effects of opioid receptor agonists and antagonists injected into the AMG have not been studied further; thus the role of the dense amygdalar opioid system in opiate reinforcement remains to be clarified. One study addressed the role of opioid receptors in the two other major components of the extended AMG, the shell of the NAc and BNST. The opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium dose-dependently suppressed heroin self-administration when injected into the BNST, whereas it had no effect in nondependent rats. The authors suggest that opioid receptors in the BNST participate to the reinforcing effects of opiates in dependent animals (396).

With regard to nonopioid reinforcement, some studies have explored the contribution of opioid peptide release in the AMG to the reinforcing properties of ethanol. Infusion of the opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium into the AMG decreased operant responding for ethanol solution in rats, at lower doses than did injections into the NAc (155). Moreover, microinjecting the delta receptor antagonist naltrindole into the NAc or the BLA reduced operant responding for an ethanol solution, whereas the mu receptor antagonist CTOP was effective only in the AMG (169). In line with these results, the opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone infused into the CeA, but not more dorsally into the CPu, reduced operant responding for ethanol in alcohol-prefering rats. Naltrexone also reduced sucrose-maintained responding when sucrose was presented alone, but not when sucrose was concurrently given with ethanol. These data indicate that opioid receptors within the CeA selectively modulate ethanol-maintained responding (118). Altogether, these studies suggest that mu and delta receptors in the AMG, and more precisely in the BLA and CeA, play an important role in modulating ethanol reinforcement.

D) OTHER, LESS STUDIED, STRUCTURES. The septum was the first brain region to be identified as a brain stimulation site in rats (271). However, the role of its two main divisions, the lateral septum (LS) and medial septum (MS), in reinforcement has not been much explored further (336). Rats self-administer morphine (357) and Met-enkephalin (358) into the septum. More recently, mice were reported to self-administer morphine into the LS and the MS, suggesting that both main septal divisions are involved in morphine reinforcement (66). Systemic pre-treatment with the opioid receptor antagonist nalorexone, the D1 DA receptor antagonist SCH-23390 or the D2 antagonist sulpiride prevented intra-LS morphine self-administration. This work indicates that intra-LS morphine self-administration depends on opioid and dopaminergic mechanisms (299). We are not aware of studies using local injections of opioid antagonists to evaluate the role of septal opioid receptors and peptides in mediating systemic opiate or nonopioid drug reinforcement.

The hippocampus has a critical role in learning and memory processes but is also implicated in motivation and drug reinforcement, through a direct interaction with the NAc (105, 154, 174, 335, 373). A few studies have addressed the role of the dorsal hippocampus in opiate reinforcement using local injections of morphine (reviewed in Refs. 244, 339). Altogether the data suggest that morphine injected into the CA3 and CA4/dentate gyrus, but not the CA1, of the dorsal hippocampus, produces direct reinforcing effects. Such effects were not further characterized during the last decade. Moreover, to our knowledge, the role of hippocampal opioid peptide release in systemic opiate or nonopioid drug reinforcement has not been investigated.

In addition to an essential involvement in food reinforcement, the hypothalamus has been proposed to modulate drug intake (103, 151, 281, 325). Rats self-administer morphine into the LH (273), which is a major brain site for electrical self-stimulation. Intra-LH morphine supports self-administration in mice (64, 65, 93). However, results from CPP studies are less consistent (reviewed in Ref. 244). Injection of the opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone into the LH interfered with intravenous heroin self-administration in rats, suggesting that opioid receptors in this structure contribute to opiate reinforcement (80). Unfortunately, no pharmacological manipulations of the LH opioid system have been performed since 1997, either to investigate the direct reinforcing effects of opioid receptor agonists or antagonists or to identify a role for LH opioid system in mediating nonopioid drug reinforcement. Opioids in the MPOA are of interest for their contribution to sexual reinforcement (see sect. nuA2). The peptide agonist d-Ala2-Met5-enkephalinamide (DALA) microinjected into the MPOA produced CPP in rats, suggesting that the release of opioid peptides in this region could represent one of the anatomical substrates for sexual reinforcement (1).

Electrophysiological, neuroimaging, and lesion studies have suggested that the medial region of the thalamus contributes to reinforcement (18, 124, 182, 243, 405). However, very little is known about the involvement of the abundant thalamic population of mu-opioid receptors in mediating drug reinforcement. Microinjections of the irreversible mu receptor antagonist β-FNA into the medial thalamus, prior to each conditioning session, blocked the acquisition of a CPP for morphine. In contrast, β-FNA infused after the last morphine conditioning session, and 23 h before the test, had no effect on a CPP. Thus mu-opioid receptors in the medial thalamus may play a role in the acquisition, but not the expression, of a morphine CPP (147).

In addition to well-characterized roles in defensive behavior and pain control, the periaqueductal gray (PAG)
Participates in drug reinforcement (48). Opiates injected into the PAG exert direct rewarding effects, inducing self-administration as well as a CPP (reviewed in Refs. 64, 244). Moreover, the nonselective opioid receptor antagonist naloxone injected into the PAG can suppress CPP to systemic morphine, indicating that opioid peptide release in this region is necessary for the expression of a morphine CPP (278). In contrast, high doses of morphine injected directly into the dorsal part of the PAG produced a CPA, an effect that was not blocked by previous microinjection of the mu receptor antagonist CTOP, but was significantly reduced by prior systemic treatment with the kappa receptor antagonist nor-BNI. Microinjecting CTOP alone, or the kappa receptor agonist U50488H, produced an aversion, whereas injections of Nor-BNI did not. These results suggest that blocking mu or activating kappa receptors in the PAG both produce a CPA (330).

Some experimental evidence suggests that the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus (PPTg) is involved in drug reinforcement (277) and more particularly in systemic opiate reinforcement (279), although local injection of morphine failed to produce a CPP in rats (278). Over the last decade, two studies have implicated the PPTg opioid system in cocaine and nicotine reinforcement. In trained rats, microinjection of the mu receptor agonist DAMGO reduced intravenous cocaine or nicotine self-administration under a fixed ratio schedule of reinforcement. The mu receptor antagonist CTOP did not affect self-administration, but reversed the effects of DAMGO when coadministered with it. These data demonstrate that mu receptors in the PPTg influence cocaine and nicotine reinforcement (81, 83).

2. Dependence

Dependence reflects the development of complex neuronal adaptations in response to repeated and/or prolonged drug administration. Dependence is revealed, when drug use ceases, by a complex withdrawal syndrome associating physical (or somatic) signs with an intensely aversive emotional state also called motivational withdrawal (199). In animal models of physical dependence, the experimenter scores various somatic signs after spontaneous (discontinuation of treatment) or precipitated (injection of a pharmacological antagonist) withdrawal of the drug (see, for example, Refs. 51, 225). Animal models of motivational withdrawal include withdrawal-induced disruption of a previously acquired operant task for food or a CPA after pairing of an environment with precipitated withdrawal (15, 361). Very few studies have combined these withdrawal models with an intracebral pharmacological approach to explore the role of opioids in dependence, and they all dealt with opiate drugs.

A) VTA AND NAC. Only weak somatic signs were scored after injecting opioid receptor antagonists into the VTA or NAc of morphine-dependent rats (69, 177), indicating that these regions play only a minor role in physical dependence to opiates. In contrast, intra-NAc and, to a lesser extent, intra-VTA injections of the opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium disrupted operant responding for food and induced a CPA in morphine-dependent rats (203, 361). These results indicate that opioid receptor inactivation in the NAc can reproduce the aversive stimulus effects of opiate withdrawal and designate opioids in the NAc as an important brain substrate for motivational aspects of dependence and aversive states (see also Refs. 59, 199).

B) EXTENDED AMG. The AMG is not a critical brain substrate for physical opiate dependence. Indeed, injecting opioid receptor antagonists into this structure produced only weak somatic signs of withdrawal in morphine-dependent rats (69, 177). Injections of methylnaloxonium into the amygdaloid complex produced a CPA in morphine-dependent rats at moderate doses, suggesting that opioids in this region can modulate motivational aspects of dependence to opiates (361). Despite a recent evidence for a role of the BNST in opiate dependence (14, 348), the contribution of local opioids in this structure to this phenomenon remains unexplored.

C) PAG. The PAG contributes to physical drug dependence (48, 54, 69). Bozarth and Wise (46) showed that repeated morphine injections into this structure produced somatic signs of withdrawal after challenge with a systemic opiate antagonist. This result was replicated in further studies using morphine (45) or the enkephalin analog [D-Ala²,Me₅]-enkephalaminamide (120). Moreover, opioid receptor antagonists trigger a severe physical withdrawal syndrome when injected into the PAG of adult or infant rats made dependent on morphine (reviewed in Ref. 69; see also Ref. 177). Altogether, these results indicate that opioids in the PAG participate in physical opiate dependence. In contrast, blocking opioid receptors in this structure by injecting locally methylnaloxonium had no effect on a previously acquired operant responding for food (203) or only produced a mild CPA (361) in morphine-dependent rats, suggesting that periaqueductal opioids play a limited role in the motivational effects of opiate withdrawal.

D) LOcus CoERULEus. The role of the locus coeruleus (LC) in physical opiate dependence is now questioned (55, 69, 98, 197, 224, 309). Some experimental evidence supports the idea that opioids in this region are recruited during physical withdrawal. Indeed, intra-LC injections of opioid receptor antagonists in rats made dependent on systemic morphine produced severe somatic signs of withdrawal, whereas chronic infusion of morphine into the LC induced physical dependence in rats (reviewed in Ref. 69).
E) OTHER STRUCTURES. A weak withdrawal syndrome was observed after injecting opioid receptor antagonists into various hypothalamic nuclei, the raphé magnus (RM) and spinal cord of morphine-dependent rats (69, 177), suggesting that opioid receptors in these regions may contribute to physical dependence to opiates. Injections of the opioid receptor antagonist methylnaloxonium into the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus failed to induce a CPA in morphine-dependent rats except at high doses, suggesting that opioids in this structure do not play a major role in the motivational effects of opiate dependence (361).

3. Conclusion

Data reviewed in the present section, together with previously published results, demonstrate that the endogenous opioid system plays a key role in drug reinforcement. Numerous brain regions, which express opioid receptors, have been identified as directly supporting the reinforcing effects of opioids. Most of these regions also contribute to systemic opioid or nonopioid drug reinforcement (see Fig. 4). The opioid system, however, is expressed more broadly, and mapping of opioid-sensitive brain sites involved in drug reinforcement remains incomplete.

As the likely consequence of a half century focus on DA-dependent mechanisms, most studies have narrowed their interest to the VTA and its main anatomical targets. These revealed the crucial contribution of opioids in these structures, but did not clarify opioid-DA interactions in the control of drug reinforcement. As mentioned in the introduction of this section, experimental evidence refutes a role for DA in hedonic processes per se (37, 58, 112, 291, 310, 326, 333, 391, 421). Taken together with data reviewed above and results from food reinforcement studies, these arguments lead us to propose that opioids mediate the hedonic response to drugs of abuse, whereas DA is preferentially involved in motivational and/or learning aspects of drug reinforcement. Hence, investigations of opioid-sensitive sites of reinforcement that are not direct targets of VTA DA neurons deserve further extension.

C. Perspectives: Extending Studies to Animal Models of Drug Addiction

Reinforcing properties of drugs are considered critical for the initiation of drug use but are insufficient to explain the maintenance of compulsive drug intake (201). At present, the exploration of opioid-sensitive brain sites has identified anatomical substrates for reinforced behaviors, as well as sites involved in acute physical and motivational withdrawal, but has not addressed endogenous opioids and receptors contributing to long-term neuroadaptations underlying drug abuse. New animal models of drug intake have recently emerged in an attempt to reproduce the behavioral criteria for addiction as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM IV; Ref. 13) and thus to show better face validity with human addiction. Among such criteria, the progressive increase in frequency and duration of drug use has successfully been mimicked in animals using the escalation model of drug intake (3, 4, 199). The difficulty in stopping or limiting drug use (compulsive drug intake) has been studied in animals using progressive-ratio or second-order schedules of reinforcement (12, 113, 280, 332). Craving for drug(s) persists over years after the cessation of drug taking and often leads to relapse, another feature of human addiction that was transposed to animals using protocols of drug reinstatement (111, 179, 181, 334). Models of resistance to punishment have provided a means to explore the persistence of drug seeking despite harmful consequences (109, 290, 392). Finally, multidimensional models take into account several hallmark characteristics of addiction to predict the development of addictive-like behavior in rats (33, 34, 100). Combined with local pharmacological manipulations, or refined genetic targeting approaches (see below), all of these animal models can be used to assess the role of opioids in the entire addiction cycle, from occasional use to dependence and relapse.

IV. GENETIC MANIPULATION OF THE OPIOID SYSTEM, REINFORCEMENT, AND DRUG DEPENDENCE

More recently, genetic approaches using knockout animals have confirmed a role for the opioid system in drug reinforcement and dependence. At present, available knockout lines produce a complete gene deletion throughout the body, and these genetic models provide no anatomical information on sites where receptors or peptides operate. Nonetheless, examination of these mutant mice has allowed unequivocal identification of the receptors or peptides involved in a number of behavioral responses. Opioid system knockout studies have previously been reviewed (128, 191), and major findings in the context of drug abuse are updated and summarized here.

A. Opioid Receptor Knockout Mice

1. Mu receptors

Recent studies have demonstrated an essential role of mu receptors in mediating natural rewards. Mu receptor knockout mice showed decreased motivation to eat (285) and diminished food-anticipatory behavior (180). In the context of social interactions, mu receptor knockout pups showed reduced maternal attachment in several behavioral paradigms (256).
Many more studies have addressed the role of mu receptors in drug reinforcement and dependence in these mutant mice. Mu opioid receptor knockout mice are insensitive to morphine, demonstrating that mu receptors are the primary molecular target for the prototypical opiate in vivo. Opiate reward was tested in several studies. Morphine (240, 253, 350) and heroin (71, 234) CPP as well as morphine self-administration (30) were abolished in the mu mutant. Furthermore, the reinforcing properties of nonopioid drugs of abuse are generally diminished in mu receptor knockout mice. In these animals, nicotine (35) and delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC; Ref. 133) induced CPP were undetectable, alcohol self-administration was abolished (31, 317), and ethanol consumption was decreased (31, 317). At present, the role of mu receptors in psychostimulant reinforcement is unclear, in that a cocaine CPP was unchanged (71), increased (31), or decreased (148). However, cocaine self-administration was reduced (239), suggesting that mu receptors also contribute to cocaine reward. Finally, a CPP to 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) was unchanged (318). In sum, the data reveal that mu receptors mediate the rewarding properties of most drugs of abuse and therefore represent a key molecular switch for the initiation of addictive behaviors (see Ref. 72). Interestingly, and relevant to drug abuse, mu receptor knockout mice showed decreased motor impulsivity, suggesting for the first time a facilitatory role of mu receptors in disinhibition (276).

Mu receptor knockout mice also show reduced adaptive responses following chronic exposure to drugs of abuse. Nicotine withdrawal was reduced (35) and handle-induced convulsions induced by chronic exposure to ethanol vapors were decreased (132) in the mu receptor knockout mice. Furthermore, THC withdrawal was reduced in single mu (218) and double mu-delta knockout mice (62). Mu receptors, therefore, also contribute to long-term neuroadaptations to nonopioid drugs of abuse.

2. Delta receptors

The analysis of delta receptor knockout animals appeared highly interesting, in that behavioral phenotypes often differ or even oppose phenotypes of mu receptor knockout animals. Delta receptor mutants showed increased anxiety levels and a depressive-like behavior (116). Directly relevant to drug abuse, delta receptor knockout mice showed increased ethanol self-administration, and ethanol intake reduced the innate high-anxiety levels in these animals (316). There was no detectable change in a THC CPP (133). Morphine CPP was reduced (68). Finally, delta receptor knockout mice showed increased motor impulsivity, suggesting a facilitatory role of delta receptor activity on inhibitory controls (276). Altogether, the data suggest that delta receptors regulate emotional behaviors, drug reinforcement, and impulsivity in a unique way that influences the development of addictive behaviors differently from mu receptors. At present, and in contrast to mu receptors, the direct implication of delta receptors in hedonic control has not been demonstrated.

3. Kappa receptors

Pharmacological studies have long shown that kappa receptor activation is aversive in animal models. Kappa receptors have been proposed to oppose mu receptors in the regulation of hedonic homeostasis (351) and potentially show hallucinogenic activity, as revealed by pharmacological effects of Salvinorin A (see Ref. 417). The notion that kappa receptor activity is aversive, and negatively modulates reward, was strengthened by a number of studies using kappa receptor knockout mice. Deletion of the kappa receptor gene did not modify a morphine CPP (343) but abolished aversive effects of the kappa receptor agonist U,50488H in a CPA paradigm (343) and enhanced a THC CPP (133). In contrast, kappa receptor knockout mutants showed reduced ethanol CPP (204). Finally, kappa receptor knockout mice showed potentiated cocaine CPP induced by stress (249), consistent with the notion that kappa receptors also counteract reward processes under stressful conditions.

B. Opioid Peptide Knockout Mice

1. Penk and POMC

Penk and β-endorphin knockout mice have often been examined in parallel studies. Endogenous enkephalin activity has long been proposed to positively regulate hedonic homeostasis (341). In accordance with this notion, a naloxone-induced reduction in sucrose consumption was intact in β-endorphin knockout mice but absent in Penk knockout mice and double Penk β-endorphin knockout mice (153). These data suggest that naloxone reduces sucrose intake in wild-type animals by inhibiting enkephalin (and/or dynorphin, see below), but not β-endorphin, signaling. Moreover, Penk knockout mice, and not β-endorphin knockout mice, failed to show an aversion to naloxone (344). A CPA to a kappa receptor agonist (344) and a CPP to morphine (233, 268, 344) were preserved, indicating that associative learning is not altered in Penk knockout mice (344). These results suggest that the aversive properties of naloxone result from the blockade of an endogenous enkephalinergic tone. A CPP to morphine was normal in β-endorphin and double Penk β-endorphin knockout mice, showing that opiate reinforcement is not modified when these peptides are absent (344).

Recent alcohol studies failed to show any phenotype in Penk and β-endorphin mutants. The animals showed no
change in baseline ethanol preference or CPP (194, 305). Both Penk and β-endorphin mutants learned to self-administer ethanol (152), and basal sucrose consumption was unaltered in the two mutants (152, 153). In contrast, stress experiments distinguished the two lines. Stress-induced ethanol consumption was reduced in β-endorphin but not Penk mutant mice, confirming the reported role of β-endorphin in stress responses (305).

Nicotine effects were investigated in Penk knockout mice only. A nicotine CPP was absent in the knockout mice, and nicotine withdrawal was attenuated, demonstrating altogether that Penk-derived peptides contribute to nicotine responses (36).

2. Prodynorphin

Pdyn knockout mice showed unaltered sucrose consumption under basal conditions but were insensitive to the suppressive effect of naloxone on this consumption. This last result suggests that naloxone decreases sucrose intake in wild-type mice by blocking dynorphin (and/or enkephalin, see above) signaling (153). Pdyn knockout mice displayed lower sensitivity to nicotine reinforcement, in accordance with the proposed role of kappa systems in negative reinforcement. Nicotine produced a normal CPP in the Pdyn mutant, but the percent acquisition of intravenous nicotine self-administration was shifted to the left, indicating enhanced nicotine reward in these animals (123). In addition, Pdyn mutant and kappa receptor knockout mice were impaired in the potentiation of a cocaine CPP triggered by stress, suggesting a connection between stress and drug abuse via the endogenous kappa opioid system (250).

Finally, as for Penk and β-endorphin knockout mutants, several responses to alcohol were unchanged, including acute ethanol withdrawal, ethanol-induced CPP, and conditioned taste aversion to ethanol (40).

C. Conclusion

Altogether, the analysis of knockout mice clarified the role of each opioid receptor in a large number of behavioral responses and has identified highly distinct activity patterns for each receptor. Relevant to drug intake, genetic data demonstrate that mu receptors contribute to the reinforcing properties of most drugs of abuse, whereas kappa receptors induce dysphoria and counteract mu receptors in regulating hedonic homeostasis. With regard to other aspects of addictive behaviors, the data show a role for mu receptors in drug dependence, for kappa receptors in stress-induced drug intake, and for delta receptors in emotional control. Data from opioid peptide knockout mice show milder phenotypes. As an example, no phenotype in alcohol response was detected for any peptide mutant line, while all three receptor mutants show significant and distinct responses to alcohol. Data from peptide knockout mice implicate Penk in hedonic control and reveal β-endorphin and Pdyn activities in experimental situations involving stress. At present, none of the phenotypes from peptide knockout animals overlaps with those of receptor mutant mice. This may be due to technical difficulties in comparing different knockout lines under identical experimental conditions. Also, it is likely that the potential Penk/mu-delta, POMC/mu, and Pdyn/kappa partnerships suggested by pharmacological in vitro analysis and distribution studies (see sect. i) operate in some parts of the neural circuitry but are undetectable in integrated behavioral responses. Alternatively, redundancy in opioid peptide activities may minimize the effects of genetic inactivation of a single gene.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that the deletion of opioid peptide genes in mice is the only available approach to identifying the opioid peptide function, which is difficult to address by biochemical techniques and cannot be examined by pharmacological methods that manipulate receptors only.

D. Perspectives: Towards Genetic Manipulation of the Opioid System in Targeted Neuronal Populations

In the future, conditional gene knockout will be achieved for genes of the opioid system. These novel tools are being developed to limit the genetic inactivation in space and/or time. Regionally controlled knockout lines will allow us to identify neural sites where defined receptor protein or peptide populations operate within addiction circuits. Importantly, the use of transgenic Cre lines will permit targeting of opioid receptor or peptide genes within selected neuronal populations, such as GABAergic, or aminergic neurons, a goal which cannot be achieved by local pharmacological or viral approaches. The latter methodology will provide invaluable insights into opioid-mediated mechanisms of drug abuse at molecular and cellular levels. Finally, inducible gene knockout will allow the temporal control of gene deletions and reveal critical time periods for opioid system intervention as addiction develops.

V. MODIFICATIONS OF OPIOID SYSTEM GENE EXPRESSION UNDER CHRONIC DRUG EXPOSURE

At present, anatomical studies combined with local pharmacological manipulations have mainly addressed sites of opioid-mediated reinforcement (see sects. ii and iii). Drugs of abuse produce reinforcement after a single or limited drug exposure. This process does not necessarily lead to the development of addictive behaviors and
is therefore considered a necessary, although not sufficient, step towards drug abuse. In contrast, chronic exposure to drugs of abuse, particularly repeated exposure-withdrawal cycles, produces broad long-term and likely irreversible modifications within reinforcement networks, as well as in associated circuits controlling cognitive and emotional behaviors. These modifications, in turn, contribute to the transition from recreational drug use to compulsive drug taking and abuse (199, 360). A vast amount of literature now indicates that the opioid system is altered following chronic drug exposure, and at many brain sites, and data are reviewed in this section with a focus on adaptations at the transcriptional level.

Recent research has developed large-scale gene profiling approaches to investigate genetic regulations involved in the development of addiction that could lead to loss of control and relapse. Transcriptome studies represent a rapidly moving field of research and have recently been reviewed elsewhere (8, 216, 245, 304, 313, 419). In the present review, we have focused on genes encoding opioid receptors and peptides. Two experimental situations have been investigated, a drug-dependent state where animals are repeatedly exposed to drugs of abuse and a drug-withdrawn state where drug levels decrease in dependent animals, either due to termination of drug administration or precipitated by antagonist treatment. Data from these experiments are reviewed below. Regulations of opioid peptide genes, which appear to be better detected than those of receptor genes, are summarized in Figure 5.

A. Opioid Receptor mRNA Levels

1. Mu receptors

A) MORPHINE. Mu receptors have been the focus of most studies. Surprisingly, only few studies have reported changes in mu receptor gene expression after chronic morphine treatment. An upregulation of mu receptor transcripts was observed in the mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH; Ref. 53), and a downregulation was detected in the NAc, CPu, and PAG (368). Otherwise, most studies failed to detect changes in mu receptor gene expression in a variety of brain regions, including the NAc, CPu, PAG, LH, AMG, POA, RM, hypothalamus (HPT), sensorimotor cortex, and septum (49, 52, 63, 322, 426). The many negative results suggest that chronic stimulation of mu receptors by morphine does not necessarily modify receptor mRNA levels.

Only two studies investigated the regulations of mu receptor mRNA in morphine withdrawal. An increase in mu receptor gene transcription in the NAc, CPu, and LH was reported within 12 h following cessation of morphine injections (426). No modification of mu receptor mRNA levels was detected either in spontaneous (48 h abstinence) or naltrexone-induced withdrawal (63).

B) OTHER DRUGS. Several studies have used animal models in the search for genetic regulations triggered by excessive ethanol consumption (for recent reviews, see Refs. 85, 108, 156, 195). In some studies, levels of mu receptor mRNA were assessed before or after ethanol consumption in mice and rats selected on the basis of ethanol preference. Basal levels of mu receptor transcripts were similar between preferring and nonpreferring strains in the HPT and striatum (52, 141, 411) as well as in the NAc, hippocampus (HPC), frontal cortex (FrCx), but not inferior colliculus, where higher levels were observed in alcohol-prefering animals (141). Following chronic ethanol, mu receptor transcripts were unchanged in the striatum of both strains (337, 411), but decreased in the HPT, an effect still measurable up to 3 wk after cessation of ethanol consumption, specifically in the alcohol-prefering animals (411).

Many different modalities of chronic cocaine or psychostimulant treatment have been used to investigate the regulation of opioid receptor expression. Generally, literature in the field suggests an increase in mu receptor gene expression in several brain structures. Levels of mu receptor mRNA were increased in the NAc and rostral cingulate cortex after chronic exposure to cocaine or after cocaine CPP, whereas no change was detected in the CPu (16, 210, 351, 397). One report showed no change in mu receptor transcripts in both dorsal and ventral striatal areas following a cocaine binge (18). Chronic exposure to amphetamine increased mu receptor gene expression in the rostral CPu and decreased the expression in the shell of the NAc (393). In the FrCx, mRNA levels for mu receptors were increased or not modified depending on the...
been investigated. Ther chronic cannabinoids or nicotine treatment have not modifications of delta receptor gene expression following either the shell of the NAc following withdrawal of FrCx persists under a withdrawal state. Interestingly, 3 wk after the cessation of ethanol consumption, delta receptor transcripts were increased in the striatum of alcohol-avoiding but not -preferring mice (411). No modification of the expression level of delta receptors was observed in the NAc and olfactory bulbs after chronic cocaine (17). As for mu receptors, delta receptor mRNA levels under basal conditions were similar in the striatum of both alcohol-preferring and alcohol-avoiding strains of mice (411). Chronic exposure to ethanol did not change delta transcripts in the striatum (337, 411) or HPT (411) of these animals. Interestingly, 3 wk after the cessation of ethanol consumption, delta receptor transcripts were increased in the striatum of alcohol-avoiding but not -preferring mice (411). No modification of the expression level of delta receptors was observed in the NAc and olfactory bulbs after chronic cocaine (17). As for mu receptors, delta receptor transcripts were increased in the VTA but not the shell of the NAc following withdrawal from chronic amphetamine (222). To our knowledge, regulations of delta receptor gene expression following either chronic cannabinoids or nicotine treatment have not been investigated.

3. Kappa receptors

A) OPIATES. Kappa receptor gene expression was downregulated in the NAc, striatum, and PAG (368) and upregulated in the MBH (53) following chronic morphine. Chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of the kappa receptor agonist butorphanol increased levels of kappa receptor transcripts in various brain areas including the cerebral cortex, striatum, Th, HPC, and pons (366).

B) OTHER DRUGS. Kappa receptor expression was most often downregulated after chronic treatment with ethanol or cocaine, while no data are available with respect to nicotine and cannabinoid exposure. A downregulation of kappa receptor transcription was observed in the VTA and NAc following chronic ethanol (323). Regarding cocaine administration, results appear more contradictory, with no change of kappa receptor transcripts in the NAc and CPu following repeated cocaine (352, 381) and decreased kappa receptor expression in the NAc and VTA when cocaine was administered alone or in combination with ethanol (323). Levels of kappa receptor transcripts were decreased in the substantia nigra (SN) under chronic binge cocaine (352, 354), but not after withdrawal (352).

B. Opioid Peptide mRNA Levels

The effect of chronic exposure to drugs of abuse on opioid peptide gene expression has been broadly investigated. Regulations of Penk, Pdyn, and POMC transcripts under chronic drug exposure or in withdrawal states are illustrated in Figure 5 for morphine, ethanol, cannabinoids, cocaine, and nicotine. Levels of Penk and Pdyn transcripts were investigated in many areas of the brain and under several drugs of abuse. In contrast, POMC expression has been less well studied, probably due to the restricted distribution of this opioid peptide in the brain.

I. Proenkephalin

A) MORPHINE. Changes in Penk mRNA following chronic morphine have been widely examined in the NAc and CPu where the transcript is highly expressed (see Fig. 1B), and most studies consistently report a downregulation (26, 130, 372, 375, 380). Decreased expression following chronic morphine was also described in other brain areas, like the HPT (PVN, 149), FrCx, (26), medulla oblongata (MO), and nucleus paragigantocellularis (PGi; Ref. 384). However, increased levels of Penk mRNA were detected in the HPC (26), whole cortex, and spinal cord (145). Regulations of Penk expression were compared in Lewis and Fisher rat strains, the former displaying higher rates of morphine self-administration than the latter. Lewis rats displayed lower basal levels of Penk mRNA than Fisher rats in the CPu and NAc. After morphine
self-administration, Penk expression was reduced in the CPu, Tu, and piriform cortex (Pir) of Lewis rats and increased in the core of the NAc of Fisher rats (328). Penk transcription was decreased under morphine withdrawal in the CPu (130, 380), NAc (375), pons, and spinal cord (145). In contrast, increased levels of Penk mRNA were observed in the striatum (145) and HPT (145, 219). Interestingly, depending on how withdrawal was induced, spontaneously or by injecting an opioid antagonist, a decrease or no change in Penk expression was measured in the rostral PAG. Both withdrawal paradigms, however, induced Penk expression in the caudal PAG (120, 121).

B) OTHER DRUGS. As for chronic morphine treatment, chronic ethanol reduced Penk messenger levels in the whole striatum (84, 274), Pir (274), and Tu (84, 274). Within the HPT, Penk mRNA expression was decreased in the VMH (274) and increased in the PVN (67, 274). Chronic free-choice ethanol consumption in rats increased Penk transcripts within the CeA and intercalated nuclei of the AMG (84). Noteworthy, two studies reported no change in Penk expression in the CPu after chronic ethanol exposure (238, 303).

As opposed to morphine and ethanol exposure, chronic administration of cannabinoid agonists (THC, CP-55,940, or R-methanandamide) increased levels of Penk mRNA in the NAc and CPu (78, 110, 223, 231, 275), Tu and Pir (275), HPT (both PVN and VMH; Refs. 76, 77, 231), as well as in the mammillary area and PAG (231). However, no change in Penk mRNA levels was detected in the CPu, NAc (231), and spinal cord (74). Following cannabinoid withdrawal, Penk expression was upregulated in the CPu, NAc, Tu, and Pir and returned to normal 14 days following cessation of drug (275).

Many different paradigms of drug administration were used to study the regulation of Penk expression after chronic cocaine exposure, with variable results. Several studies reported increased Penk mRNA levels in the CPu (299, 354, 359, 363) and NAc (167, 237, 290). Other investigations showed no change in Penk expression in the CPu (18, 91, 237, 352, 429), NAc (7, 429), cortex (90), FrCx (18), and CeA of AMG (376, 429). Spontaneous withdrawal from chronic cocaine was associated with decreased Penk transcription in the CPu and NAc (299), VMN (86), and CeA (86), although no effect on Penk gene regulation in the CPu (11, 18, 352, 363), NAc (11), or FrCx (18) was reported. Increased levels of Penk mRNA in the CPu, NAc, Tu, and Pir were observed in animals abstinent from cocaine self-administration (86). As for cocaine, chronic amphetamine exposure produced variable results on Penk expression depending on the brain area examined, with increased Penk mRNA levels in the FrCx (257) and decreased Penk expression in the CeA (376) as well as anterior medial CPu (257).

The effect of chronic nicotine exposure on Penk expression has been poorly investigated, and results appear controversial. Penk mRNA level was increased (172, 238), not changed (161), or decreased (162) in the CPu and NAc following chronic nicotine administration. In other brain areas, Penk transcription was either upregulated (HPC, 162) or unchanged (HPC and HPT; Ref. 161). Withdrawal from chronic nicotine was associated with increased Penk transcription in the CPu (162, 172) and HPC (162). When 2 wk of nicotine treatment was followed by 16 h of abstinence, a challenge dose of nicotine did not modify levels of Penk transcripts in the CPu and NAc (238).

2. POMC

Studies examining the regulation of POMC expression after chronic drug exposure are restricted to the hypothalamic region and the pituitary. To our knowledge, modulations of POMC transcription in the NTS have not been assessed.

A) MORPHINE. Repeated morphine administration decreased POMC expression in the MBH (53, 404) and Arc (50, 115, 125, 254, 255). Levels of POMC mRNA were not modified after morphine withdrawal in the MBH (404) and Arc (125, 163). Decreased levels of POMC transcripts were observed in the HPT when withdrawal was precipitated by naltrexone, whereas no regulation was detected following spontaneous withdrawal (255).

Chronic morphine administration increased (160) or did not modify (219) levels of POMC transcripts in the AL of the pituitary gland and decreased POMC transcription in the IL (160). Increased POMC expression was reported in the pituitary gland after morphine withdrawal (219).

B) OTHER DRUGS. Levels of POMC transcripts in the MBH decreased following chronic ethanol administration and increased 3 wk after gradual removal of ethanol (308). Chronic cannabinoid agonists (THC or CP-55,940) increased levels of POMC transcripts in the Arc (75, 77, 275), and this increase lasted up to 14 days following cessation of drug (275). POMC gene expression was not changed in the HPT following either a cocaine CPP protocol (210) or a 2-wk chronic binge cocaine treatment (427). No further change was observed after a short period of withdrawal (427). Following chronic nicotine, POMC mRNA levels were either increased (164) or unchanged (161) in the Arc, as well as decreased in the MBH (306). The downregulation of POMC gene transcription was still observed after 21 days of spontaneous withdrawal from nicotine (306).

In the AL of the pituitary, POMC mRNA levels were not changed after chronic cannabinoid (75) and alcohol (301, 307) exposure and increased after chronic nicotine (161). Increased levels of POMC transcripts were detected in the anterior pituitary following cocaine CPP (210), but no change after a 2-wk binge treatment (427). Alcohol withdrawal decreased (307) or did not change.
(301) POMC mRNA in the anterior pituitary. In the IL, POMC expression was decreased after chronic alcohol (301) and chronic nicotine (161).

### 3. Prodynorphin

#### A) OPIATES

Repeated morphine exposure decreased Pdyn or Dyn expression in the CPu and NAc in several studies (56, 130, 302, 372). An upregulation in these two regions (375) or no change (406) was also reported. Decreased Pdyn mRNA levels were observed in the HPC (56) and HPT (26, 56). Chronic treatment with kappa receptor agonists (U-69593 or U-50,488H) consistently decreased levels of Pdyn mRNA in the CPu, HPC, and FrCx (70, 321).

In the HPT, Pdyn expression was either downregulated (321) or upregulated (70).

Decreased Dyn gene expression was observed in the CPu and NAc after repeated morphine administration. Dyn mRNA levels were still down 24 h after cessation of drug treatment and went back to control levels after 3 days (130). Other studies have shown opposite effects, with increased Pdyn transcription in these areas following spontaneous morphine withdrawal (302, 375). Different regulations of Pdyn expression in the CPu and rostral NAc were reported after morphine withdrawal depending on how the drug was administered. Indeed, Pdyn transcription was augmented following withdrawal from chronic intermittent injections, and unchanged following cessation of an escalating treatment (372). An increase in Pdyn expression was detected within the CPu, HPT, and FrCx after withdrawal from chronic treatment with the kappa receptor agonist U-69593 (70).

#### B) OTHER DRUGS

Several studies have assessed the effects of chronic exposure to nonopioid drugs, with the exception of cannabinoids, on Pdyn transcription.

Pdyn transcription after chronic exposure to ethanol was increased in the HPT (67, 146), decreased in the HPC (300), and unchanged in the CPu and NAc (84, 237), Tu (84), and anterior pituitary (301). Withdrawal from ethanol induced an increase in Pdyn messenger in the CPu (27), Tu (27), and NAc (27, 303), and mRNA levels went back to control levels after 96 h (303). The decrease in hippocampal Pdyn transcription measured after chronic ethanol was also following 2 days of spontaneous withdrawal (300). Levels of Pdyn mRNA were also downregulated in the anterior pituitary (301). No change in Pdyn gene expression was detected in the Pir after ethanol withdrawal (27).

Pdyn transcription was increased in the CPu after repeated cocaine exposure (18, 91, 92, 167, 320, 352–354, 359, 363, 406, 420) and cocaine self-administration (429). In the NAc, changes in Pdyn expression are less consistent. Pdyn expression increased (237) or did not change (7) after repeated cocaine injections. An increase in Pdyn expression was observed following a cocaine binge as well as repeated amphetamine injections (376). Hence, chronic exposure to psychostimulants, but not morphine, induced Pdyn transcription in the striatal area. In a study comparing the effects of extensive running (as a natural reinforcer) with those of cocaine or morphine exposure, Pdyn mRNA increased within the CPu after both cocaine and running and did not change after morphine (406).

Levels of Pdyn transcripts after chronic cocaine or amphetamine were increased in the dentate gyrus of the HPC (376). In contrast, no change in hippocampal Pdyn transcription was detected following chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of cocaine (320) or repeated exposure to methamphetamine (319). Chronic methamphetamine treatment increased the levels of Pdyn transcripts in the HPT (319), while intracerebroventricular cocaine (320) or cocaine CPP (428) decreased hypothalamic Pdyn expression. Cocaine binge did not modify Pdyn messengers in the HPT (420, 428). Chronic amphetamine (376) but not cocaine (376, 420) induced Pdyn expression in the AMG. In a protocol of cocaine self-administration, no modification of Pdyn mRNA was observed in the CeA (429). Cocaine exposure did not change Pdyn transcription in the FrCx (420) or whole cortex, except at a high dose (90). Withdrawal from cocaine either decreased (363), increased (18), or did not change (352) levels of Pdyn mRNA in the CPu. Withdrawal from amphetamine induced an increase in Pdyn in both CPu and NAc (377).

Short-term withdrawal from chronic escalating doses of cocaine increased Pdyn expression in the LH (428). Finally, increased levels of Pdyn transcripts in the dentate gyrus of the HPC were still detected after early withdrawal from amphetamine (376).

As for Penk, results obtained for Pdyn mRNA levels following chronic nicotine appear variable, with either no change (161, 238) or increased levels (173) in the CPu. High levels of Pdyn transcripts in the CPu could be detected after nicotine withdrawal (173). A decrease in Pdyn expression was observed in the ventral shell of the NAc after repeated nicotine injections (237). Pdyn transcripts were also increased in the HPT and unchanged in the HPC following chronic nicotine treatment (161).

### C. Conclusion

Gene expression studies have revealed adaptive modifications of the opioid system in response to repeated drug treatments and following cessation of drug treatment. Data from withdrawn animals are variable, likely due to the diversity of withdrawal conditions and the dynamic nature of the process. In contrast, a picture emerges from studies of animals under chronic drug treatment. Both repeated morphine and alcohol administration produce consistent downregulation of opioid peptides in many brain areas, whereas chronic psychostimulants up-
regulate those genes. This observation reflects the possibility that distinct classes of drugs of abuse produce opposing neuroadaptations of endogenous opioids. Chronic narcotic (opioids) or sedative (ethanol) drugs may repress the endogenous opioid tone as a result of repeated activation of this system, whereas psychostimulants may activate the opioid system as a feedback mechanism counteracting the stimulant properties of the drugs. This is, however, highly speculative, and more data are needed to substantiate this hypothesis. Data from cannabinoid and nicotine studies are presently too scarce to be discussed in this context.

D. Perspectives: Adaptations of Opioidergic Systems, Significance, and Implications

The significance of opioid system regulations to drug addiction remains an open question. Natural genetic variations have been proposed to modulate susceptibility to addiction (379). Variation in expression levels of opioid receptors and peptides across individuals may also play a role. Some genetic studies support this view (note that this entire research field was not reviewed here). Similarly, it has been proposed that repeated exposure to drugs of abuse dysregulates the endogenous opioid system and that these modifications in turn contribute to drug craving, seeking, and relapse (186, 200, 324). The data show changes in the expression of both receptors and peptides in drug-dependent and withdrawn animals. However, the causal relationship between those changes and the development of addictive behaviors is presently unclear. Although genetic manipulations of the opioid system have established that each component of the opioid system regulates some aspects of drug abuse (see sect. iv), local or neuron-targeted gene knockout and knock-down approaches will be necessary to understand how specific regulations trigger adaptations leading to compulsive drug use.

Finally, transcriptome studies allow exploration of genetic regulation on a genome-wide basis. Regulations of opioid peptide and receptor genes are likely accompanied by other molecular adaptations that occur at pre- and postsynaptic levels, respectively, and reflect coordinated adaptive changes at both circuit and integrated levels. Today the availability of reporter transgenic mice expressing fluorescently labeled neuronal populations (142), combined with advanced cell sorting and gene hybridization techniques, permit extensive gene profiling in sets of defined neurons. Future experiments will undoubtedly describe regulatory signaling networks at the level of opioidergic neurons and their targets (32) and provide a comprehensive view of opioid-associated adaptations at the systems level.

VI. GENERAL CONCLUSION

Opioid receptors and peptides are strongly expressed in reinforcement circuits in the mammalian brain. In this review we have focused specifically on rodent models that allow extensive experimental manipulations, hence the exploration of molecular and cellular mechanisms of addiction. Pharmacological activation or blockade of opioid receptor activity at several sites of the brain reinforcement network has demonstrated a role for these receptors in hedonic evaluation of natural rewards, as well as in reinforcing properties of drugs of abuse. Genetic inactivation of the six genes encoding opioid receptors and peptides has produced a large variety of reward-relevant behavioral phenotypes. Together, pharmacological and genetic studies have definitely established a role for the opioid system in behavioral responses and adaptations to most drugs of abuse and highlighted the distinct implication of each component of the system in drug reward and dependence. However, pharmacological studies have not established the molecular nature of the receptors and peptides involved, and genetic studies have provided no anatomical information. Expression studies have revealed modifications in levels of opioid receptor and peptide transcripts following chronic exposure to drugs of abuse, at several brain sites and mainly in the reinforcement circuit. Although these data are suggestive of a role for gene regulation in the development of addiction, the correlative nature of this approach does not examine the causal link between changes in gene expression and behavioral adaptations to drugs of abuse.

Ultimately, and although opioid system function is considered an old research field, many aspects of opioid-controlled behaviors deserve further clarification. Advanced cellular and molecular approaches to studying neurotransmitter systems in vivo, as well as imaging techniques in rodents, have emerged and provide valuable information on this topic. Future studies will focus on specific neuronal populations within brain circuits. Refined genetic targeting approaches (127) combined with receptor imaging (331) and pharmacology should conclusively identify neuroanatomical localization of genetically defined key opioid peptides and receptor populations that contribute to the development of behavioral adaptations. Furthermore, the use of innovative animal models of addiction will clarify the implications of opioid receptors and peptides in human addictive disorders (201, 329). Finally, large-scale transcriptome approaches in selected neurons, combined with epigenetic studies (311), should broaden the analysis of adaptations of opioidergic circuits to drugs of abuse.

Opiates have been used for thousands of years and abused for almost two centuries. Recently, opiate therapies have been developed to treat heroin and alcohol addiction (see Refs. 206, 283). Data from rodent models...
have provided invaluable insights into cellular and molecular mechanisms of opioid-mediated mechanisms of hedonic homeostasis and drug abuse. Rodent-based approaches will further the development of testable hypotheses driven by noninvasive imaging (119) and gene association studies (170, 205, 242) in humans and likely lead to the use of opioid receptors as targets in novel therapeutic strategies for treating addiction, or developing opiate drugs to treat other psychiatric disorders.
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