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McCaig, Colin D., Ann M. Rajnicek, Bing Song, and Min Zhao. Controlling Cell Behavior Electrically: Current Views and Future Potential. Physiol Rev 85: 943-978, 2005; doi:10.1152/physrev.00020.2004.—Direct-current (DC) electric fields are present in all developing and regenerating animal tissues, yet their existence and potential impact on tissue repair and development are largely ignored. This is primarily due to ignorance of the phenomenon by most researchers, some technically poor early studies of the effects of applied fields on cells, and widespread misunderstanding of the fundamental concepts that underlie bioelectricity. This review aims to resolve these issues by describing: 1) the historical context of bioelectricity, 2) the fundamental principles of physics and physiology responsible for DC electric fields within cells and tissues, 3) the cellular mechanisms for the effects of small electric fields on cell behavior, and 4) the clinical potential for electric field treatment of damaged tissues such as epithelia and the nervous system.

I. INTRODUCTION

This review discusses the existence of DC electrical gradients of voltage within tissues (endogenous electrical fields), how cells respond to these gradients, and their role in development and in tissue repair. Because these steady, extracellular voltage gradients differ from the type of fast, transmembrane-associated electrical events familiar to present-day electrophysiologists, we open with a historical background that traces their parallel origins and highlights mechanistic similarities and differences.

II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

A. The Common Origins of Electrophysiology and Bioelectricity

In the mid-1700s, the ability to store and discharge static electricity from a Leyden jar was discovered and was used to demonstrate the effects of delivering strong electrical shocks to people. Vanable (198), quoting Hoff (79), recounts that L’Abbe Jean-Antoine Nollet caused 180 of the King’s guards to leap simultaneously by having them all hold hands and then connecting the man at the
end of the line to the discharge from a Leyden jar. The
King was greatly amused! This public party-trick was
repeated with the whole population of a Carthusian mon-
estery, which strung out a mile’s worth of humanity that
leaped in concert on receiving the charge.

Around the same time, the importance of the electrical
control of cell physiology was becoming apparent
from the famous experiments of Galvani (Fig. 1A) (70,
159, 160). His epic work on frog nerve-muscle prepa-

ration included the use of lightning rods connected to
nerves via wires, which caused leg muscles to twitch
during a lightning storm. Similarly, static electricity
generators creating sparks that activated nerve conduc-
tion also caused muscle twitching. Equally important
was his observation during a public experiment in Bo-
loggna in 1794 that the cut end of a frog sciatic nerve
from one leg stimulated contractions when it touched
the muscles of the opposite leg. Collectively, these ex-
periments provided definitive evidence for “animal elec-
tricity.” In addition, with this last experiment, Galvani
had demonstrated the existence of the injury potential
(Fig. 1A).

1. Injury potentials and action potentials

An injury potential is a steady, long-lasting direct-
current (DC) voltage gradient induced within the extra-
cellular and intracellular spaces by current flowing into
and around an injured nerve. Its discovery predated the
discovery of the better known action potential, which is a
rapid, self-regenerating voltage change localized across
the cell membrane. In 1831, Matteucci extended Galvani’s
observations by measuring injury potentials directly at
the cut end of nerves and muscles using a galvanometer
(named after Galvani). Ingeniously, he used the injury
potential of damaged frog muscle to demonstrate the
existence of action potentials in nerve and muscle for the
first time. By placing a cut nerve into an injured muscle
(Fig. 1A), he showed that the latter activated the nerve
and caused contraction of the muscle innervated by that
nerve. He showed also that muscles made to contract in
this way would activate contractions in a second frog
muscle preparation, whose intact nerves were placed
across the belly of the twitching muscles. The action
potentials of the uninjured muscle fibers had stimulated
action potentials in the intact nerves and intact muscles of
the second preparation. In the intervening two centuries,
the relative importance of injury potentials and action
potentials has shifted markedly. Action potentials are cen-
tral in neuroscience and electrophysiology, but injury
potentials are frequently not recognized, are neglected, or
are grossly misunderstood.

2. Injury potentials and nerve regeneration

Part of this review will discuss the role of endoge-
 nous and applied electrical fields (EFs) in stimulating and
directing nerve growth and nerve regeneration. It is im-
portant and instructive to draw a clear historical link.
Recent work in this area begins with experiments that are
direct descendants of Galvani’s work on injury potentials.
Injury currents, like those discovered by Galvani, have
been measured entering the cut ends of Mauthner and
Muller axons in embryonic lamprey spinal cord (Fig. 1B)
(22). These currents are of the order of 100 μA/cm², and
because the resistivity of soft tissues is ~1,000 Ω · cm,
they give rise to steady voltage gradients of ~10 mV/mm.
The hypothesis that the injury potential these currents
establish in the distal ends of cut axons might impede
regeneration has been tested. So has the proposal that
applying a DC EF to offset and reverse the polarity of this
injury potential would promote regeneration (24). Both
have proven correct. In the intervening 20 years, this work
has progressed to human clinical trials using applied DC
EFs to treat human spinal cord injuries (see sect. vi).

3. Injury potentials and wound healing

The great German physiologist Emil Du-Bois Rey-
mond, considered to be the founder of modern electro-
physiology, repeated Matteucci’s experiments and mea-
sured directly the propagating action potential. However,
he was also interested in injury potentials. In 1843, he
used a galvanometer (built with >2 miles of wire) to
measure ~1 μA flowing out of a cut in his own finger. The
flow of current is due to the short-circuiting of the trans-
epithelial potential (TEP) difference that occurs at a skin
lesion (Fig. 1, C–F).

A second aspect of this review discusses the role of
endogenous and applied EFs in stimulating and directing
wound healing. Again, a direct historical link is appropri-
ate. Recent work in this area begins with experiments that
are clear descendants of Du-Bois Reymond’s demonstra-
tion of injury currents in skin. First, the stumps of regen-

erating newt limbs drive large currents out the cut end
(29). Currents of between 10 and 100 μA/cm² create a
steady voltage drop of ~60 mV/mm within the first 125
μm of extracellular space, and this is essential for regen-
eration (2, 95, 133, 134). Second, human skin and that of
guinea pigs and amphibians maintain a TEP across the
epithelial layers. When the skin is cut, a large, steady EF
arises immediately and persists for hours at the wound
edge, as current pours out the lesion from underneath the
wounded epithelium (Fig. 1, D and F) (8). These fields
measure ~140 mV/mm and play important roles in con-
trolling several aspects of the cell biology of wound heal-
ing (see sect. vD).
FIG. 1. The historical context, concepts of bioelectricity, and the injury potential. 

A: Galvani’s 18th century experiments using a frog leg preparation. The leg contracted when the cut end of the sciatic nerve touched the leg muscle (1) or when the electrical discharge from a Leyden jar was applied directly to the nerve (2). When the surface of a section of the right sciatic nerve touched the intact surface of the left sciatic nerve, both legs contracted (3). He concluded that electrical conduction (“animal electricity”) was required for muscle contraction, but it wasn’t until the 19th century that Mateucci’s measurements showed that the source of “animal electricity” was the potential difference between injured and intact surfaces, the injury potential.

B: 20th century parallels with Galvani’s studies revealed injury currents entering the cut ends of axons in the lamprey spinal cord. A sensitive, rapidly vibrating, voltage-sensing electrode (see Fig. 4D) was placed near the cut surface of the spinal cord (top). The blue arrows pointing down indicate an injury current entering the cut ends of axons. The red arrows at the sides of the cord indicate a region of outward current. By convention the direction of current flow is taken to be the direction of the flow of positively charged ions. [Modified from Borgens et al. (22).]

C: individual cells maintain an electrical potential ($V_m$) across the intact plasma membrane. This results from the activity of membrane-bound channels selective for transport of specific ions across the intact membrane, which has a high electrical resistance. The result is a net negative charge on the inside of the cell relative to the outside.

D: similarly, selective, directional ion transport across intact epithelia results in a significant potential difference across the epithelial layer. Embryonic Xenopus skin is used in this example, but the principles apply to all ion-transporting epithelia, including multilayered epithelia, such as mammalian skin and the corneal epithelium. Xenopus skin scavenges Na⁺ from the dilute pond water in which it is bathed via the spatial separation of Na⁺/H⁺ channels and pumps within epithelial cell membranes. Each cell is divided functionally into an apical domain facing the pond water and a basolateral domain facing the inside of the embryo. The apical domain contains membrane proteins that allow passive entry of Na⁺ (arrow) into the cell, and the basolateral domain contains Na⁺/K⁺-ATPases that actively pump Na⁺ out of the cell into the intercellular embryonic space (arrow). Tight junctions between epithelial cells provide physical connections between cells, providing high electrical resistance to the epithelial sheet and preventing leakage of Na⁺ out of the embryo. The result is a higher concentration of Na⁺ inside the skin relative to the outside. The resulting transepithelial potential (TEP) gradient of tens of millivolts can be measured directly across the intact epithelium. Intact skin therefore represents a biological “battery.”

E: in an individual cell, localized injury to the membrane causes an inward injury current as positively charged ions enter the cytoplasm. This underlies the inward currents measured at the cut axons in the lamprey spinal cord (see B) and other cells. F: wounding of an epithelial sheet (or localized disruption of tight junctions) creates a current leak at the wound site causing the immediate, catastrophic collapse of the TEP at the wound. The TEP is not affected distally however, where the epithelial integrity and ion transport properties remain intact. Na⁺ leak out the wound, resulting in an outward injury current and a lateral voltage gradient (electric field) within the embryo (green arrows) oriented parallel to the epithelial sheet. The wound site is the cathode of the electric field.
Interestingly, although frog nerve and frog skin were key preparations in the discovery of steady DC injury potentials and have been central to teaching in electrophysiology, it is for the action potential and the TEP that these tissues are best known. Few of the many pupils and teachers that have used these preparations are familiar with injury potentials or their essential role in tissue development and repair.

In parallel with Galvani’s work, Volta was developing these ideas to create the first battery. Recognizing the parallel with animal electricity, Volta used batteries therapeutically to treat deafness. Others, however, were less rigorous scientifically in the promotion of electrical-based therapies. For more than a century there was “widespread and irrational use of galvanism and static electricity” (198). Static electricity generators were in common use and were promoted and sold because they created an allegedly beneficial “electric air bath” or a “negative breeze.” The electric air bath involved charging the patient and using a grounded electrode to draw sparks from a chosen part of the body. The negative breeze allegedly was helpful in treating insomnia, migraine, and baldness (Fig. 2). With the electrode polarity reversed, a “positive breeze” was used to treat kidney disease. Vanable (198) gives a good account of this charlatanism and makes the insightful point that this period of highly dubious pseudoscience tainted perceptions and may be a major reason why animal electricity and bioelectricity have been held in relatively low scientific esteem.

This historical preamble is relevant for two reasons. First, by describing the pioneering work on animal electricity and bioelectricity, we make the point that these phenomena share a common foundation in elemental electrophysiology, yet the latter enjoys far greater scientific respectability. Second, it shows that from its inception even in mainstream physiology, there were elements of awe, wonder, and showmanship in the study of bioelectricity. Moreover, because some of this background work has involved outright quackery, there is a danger that we dismiss all of it. One aim of this review will be to restore scientific credibility to neglected aspects of animal electricity. This is a realistic aim because these concepts have a real basis in physiology and because they can (and do) sit comfortably beside the fast, channel-centered, membrane electrophysiology of the present day. These concepts are summarized in Figure 1.

B. Electrical Signals Vary in Space and Time

Contemporary electrophysiologists are familiar with fast membrane conductance changes that take place within milliseconds, for example, as an action potential is propagated along a neuronal membrane. In developmental biology too there is widespread recognition of similarly fast electrical conductance changes, for example, across the egg membrane. In this case, a transient and massive membrane depolarization of the newly fertilized sea urchin egg acts as an electrical block to polyspermy (87). Both of these examples involve very fast conductance changes that occur within membrane-embedded channels and a flow of ions that changes the voltage across the membrane only. The electrical events to be covered in this review last much longer and are present across hundreds of microns, rather than being confined to the immediate vicinity of the cell membrane. They involve steady DC gradients of electrical potential, usually in the extracellular spaces, but sometimes within the cytoplasm of a single cell or a syncytium of cells. Such gradients are present for hours, days, or even weeks during both development and regeneration. In addition, they are regulated both spatially and developmentally. Their undisputed existence and their physiological relevance, both of which will be established below, indicate that they should be integrated into current thinking. One way to do this is to consider throughout this review the issue of chemotaxis. This is a widely accepted form of directed cell motility based on the ability of cells to respond with directional movement in a chemical gradient. Many types of mole-
molecules are presented to cells in the form of chemical gradients in the extracellular spaces. Both in developing and regenerating systems many of these molecules carry a net charge. Because steady voltage gradients also are present within the extracellular spaces and vary in space and time, these coexistent chemical and electrical signals must interact. This raises several issues. For example, are chemical gradients established by preexisting electrical gradients? Do chemical and electrical guidance cues activate shared signaling pathways to achieve directional growth? Are there hierarchies of guidance cues that vary in space and time?

Some other questions to be tackled are outlined below, to prime the reader. As the review develops, the answers to these questions will need to be integrated with prevailing views on the control of directed cell motility by chemical gradients (177), or by substrate topography (147), or by whatever other dynamic guidance cues coexist within the extracellular spaces. Where are steady electrical fields found? What is their basis? What are their functions? What tissue or cellular effects do they have? What mechanisms underpin these effects? How do electrical fields interact with other guidance cues? And can electrical fields be mimicked or manipulated to control cell behaviors?

III. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS IN ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

Understanding the origins and interdependence of steady DC currents and associated voltage gradients is central to all that follows. Although this involves nothing more complex than Ohm’s law, the unfamiliarity of these concepts to some biologists makes it appropriate to revisit high school physics. This has been covered thoroughly in a fine review by Robinson and Messerli (173). One of the simplest of electrical circuits is formed by a resistor connected to the two terminals of a voltage source, or battery, by conducting wires (Fig. 3A). Current is carried in the wires by electrons, and there is a direct relationship between the voltage difference across the resistor and the current that flows through it. This is known as Ohm’s law: \( V = I \cdot R \), where \( V \) is the voltage of the battery, \( I \) is the current (in amps), and \( R \) is the resistance (in ohms). In a physiological solution such as the cytoplasm or the fluids of the extracellular spaces, there are no free electrons to carry charge so current is carried by charged ions such as Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\). The bulk resistivity of a physiological solution can be measured and typically is \( \sim 100 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \). If there is a voltage difference between any two points in a conductive medium, current will flow. The voltage difference per unit distance is the electrical field, and in a biological context, this is most intuitively expressed in millivolts per millimeter. The relationship between current density and the electrical field is \( E = J \cdot \rho \), where \( E \) is the electrical field, \( J \) is the current density (in A/cm\(^2\)), and \( \rho \) is the resistivity of the medium. Inevitably then the existence of an EF and the flow of current are interdependent and inseparable events. Importantly, the EF and the current density are vectors, with both magnitude and direction. It is this directional quality of an EF that makes it a candidate spatial organizer, because it can impose directional movement on chemicals in the extracellular environment, on receptor molecules, on cells, and on tissues.

In addition to acting as a vector, current flow and voltage gradients in tissues also vary in space and time. This happens for two reasons: 1) functional ion channels...
and/or ion pumps may be localized to separate cells, or parts of cells, and this pattern of localization/activation may change with time; and 2) the local resistance of a tissue may vary as a consequence of wounding, which creates holes (current leaks, Fig. 3B), spatial variation in cell packing, or transient breakdown of tight junctions to permit cell movements. Two examples of the latter come from regenerating and developing limbs. Stump currents associated with amputation of the urodele limb have been mentioned already; 10–100 μA/cm² leave the stump and in the first hours after injury create a DC voltage drop of ~60 mV/mm within 125 μm of the lesion. By 6 h postlesion as the stump wound resistance increases, the EF drops to 26 mV/mm, distally negative (133, 134). Second in development, the tight junctions between epithelial cells of the flank skin break down, but only in the precise areas where limb buds will form. The TEP becomes short-circuited only at these sites from which currents of 1–10 μA/cm² leave the flank. These “prophetic” currents precede limb bud emergence by several days and predict the sites from which limb buds will appear in amphibians, chicks, and mice (1, 21, 25, 170).

Before discussing more detailed examples of electrical fields and their control of development and regeneration, it is crucial to put the magnitude of these electrical signals into context. To depolarize a neuron and fire an action potential using surface electrodes requires field stimulation of 1–2 V/mm. The common technique of electropropotion for drug or gene delivery into cells uses extremely large pulses of DC EF stimulation, roughly 100–500 V/mm (143). The DC EFs that play physiological roles in development and regeneration (see examples in sect. IV, A–D) are three or four orders of magnitude less than this (1–100 mV/mm)! It is a mistake to think of them having similar magnitude, but this is a major misconception made by those who dismiss EF as being “nonphysiological.”

IV. ELECTRICAL FIELDS EXIST EXTRACELLULARLY AND INTRACELLULARLY

Four biological examples follow that put these concepts into context. The first two generate an image of dynamic electrical signals present within the extracellular spaces as potential guidance cues for migrating or proliferating cells. The latter two show that steady electrical signals also exist across contiguous cytoplasmic regions within and between some cells; for example, those coupled by gap junctions.

A. Example 1: Embryos Generate a Dynamic Voltage Gradient Across Their Skin

The skin of adult frogs is well known as a transporting epithelium that sustains a TEP of ~100 mV, inside positive, across the multilayered epithelium (102). The ion transport properties of the apical and basolateral cell membranes differ and are polarized (Fig. 1D). The apical cell membrane contains specialized amiloride-sensitive sodium channels allowing Na⁺ to enter the cells, while the basolateral membranes contain the well-known “sodium pump,” the ouabain-sensitive Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase that electrogenically pumps three Na⁺ from the cytoplasm out into the extracellular fluid in exchange for two K⁺ entering the cells. Net ion transport therefore occurs across the epithelium, with Na⁺ being transported from pond water into the animal. A high-resistance electrical “seal” exists between neighboring cells in most epithelial sheets including amphibian skin. This is formed by tight junctions, and these greatly reduce the electrical conductivity of the paracellular space (5). Viewed end-on, the apical surface of each epithelial cell appears to be encircled by strands of specialized tight junctional proteins. Strands on neighboring cells abut each other to form a “seal” that restricts the paracellular passage of solutes and water. The whole structure may be pictured as similar to a series of interconnecting hoops around the end of a barrel. The same basic elements of polarized channels, pumps, and tight junctions are found in embryonic frog skin, and these also establish a TEP from very early stages of development (131, 168).

1. Voltage gradients exist within the extracellular spaces underneath the skin

Crucially, the potential difference across the skin is different in different parts of the developing embryo (180). With the use of standard glass microelectrodes, stable gradients of voltage have been measured around the neural plate area in axolotl embryos during the period of neurulation. Skin potentials are higher rostrally than caudally (Fig. 4, A–C). This is particularly marked at the head end of the embryo, where EFs of 75–100 mV/mm have been measured in the extracellular space below the epithelium (180), whilst EFs of 30 mV/mm are present in the region rostral to the developing blastopore (173). In both cases the orientation of the steady voltage gradient is rostrocaudal, that is, along the long axis of the embryo (head to tail). The skin potential also is high at the midline of the neural plate as it begins to fold over to become the neural tube, decreases at the neural folds, and increases again further laterally on the flank of the embryo. This pattern of skin potential gives rise to standing voltage gradients on either side of the dorsal midline, with a mediolateral orientation (Fig. 4, A–C).

The point was made above that voltage gradients and current flow are linked inextricably, and these findings of voltage gradients using glass microelectrodes impaling the skin have been paralleled using a second, less familiar technique that measures current flow noninvasively.
around the outside of the embryonic skin. This technique uses a vibrating microelectrode (90, 91) that is driven at 300 Hz between two extreme positions roughly 20 μm apart. With the vibrating microelectrode placed close to an embryo within an electrically conducting medium and all signals filtered out other than those at 300 Hz, the probe records the voltage at the two extremes of its excursion, close to and more distant from the skin surface (Fig. 4D). With the use of the resistivity of the medium bathing the embryo, the differential voltage signals between the extremes of vibration are converted to current flowing in or out of the embryo at one point. Moving the location of the probe allows current flow to be mapped spatially around an embryo. Currents of 100 μA/cm² have been measured exiting the blastopore in Xenopus embryos at developmental stages 15–20 (a period spanning the formation of the neural tube; Fig. 4D) (84). The size of these measured currents is consistent with the rostrocaudal and mediolateral TEP gradients described in Figure 4. Since the resistivity of soft tissues is ~1,000 Ω · cm, current densities of 100 μA/cm² give rise to voltage gradients of ~10 mV/mm. Importantly, the anterior neural folds also are sites of current exit, and here current densities of 2 μA/cm² have been measured. The sites of current leaks are regions of major tissue movements (173). Because tissue movements disrupt tight junctional seals transiently (47) and therefore reduce tissue resistivity locally (but not in distal areas), current flows parallel to the tightly sealed epithelium in areas of high resistivity (intact tight junctions) and exits the embryo in regions of low resistivity (where tight junctions have broken down) (Fig. 4D).

Although these two techniques demonstrate clearly that electrical signals exist during neurulation in amphibians, the signals at the blastopore and at the neural folds may have different functions. This is because the blas-
topore current persists after closure of the neural tube, but the rostrocaudal and mediolateral voltage gradients at the buckling neural plate stage disappear as the neural folds fuse at the end of neurulation (Fig. 5). The functional significance of switching spatially localized DC EFs on and off during gastrulation and neurulation, which are major developmental milestones, has been tested.

2. Disrupting the natural EFs in amphibians disrupts development

If the EFs in embryos play a significant role in development, disrupting the normal electrical milieu of embryos would cause developmental defects. This has been tested directly in amphibians using two experimental approaches. The first involved placing whole axolotl embryos in an externally applied EF of physiological magnitude for a period spanning either gastrulation or neurulation (~18–22 h). The applied EF was designed to disrupt the pattern and magnitude of the endogenous EFs by altering the TEP in a predictable way (139).

With no EF exposure (normal TEP), abnormalities occurred in no more than 17% of embryos. In embryos with no specific orientation to the imposed EF, 62% developed abnormally in an EF of 75 mV/mm and 43% at 50 mV/mm. Because the main axes of the measured endogenous voltage gradients run rostrocaudally and mediolaterally within the neural plate (Fig. 4), experiments were made using embryos with these body axes aligned with the EF vector. When the EF was imposed during neurulation with a cathode at the rostral end of the embryos, 95% (19/20) developed abnormally. When the cathode was caudal, 93% were abnormal, and of those with the long (rostrocaudal) embryonic axis perpendicular to the applied EF, 75% (12/16) were abnormal. The types of defects seen were profound and included absence of the cranium, loss of one or both eyes, a misshapen head, abnormal or absent brachial development, and incomplete closure of the neural folds in focused areas. In some cases, cells from the neural plate migrated out from the embryo onto the dish and continued to develop autonomously. In another experiment an EF of identical duration and magnitude was applied to embryos undergoing gastrulation. The EF was switched off at the end of gastrulation, and the embryos were allowed to develop to stage 36. Importantly, these embryos developed completely normally. Interestingly, the polarity of the applied EF predicted the polarity of the developmental abnormalities that were induced. The most striking disruptions were seen in areas facing a cathode, where the skin TEP had become hyperpolarized. When the rostral (head) end of the embryo faced the cathode, head defects predominated, and when the caudal (tail) end of the embryo faced the cathode, lower abdominal and tail defects predominated (139).

The disruption to the embryonic skin TEP by applying an EF externally was marked and was polarized in a predictable manner. The TEP, which is normally internally positive, depolarized and switched polarity to become increasingly negative internally in regions of skin at the anode in an EF of 25–100 mV/mm. At the other end of the embryo, the TEP of skin under the cathode hyperpolarized and became increasingly more positive internally. Because the TEP was altered in this striking manner by the externally applied EF, the endogenous gradients of extracellular voltage under the skin will be scrambled by this imposed applied EF.

These experiments allow three conclusions. 1) Endogenous EFs with normal polarity and magnitude are essential for normal development of the nervous system and other tissues. 2) There was no generalized harmful effect of an applied EF since embryos exposed at gastrulation developed normally. 3) Embryos responded to scrambling of their endogenous EF only during the period when cells were undergoing neurulation. This suggests the pattern and magnitude of the endogenous EFs by altering the TEP in a predictable way (139).
that neuronal cells gain and then lose the ability to respond to an applied EF during a specific window of developmental time.

The second approach to modifying endogenous EFs involved impaling *Xenopus* embryos with glass microelectrodes, similar to those used to measure the TEP. Current was passed through the electrodes so that the endogenous current leaving the blastopore was reduced or reversed (84). The effect of injecting current on the magnitude of the blastopore currents was measured directly using the vibrating microprobe. Injected current at 100 nA nulled the blastopore current, and 500 nA approximately reversed it. Eighty-seven percent of embryos (20/23) injected with this level of current for 9–11 h at stage 14–16 showed gross external developmental abnormalities. These included the formation of ventral pigmented bulges, failure of the anterior neural tube to close, reduced head development, retarded eye formation, the extrusion of cells from the blastopore into the dish, and failure of embryos to form functional cilia. Control embryos with long-term implantation of an electrode in the same region but no current, those with low current (10 nA, which did not affect the magnitude of the natural blastopore current), or those with reversed current that augmented the blastopore current, showed a much lower rate of abnormality.

Therefore, although two markedly different methods have been used to disrupt the endogenous EFs of amphibian embryos, they nevertheless induced a surprisingly uniform and striking array of developmental defects. Brain and tail structures were especially vulnerable and, significantly, these are regions of endogenous outward currents and of measurable steady DC voltage gradients in the embryo. The high incidence of neural tube defects also may be significant. Mutations in a number of genes such as sonic hedgehog cause neural tube defects (41), so it would be instructive to determine the expression levels of these genes in normal embryos and in embryos where the endogenous EFs have been disrupted. Conversely, it would be useful to determine whether disruption of endogenous EF affects expression patterns of key developmental genes.

One interpretation of the experiments outlined above is that steady voltage gradients within embryos provide a gross template for the development of pattern during ontogeny and that developmental abnormalities resulted from experimentally scrambling electrical cues necessary for patterning and cell migration within the embryo.

3. **Endogenous currents and voltage gradients are present in chick embryo: disrupting these disrupts development**

Importantly, currents and voltage gradients that are analogous to the blastopore and neural fold currents in amphibians have been measured in chick embryos (81). In stage 15–22 chick embryos, ionic currents greater than 100 µA/cm² leave the embryo via the posterior intestinal portal (PIP). This is the period of tail gut reduction; when there is extensive cell death at the caudal end of the embryo. The PIP is the opening into the hindgut from the yolk sac. These currents enter through the ectoderm of the embryo and upon flowing through the embryo generate a caudally negative voltage gradient of ~20 mV/mm. If these voltage gradients play a necessary role in embryogenesis, then disrupting them should alter development. This has been tested by creating an alternative path for current flow out of the embryo. Hollow capillaries that formed an ectopic region of low resistance were implanted to create, in effect, a permanent, nonhealing wound (83). This procedure reduced the magnitude and altered the internal pattern of the natural EF. Conductive implants designed to shunt currents out of the embryo were placed under the dorsal skin at the midtrunk level (stage 11–15). These hollow capillary shunts were ~100 µm outside diameter and 1 mm long and were filled with saline, in some cases gelled with 2% agarose to control against bulk fluid transfer between the embryo and its surroundings. They were placed perpendicular to the neural tube in a slit ~250 µm long and inserted around 500 µm under the ectodermal epithelium parallel to the neural axis. Currents of 18 µA/cm² left the conductive shunts. The net effect was to reduce the current leaving the PIP of these embryos by 30%. Ninety-two percent (25/27) of these embryos developed with gross abnormalities. The most common defect was in tail development, with the neural tube, notochord, and somites all either missing or truncated in the tail region. There were defects also in limb and head/brain development, but the frequency of defects increased in a rostrocaudal direction. Forty-four percent of embryos showed multiple developmental defects. Nonconductive, solid rod implants of the same dimensions were used in control embryos. No currents were measured escaping from these implants, nor did the implants influence the magnitude of the currents leaving the PIP. Only 11% (2/18) of control embryos with solid implants showed any developmental abnormalities; all the others developed completely normally, despite the continuous presence of the nonconducting implant. The abnormalities seen in experimental embryos were very similar to those produced in *rumpless* chicks, a naturally occurring mutation which can result in complete absence of all caudal structures (228). Vibrating probe measurements from *rumpless* chicks showed that currents leaving the PIP were ~41% of the PIP current in normal embryos (83), suggesting that this electrical deficit contributes, at least in part, to the tail structure deletions.

Several aspects of these experiments are important. 1) They confirm that currents and endogenous voltage gradients are present during major episodes of chick de-
velopment and are greatest in the tail region. 2) Reducing the PIP currents by shunting current out at an ectopic dorsal location has the greatest developmentally disruptive effect in the tail region. 3) The shunt placement is several millimeters away from the site of the main defects, indicating that current shunting did not have nonspecific and deleterious local effects. 4) Solid shunts had no effect. 5) A naturally occurring chick mutation may cause tail deformities because of aberrant electrical signals.

A further point of interest and one which requires further study is that the primitive streak of the chick embryo, which is analogous to the amphibian blastopore, also is a site of large outward currents of $\sim 100 \mu A/cm^2$ (94). A physiological role for these currents has not been explored.

4. A voltage gradient exists across the neural tube and neuroblasts differentiate in this gradient

The vertebrate neural tube forms when the lateral edges of the neural plate thicken, rise up, and fold over to fuse with each other at the dorsal midline (Fig. 5). A hollow tube called the neural tube that develops to become the brain and spinal cord forms from the folded ectoderm and then detaches to lie below the skin. The luminal surface of the neural tube therefore is equivalent to the outer surface of embryonic skin. Because spatial and temporal differences in the electrical properties of embryonic skin generate steady endogenous electrical signals (see above), the neural tube has been investigated to determine whether similar electrical signals are generated across its wall. Amphibian neural tube does establish a potential difference across its wall known as the transneural tube potential (TNTP; Fig. 6) (82, 179). In axolotl this may be as large as 90 mV, with the lumen negative with respect to the extracellular space at stage 28. Because the wall of the neural tube is roughly 50 $\mu$m wide, this large potential difference would create a steady voltage gradient across cells in the neural tube wall of a remarkable 1,800 mV/mm [90 mV/50 $\mu$m = 180 mV/100 $\mu$m = 1,800 mV/mm]. The neuroblasts (neuronal precursors) within the wall must migrate, differentiate, and sprout directed axonal projections whilst exposed to this high, continuous extracellular EF. The TNTP is largely the result of transporting Na$^+$ out of the lumen, and this can be prevented pharmacologically by injecting benzamil or amiloride into the lumen. When this was done in axolotl embryos at stage 21–23 and the embryos were allowed to

![Fig. 6. Measurement of the trans-neural tube potential (TNTP) in an axolotl embryo by steady advance of a glass voltage-sensing electrode. A: initially the electrode penetrates the ectoderm (1) and records the TEP. It then advances through the wall of the neural tube, resting in the lumen (2) to record the neural tube potential (NTP). Then the electrode penetrates the far side of the neural tube to again record the TEP (3). The diagram shows the recording position of the tip of a single electrode as it advances through the tissue layers. B: a sample recording from the experiment described in A. Penetration of the ectoderm (1) indicates a TEP (blue bar) of $\sim 20$ mV, inside positive (relative to a reference electrode in the bath). At 2, the electrode penetrates into the lumen of the neural tube, recording the NTP. The sharp downward deflection indicates that the lumen is negative ($\sim 30$ mV) relative to the bath (pink bar). The sum of the TEP and NTP represents the TNTP (green bar). In this example the TNTP is about $\sim 50$ mV (lumen negative relative to the outside of the neural tube). When the electrode tip is advanced out of the lumen through the far wall of the neural tube (3), there is a sharp upward deflection, in which the TEP of $\sim 20$ mV is recorded again (second blue bar). At 4, the electrode is withdrawn from the embryo. There is a sharp return to the reference baseline, which has remained stable throughout the experiment. C: a cross-section through a stage 23 axolotl embryo. To confirm that the electrode was positioned in the neural tube lumen, a fluorescent label (TRITC-con A) was iontophoresed into the neural tube from the same electrode used to measure the NTP at point 2 above. [B and C redrawn from Shi and Borgens (179).]
develop for 36–52 h, by which time uninjected embryos had developed to stage 34–36, the TNTP collapsed for several hours. In all of 28 embryos tested, collapse of the TNTP caused major abnormalities in cranial and central nervous system (CNS) development. The defects were characterized by a disaggregation of cells from structures that had already begun to form (26). The cells that had comprised the optic and otic primordia, brain, neural tube, and notochord disaggregated, but did not die, whilst new internal structures failed to form. In effect, the internal structure of most embryos had been reduced to a formless mass of apparently dedifferentiated cells, simply by collapsing the TNTP. Remarkably, the external form of some embryos with collapsed TNTP continued to develop, despite the complete absence of concomitant internal histogenesis (26). Making similar injections of a vehicle solution into the neural tube, or of the active agents amiloride, or benzamil beneath the embryonic skin immediately adjacent to the neural tube had no effect on the TNTP and did not disturb development. This shows that neither the injection, nor the drugs, had a generalized toxic effect on the embryos and that the disaggregation of the neural tube and other internal structures was a consequence of collapse of the TNTP.

The presence of a strong electrical gradient across the wall of the neural tube and its role in maintaining the development of the neural tube itself (and other internal organ systems) are surprising findings with profound implications. These include 1) the voltage drop across the wall of the neural tube will not be uniform, but will be steepest across the cells lining the lumen of the neural tube, because this region of tight junctional sealing is the area with the highest electrical resistance. Division and differentiation of presumptive CNS neurons begins at the lumen, and intriguingly, we have shown that the axis of cell division can be determined by applied and endogenous EFs an order of magnitude less than those across the neural tube (220, 184; see below). The axis of presumptive neuroblast cell division is regulated developmentally by segregating and polarizing a variety of proteins (e.g., numb, miranda, prospero) within neuroblasts as they prepare to divide. It would be worth testing whether the polar distribution of these molecules, which determines the axis of neuroblast division, is determined by the polarity of the TNTP. 2) Because the neural tube varies in thickness, the largest EF (given a spatially uniform TNTP) will be across the thinnest region of the wall, which is the floor plate. This is an area of key importance in CNS patterning and neuronal differentiation. 3) Finally, the number of neuroblasts that are stimulated to develop in culture increases markedly when a small DC EF is applied across these cells. Borgens suggests that this could be because culturing developing neurons without a weak polarized gradient of voltage imposed across them does not adequately mimic their in vivo environment (26).

In short, vertebrate embryos possess steady voltage gradients, particularly in areas where major developmental events related to cell movement and cell division are occurring. Disrupting these electrical fields disrupts normal development.

B. Example 2: Wounded Epithelia Generate a Steady EF That Controls Wound Healing

The second example of a tissue in which a steady DC EF is found extracellularly is wounded epithelium. Skin and cornea are well-studied examples (8, 36). However, all epithelia that segregate ions to establish a TEP will generate a wound-induced EF for the reasons outlined below.

The stratified mammalian cornea supports a transcorneal potential difference (TCPD) of around +30 to +40 mV, internally positive (Fig. 7A). The outer cells of the corneal epithelium are connected by tight junctions, as in amphibian skin, and these form the major electrical resistive barrier. Intact mammalian corneal epithelium transports Na+ and K+ inwards from tear fluid to extracellular fluid. Cl− is transported in the opposite direction, out of the extracellular fluid into the tear fluid (34, 99). This separation of charge establishes the TCPD. Wounding the epithelial sheet creates a hole that breaches the high electrical resistance established by the tight junctions, and this short-circuits the epithelium, locally. The TCPD therefore drops to zero at the wound (Fig. 7B). However, because normal ion transport continues in unwounded epithelial cells behind the wound edge, the TCPD remains at normal values around 500–1,000 μm back from the wound. It is this gradient of electrical potential, 0 mV at the short-circuited lesion, +40 mV 500–1,000 μm back in unwounded tissue, that establishes a steady, laterally oriented EF with the cathode at the wound (Fig. 7B). So, in contrast to the TCPD generated across the intact epithelium, which has an apical to basal orientation, the wound-induced EF has a vector orthogonal to this. It runs laterally under the basal surfaces of the epithelial cells and returns laterally within the tear film across the apical surface of the epithelium (Fig. 7B). Mammalian skin also supports a TEP. When the skin is cut, a wound-induced EF arises immediately for the same reasons as in cornea (compare Fig. 7, C and D). Importantly, the wound-induced EF persists until the migrating epithelium reseals the wound and reestablishes a uniformly high electrical resistance, at which point the wound-induced EF drops to zero.

Two studies have confirmed experimentally the existence of steady wound-induced EFs. Cuts were made in bovine cornea and in guinea pig and human skin, and the potential difference across the epithelium at different distances back from the wound edge was measured directly using glass microelectrodes. In skin, the peak vol-
The voltage gradient at the wound edge was 140 mV/mm (Fig. 7D) (8) and in cornea 42 mV/mm, although the latter is an underestimate (36). In both tissues the voltage gradient dropped off exponentially from the wound edge with a profile that was formally equivalent to that of a uniform cable that has been disturbed at one point (Fig. 7D). The length constant for skin was 0.3 mm, which means that at 330 μm back from the wound edge the voltage gradient would have decayed to 1/e, or 37%, of its maximum value at the wound edge. Direct measurements in skin showed voltage gradients of 140 mV/mm in the first 250 μm, 40 mV/mm between 300 and 500 μm, and around 10 mV/mm at 500–1,000 μm from the wound edge (8).

These findings have several important implications. 1) All cell behaviors within ~500 μm of a wound edge in skin and cornea (and probably any ion-transporting epithelium; gut, for example) inevitably take place within a standing gradient of voltage. These include epithelial cell migration, epithelial cell division, nerve sprouting, leukocyte infiltration, and endothelial cell remodeling with associated angiogenesis; in short, the whole gamut of cellular responses to injury! 2) Because of the exponential drop in voltage gradient with distance from a wound, any cell behaviors governed by the endogenous EF would be regulated differentially with distance from the wound. 3) Increasing or decreasing the TEP would inevitably increase and decrease the voltage gradient profile at the wound.

In light of these issues, we have been studying the cell physiology at experimental wounds made in rat cornea in vivo. We have shown that a diverse array of inter-related cell behaviors is controlled by the endogenous EF at corneal wounds. These include directed migration into the wound of epithelial cells, the proliferation of epithelial cells, the axis of division of epithelial cells, the proportion of nerves sprouting at the wound, and the directional growth of nerve sprouts towards the wound edge (184, 185, 130).

1. Wound healing is regulated by the wound-induced EF in rat cornea

Increasing or decreasing the TCPD pharmacologically has the inevitable consequence of increasing or re-
Reducing the wound-induced EF. We chose to modulate the TCPD using six different chemicals that act by different cellular mechanisms but whose only common effect was to change the TCPD (Fig. 8 and Ref. 185). In rat corneas treated with PGE2 (0.1 mM), which increases Cl\(^{-}\)/H\(^{+}\) efflux, or with aminophylline (10 mM), which inhibits phosphodiesterase breakdown of cAMP and enhances Cl\(^{-}\)/H\(^{+}\) efflux, the TCPD increased three- to fourfold. Wounds treated with these drugs healed 2.5 times faster within the first 10 h than control wounds (184). In contrast, wounds treated with the Na\(^{+}\)/H\(^{+}\)-K\(^{+}\)/H\(^{+}\)-ATPase inhibitor ouabain (10 mM), which reduced the TCPD fivefold to 18% of normal, showed markedly slower wound healing (Fig. 9). The rate of wound healing was directly proportional to the size of the TCPD and therefore to the size of the wound-induced EF (185).

The natural EF present at experimental wounds in the isolated bovine eye also regulates wound healing (191). Reducing the natural EF with the Na\(^{+}\) channel blocker benzamil (30 mM), or with Na\(^{+}\)-free physiological saline, slowed wound healing. The addition of injected current to restore and amplify the endogenous EF at wounds in Na\(^{+}\)-free medium, enhanced wound healing (191).

2. Proliferation of epithelial cells is regulated by a physiological EF in vivo

One element of the modulated wound healing response in cornea is due to EF-regulated proliferation of epithelial cells. We tested also whether epithelial cell proliferation is regulated electrically. Cell division is rare in the central area of unwounded corneal epithelium. In contrast, most cell division takes place within a peripheral ring of stem cells called the limbus. To provide cells for epithelial turnover, limbal stem cells differentiate and migrate within the basal layer of corneal epithelium and then move up through the epithelium to the surface layer (43). Wounding the corneal epithelium stimulates epithelial cells near the lesion to divide. Enhancing the endogenous wound-induced EF with PGE2 or aminophylline induced a 40% increase in cell divisions within 600 μm of the wound edge, and suppressing the EF with ouabain caused a 27% suppression of mitoses (Fig. 10) (184). Manipulating the EF therefore clearly regulated the cell cycle and altered the frequency of cell division. Because this will also modulate the population pressure of cells within the epithelium, this could contribute to the rate of wound healing.
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3. The axis of cell division is regulated by a physiological EF in vivo

Cultured corneal epithelial cells divide along a cleavage plane that forms perpendicular to the EF vector (220), in other words the mitotic spindle aligns parallel to the EF before cytokinesis. The reasons for this are completely unknown. Importantly, however, the same striking phenomenon occurs in vivo (184). In untreated corneal wounds, which generate their own endogenous EF, the mitotic spindles lie roughly parallel to the EF vector, with cleavage occurring perpendicular to this (Fig. 10A). Enhancing the wound-generated EF with PGE<sub>2</sub> or aminophylline roughly doubled the proportion of dividing cells whose cleavage planes were perpendicular to the EF vector. In contrast, reducing the wound-generated EF to <20% of its endogenous value with ouabain (Fig. 10, B and C) abolished wound-oriented cell divisions.

If the endogenous EF in rat cornea is causal in directing the axis of cell division, then its effects should be highest at the wound edge and decline back from here, because the EF declines exponentially away from the wound. This is the case. Orientation of the mitotic spindle was strongest in the first 200 μm and roughly halved 200–400 μm back from the wound. By 600 μm back from the edge, the angle of the mitotic spindle was not different from those seen in the limbus (1,700 μm away); both were randomly oriented with respect to the wound-generated EF vector (184). Importantly, 600 μm corresponds to the measured distance that the EF penetrates into the tissue (see Fig. 7D). Oriented division therefore dropped to zero as a function of distance back from the wound edge, and there was no oriented division in the distant limbus where the EF would be zero.

Enhancing the EF with PGE<sub>2</sub> or aminophylline increased the orientation of cell division with significant orientation now occurring further from the wound edge, at 600 μm. Collapsing the EF with ouabain abolished oriented cell division, even within 200 μm of the wound edge. Clearly, the naturally occurring EF controls the orientation of cell divisions in vivo.

One clue to potential mechanisms indicates that phospholipid second messenger signals may transduce the EF into oriented cell division. This is because the aminoglycoside antibiotic neomycin, which had no effects on the TCPD (EF), but which inhibits phospholipase C, abolished oriented cell divisions in vivo. Interestingly, neomycin also prevents EF-induced orientation of embryonic myoblasts and of neuronal growth cones (58, 127).

It may be significant that a local environmental guidance cue (an EF) directs the plane of cell division. In the developing CNS for example, crucial decisions regarding the fate of neuroblasts and, consequently, the fundamental architecture of the brain are made by fixing the axis of neuroblast division. Symmetrical cleavage of progenitor cells in the ventricular zone with an axis that retains both daughters in the proliferative pool leads to reentry into the cell cycle and an exponential expansion of the ventricular zone population. In contrast, asymmetrical cell division with a cleavage plane parallel to the ventricular boundary releases one daughter cell from the cell cycle, and this cell differentiates and migrates away. Control over these events is exerted by a host of asymmetrically distributed protein molecules such as numb, miranda, prospero, and bazooka (74) and is determined in part by where the rotating mitotic spindle comes to rest (77). Whether the distribution of the determinative protein markers or the dynamics of spindle rotation and arrest are regulated by an endogenous or an applied EF remains to be determined.

4. Nerve growth is regulated by a physiological EF in vivo

Nerves sprout in response to wounds in skin (64, 117) and in cornea (10, 175). In cornea, with its rich sensory innervation, this is a biphasic process. Early collateral sprouts appear within only a few hours, mostly from intact fibers near the wound. In rabbit cornea these early collateral sprouts show a striking orientation with many parallel nerve bundles growing directly towards the wound edge (175). The early sprouts are transient and over the following 7 days or so, they retract and are replaced by regenerating neurites (10). The cues guiding growth cones of early sprouts directly towards the wound edge have not been explored. Electrical guidance of nerve growth cones has been proposed since the time of Cajal, a century ago, and there is much evidence for this robust phenomenon in tissue culture (see below). Because a corneal wound generates its own EF, we have tested the hypothesis that the wound-generated EF is causal in directing nerve sprouts to grow directly towards the wound edge. These experiments have provided clear evidence of a physiological role for electrical guidance of nerve growth in vivo (185). A 4-mm-long nasal to temporal slit wound was made in rat cornea. Early nerve sprouts are evident by 16 h, but they are not yet oriented with respect to the wound edge. Between 16 and 24 h, many more nerve sprouts appear, and most are perpendicular to the wound edge (Fig. 11). When the wound-generated EF was enhanced with PGE<sub>2</sub>, aminophylline, AgNO<sub>3</sub> or ascorbic acid (Fig. 8), neurite growth towards the wound was enhanced. More sprouts appeared, sprouts appeared earlier, and sprouts oriented towards the wound edge earlier (within 16 h; Fig. 11). Collapsing the EF with ouabain or furosemide did not prevent early collateral nerve sprouting, but nerve growth was not directed towards the wound edge (Fig. 11) (185). This demonstration that both the magnitude of the sprouting response and the direc-
nerve supply and may depend on the biphasic reinnervation pattern described above. For example, corneal wound healing is compromised in patients with sensory neuropathy. The best known example is diabetes (67). These conditions are characterized by repeated attempts to reepithelialize the wound, but in the absence of concomitant reinnervation, healing is poor and the epithelium sloughs off repeatedly. This indicates that there are important neurotrophic interactions between the epithelial cells and the sensory nerves supplying the epithelium (11). Significantly, the early nerve sprouts that are directed towards the wound edge “appear to roll into the wound with the migrating epithelial cells” (175). Because electrical signals arise immediately at a wound and control multiple cell behaviors in vivo (cell proliferation, directed cell division, directed epithelial cell migration, and directed nerve sprouting), this suggests that the EF may orchestrate an integrated response of interdependent cell behaviors that includes the epithelial and nerve interactions essential for wound healing.

Wound healing clearly involves an array of regulatory and guidance cues, in addition to the electrical signal. It would be worth knowing whether the expression levels of
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**FIG. 10.** Epithelial cell proliferation and the axis of cell division are controlled by naturally occurring wound-induced electrical signals. Mitotic profiles of corneal epithelial cells (green) in a whole mount rat cornea close to a wound edge (left margin). Wounding the cornea stimulates cell division near the wound edge, and the proportion of dividing cells drops off with distance back from the wound edge, as predicted if this were controlled by the wound-induced electrical signals. Enhancing these electrical signals pharmacologically, for example, with aminophylline, increased cell divisions (compare A with C), and suppressing the electrical signals with ouabain suppressed cell divisions (compare A and C with B). A: in untreated corneas, the long axis of the mitotic spindle (yellow arrows) was not oriented randomly, but lay significantly more parallel than perpendicular to the EF vector. B: in corneas where the electrical signal was suppressed with ouabain, the spindle axis was oriented randomly with respect to the EF vector. C: in corneas where the electrical signal was enhanced with aminophylline, the spindle axis was oriented strongly parallel to the EF vector. [Modified from Song et al. (184).]
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**FIG. 11.** Nerve sprouting is stimulated and directed by a wound-induced electrical signal in vivo. A: a wound in rat cornea attracts robust nerve sprouts within 24 h, and these are directed towards the wound edge. B: disrupting the wound-induced electrical field (with ouabain) did not prevent nerve sprouting, but sprouts were no longer directed by the EF vector towards the wound edge. C: enhancing the wound-induced electrical field (with aminophylline) increased and speeded up nerve sprouting along the EF vector towards the wound edge. [Modified from Song et al. (185).]
the multiple growth factors and cytokines that are released at epithelial wounds also are under electrical control (see also sect. vCf). In addition, we show below that at least in one respect (wound closure) the electrical signal can predominate over all others.

2) Because this work confirms the physiological relevance of an endogenous EF in directing nerve growth cones in vivo, a reevaluation of electrical cues in nerve guidance and how they interact with other coexisting guidance cues is warranted.

3) Because endogenous EFs play a physiological role in promoting and directing nerve growth cones, this strengthens the rationale for using an applied EF in therapies for neuronal regeneration (see sect. vi).

5. Electrically driven wound healing: closing remarks

Ion-transporting epithelia therefore generate a wound-induced electrical signal that lasts for many hours. This signal regulates different cell behaviors within 500 µm to 1 mm from the wound edge and does so until reepithelialization has occurred. Importantly, even transient breaches in an epithelium, for example, those created by local damage in the intestinal lining, or by apoptosis and natural turnover, will induce shorter-lived, local electrical signals, and these too may influence cell behaviors.

In addition, there are clear parallels between the critical problems faced by a wounded epithelial syncytium and those faced by a single cell with a hole in its membrane. In each case, the potential difference becomes short-circuited, either across the whole epithelial sheet, or across the single cell membrane (see Fig. 1, E and F). Both single cells and sheets of cells may use electrical strategies in mounting a wound-healing response. Crayfish giant axons rapidly seal holes in the plasmalemma, although the process is not immediate. In fact, the movement of dye particles into the cut axon gradually becomes restricted to molecules of progressively smaller size over about an hour. During this period, injury-induced vesicles accumulate at the cut end, interact, and form junctional complexes with each other and with the cut ends of the axolemma to create a functional plug. An ionic seal is formed in ~1 h as shown by the drop off in injury current at the cut end of the axon measured with a vibrating probe (53, 63). Vesicle accumulation is a Ca2+-dependent process and is essential to prevent neuronal death and to allow axonal repair. Whether vesicle accumulation is triggered by the immediate collapse of the membrane potential and driven by the wound-induced voltage gradient in the terminal end of the axon has not been tested (22).

In evolutionary terms, membrane resealing to close an electrical leak is among the most primitive activities that cells undertake. Perhaps both single cells and sheets of cells use the instantaneous electrical signal induced by injury to seal a membrane and to close a wound, respectively.

Examples 1 and 2 above have introduced the existence of small EFs within the extracellular spaces and the evidence supporting physiological roles for these EFs in controlling cell behaviors in development and in wound healing. The likely mechanisms are discussed below. Mostly these do not involve the creation of voltage gradients across the cell cytoplasm, because the high resistance of the cell membrane prevents this from occurring. However, EFs do exist across the cytoplasm of cells joined by gap junctions or by cytoplasmic bridges. Two striking examples follow.

C. Example 3: The Establishment of Left-Right Organ Asymmetry

Intracellular/transcellular electrical signals may regulate the spatial expression of the genes that control embryonic development of left-right (L/R) organ asymmetry (109). A cascade of asymmetrically expressed or repressed genes precedes and directs the development of morphological asymmetries, although the early events that act upstream of asymmetric gene expression are less clear. For example in the chick, sonic hedgehog (Shh) initially is expressed symmetrically around Hensen’s node. At stage 4 the expression becomes restricted to the left lateral plate mesoderm, and this is responsible for the later left-sided expression of nodal (108). The spatial asymmetries of gene expression take place within large groups of cells, and this suggests the involvement of long-range communications in setting up these asymmetries. Interestingly, these cells communicate with each other using gap junctions, and in Xenopus and chick this is essential for the development of proper L/R asymmetry. Blocking gap junctional communication with drugs, antibodies, or antisense oligonucleotides causes symmetrical expression of Shh and nodal and consequently a failure of L/R organ asymmetry (107, 106). Therefore, L/R asymmetry may require the biased movement of some morphogen through gap junctions to create an asymmetry of the signals that drive differential gene expression. A means of biasing morphogen diffusion through gap junctions to establish the signaling gradient also would be needed. Fluorescent dyes that report cell membrane potential show that a sharp asymmetry exists in epithelial membranes across the midline of the stage 2–4 chick embryo (109). Voltage gradients as large as 20 mV were measured, with cells on the left side of the midline depolarized with respect to those on the right side (Fig. 12). Pharmacological or genetic perturbation studies showed that the membrane potential asymmetry depended on the transporter function of the H+-K+-ATPase. Inhibition of
the H⁺-K⁺-ATPase randomized the expression of genes on either side of the midline and the distribution of internal organs (109). This work indicates clearly that there is an electrical component to the communication across the dorsal-ventral midline that establishes normal L/R organ asymmetry in chick and frog embryos. The sharp difference in membrane potential between the future left and right side of the embryo indicates that there is a voltage gradient within the cytoplasm of cells that communicate via gap junctions across the midline. Small charged molecules therefore could become distributed asymmetrically across the midline to direct the downstream events of asymmetric gene expression (Fig. 12). Two key questions arise: 1) What are the early signals that induce the asymmetries in ion transport to create and maintain the voltage gradient? 2) What molecule(s) that pass through gap junctions are distributed in response to the EF? This may link an EF-established chemical gradient to the later gene expression pattern. Candidate molecules have been proposed and include the usual second messenger suspects: Ca²⁺, inositol phospholipids, and cyclic nucleotides.

D. Example 4: Intracellular Gradients of Potential Segregate Charged Proteins Within the Cytoplasm

Lionel Jaffe has pioneered the notion that transcellular ionic currents and voltage gradients may be an essential physiological step in establishing cytoplasmic polarity. Using eggs of the seaweed Fucus, he demonstrated the existence of transcellular currents that determine the prospective axis of germination (88). These currents are caused by a stabilized separation and accumulation of cation pumps on one side of the fertilized egg and of permeability channels on the opposite side. The accompanying EF gradient was proposed to have an electrophoretic effect on the distribution of charged morphogenetic determinants within the cytoplasm. This was seminal work, both practically, in demonstrating the existence of currents that determine embryonic polarity, and conceptually, in introducing the idea that gradients of electrical potential could direct the localization of cytoplasmic constituents.

The fourth example of a steady DC EF in a biological system provides strong evidence to support Jaffe's concept and comes from work on the insect oocyte-nurse cell complex. In the moth Cecropia this incompletely divided cell complex consists of an oocyte and seven nurse cells linked to each other by seven cytoplasmic bridges clustered in a complex at the center of the group (Fig. 13A). Remarkably, the cytoplasm of the oocyte is ~10 mV positive with respect to the cytoplasm of the nurse cells (210). Because the bridges are 30 μm wide and 50 μm long, this gradient of electrical potential creates a steady EF of ~200 mV/mm across the cytoplasmic bridges connecting oocyte and nurse cells. When fluorescently labeled proteins carrying positive or negative charges were injected into either the oocyte or the nurse cell, their diffusion within and through the bridges was determined by the polarity of the endogenous EF and the charge on the injected protein. Positively charged fluorescently labeled lysozyme (Fly) injected into the oocyte moved from oocyte to nurse cells, but did not cross the cytoplasmic bridge in the opposite direction, when injected into the nurse cells. The polarity of fluorescently labeled protein movement was completely reversed when the negatively charged methyl carboxylated lysozyme (MCFly) was used. MCFly could cross the bridges from nurse cell to oocyte, but not from oocyte to nurse cell. The endogenous EF therefore played a major role in determining the spatial distribution of injected charged proteins. An important question is whether soluble, endogenous protein molecules also are distributed electrophoretically. This has been studied in the 16-cell syncytium Drosophila follicle, which has a stable potential difference of 2.5 mV between nurse cells and oocytes (oocyte positive with respect to the nurse cell; Fig. 13B) and in which the injected proteins Fly and MCFly showed the same asymmetrically regu-

**Fig. 12.** Left/right (L/R) asymmetries of membrane potential patterns in the primitive streak area. A transient domain of depolarization to the left side of the primitive streak in chick embryos visualized with the potentiometric fluorescent probe DiBAC₄. The blue to green to red pseudo-color scale represents increasing fluorescence intensities reflecting increased accumulation of the anionic dye in intracellular membranes. Increased fluorescence corresponds to a less negative membrane potential (i.e., depolarized). [From Levin et al. (109).]
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lated diffusion based on their respective charge (209). Unexpectedly and for unknown reasons, raising the medium osmolarity reversed the polarity of this gradient. Injected negatively charged Fly now accumulated in the nurse cells as opposed to the oocyte (183), thus providing a useful experimental tool. Using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, 12 soluble acidic proteins and 7 soluble basic proteins were identified in both oocytes and nurse cells of *Drosophila* follicles. When the EF polarity across the nurse cell-oocyte cytoplasmic bridges was reversed, by increasing the medium osmolarity, such that the oocyte now was negative with respect to the nurse cells (Fig. 13C), the concentrations of all 12 acidic proteins in the nurse cells increased while 7 of the acidic proteins in the oocyte decreased. For the basic proteins, polarity reversal caused an increase in all seven proteins in the oocyte and four of the seven basic proteins decreased in the nurse cells. In short, the distribution of soluble endogenous proteins between oocyte and nurse cells could be modulated both by the charge on the protein and by the electrical polarity of the cytoplasmic bridges.

While these insect systems show substantial intercellular voltage gradients across their connecting cytoplasmic bridges, an even greater voltage drop has been reported between the oocyte and its single nurse cell in the marine worm *Ophryotrocha labronica*. Here a remarkable 22–32 mV difference exists across the 3-μm-wide cytoplasmic bridge (55).

V. HOW DO CELLS RESPOND TO PHYSIOLOGICAL ELECTRICAL FIELDS: PHENOMENOLOGY AND MECHANISMS

The ways in which a variety of different cell types respond to a physiological DC EF have been determined by mimicking the EF in tissue or organ culture. Such experiments are simple. They involve culturing cells in specially designed chambers connected to a DC power supply. However, there are important design features of the experimental set-up that are necessary to mimic the endogenous EF. Figure 14 shows the basic system. 1) The culture chambers are of defined geometry. This allows the EF to be calculated easily and its vector to be controlled. 2) The culture chambers are wafer thin, ~400 μm deep, as is the coverglass lid to the chamber. This ensures that heat dissipates from the medium as quickly as it builds up. Joule heating is proportional to the square of the current passing through a chamber. Maximizing the resistance across the chamber minimizes this current. Because this is proportional to chamber cross-sectional area, this is most easily minimized by maximizing the height of the chambers above the cells. Very thin chambers are best. We have confirmed this directly. Using a probe that could detect a 0.1°C change, there was no detectable change in temperature in chambers 0.4 mm deep exposed to a physiological EF of 185 mV/mm (126). 3) Agar-gelled salt bridges connect the metal electrodes with the culture
medium. This isolates cultures from potentially toxic electrode products. Many studies have used these well-established techniques (149, 171), and we review only a selection of them.

A. Nerve Growth Is Enhanced and Directed by an Applied EF

The notion that nerve growth could be directed using electrical signals is an old one, and the historical background is outlined fully elsewhere (120, 129). Briefly, the earliest attempt to test this experimentally was made by Ingvar in 1920 (86), shortly after the discovery of the tissue culture technique. Although no data or supporting pictures were presented, he reported that the growth of fibers from explanted chick brain tissue was along the lines of force of the galvanic field and that the processes growing anodally were morphologically different from those growing cathodally. Marsh and Beams (115) were the first to show convincing galvanotropic responses in 1946. At a threshold EF of 50–60 mV/mm, neurites from chick medullary explants grew and turned towards the cathode (115). This issue was resurrected in more recent studies that were controlled carefully as outlined above. At EF strengths >70 mV/mm, neurites from chick dorsal root ganglion explants grew about three times faster towards the cathode than the anode, but they did not turn (93).

1. Embryonic frog spinal neurons as a model system

Dissociated neurons from the neural tube of *Xenopus laevis* embryos have been used widely in studies of chemotropic growth cone guidance, and much is known regarding the receptor, second messenger, and cytoskeletal elements that transduce signals presented as chemical gradients, for example, neurotransmitters, neurotrophins, and netrins (186, 187). These cultures are not a homogeneous neuronal population, although ~80% of the earliest outgrowths from dissociated neural tubes of stage 17–22 embryos are cholinergic motoneurons (194). This preparation has been used to show that individual growth cones show striking turning responses in an applied EF of physiological strength (78, 156). The phenomenon is extremely robust and frequently more impressive than chemotropic turning (see movie 1, which is located at http://physrev.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/00020.2004/DC1). A physiological EF as low as 10 mV/mm (0.5 mV across a growth cone with a span of 50 μm) causes growth cones to turn, generally toward the cathode (Fig. 15B). In addition to directing the growth cone, an EF sculptures neuronal architecture by increasing and directing branching cathodally, by selectively pruning anodal facing processes, and by modulating growth rates according to EF polarity (faster cathodally) (119, 121, 122, 125). It is likely that these are primary responses to the EF because galvanotropic behavior persisted in cultures where medium was cross-perfused perpendicular to the EF vector (with complete exchange

---

**FIG. 14.** Method of electric field application. A: top view of chamber. Chambers are made from standard 100-mm tissue-culture dishes. Two strips of number 1 coverglass are secured to the bottom of the dish parallel to each other, 1 cm apart. Cells are plated into the resulting trough, and another coverglass is secured over the top with silicone grease, spanning the entire trough. Strips of paper saturated with water are placed in the dish to maintain humidity. B: side view. Electrical contact is made to the chamber by inserting glass tubes filled with a salt solution gelled with 1% agar through holes in the lid of the chamber. One end of each tube rests in a pool of culture medium continuous with the medium in which the cells are growing; the other end of each tube is placed in a beaker of saline. Ag/AgCl electrodes in each beaker are attached to a direct-current (DC) power supply. Fine adjustments to the EF strength are made via a variable resistor in series with the power supply. Field strength is checked periodically by measuring the voltage drop across the length of the central trough directly using a voltmeter.
every 10 min). This would minimize any possibility that the EF could induce a standing chemical or temperature gradient within the culture medium to which growth cones might respond (78).

Intriguingly, whether growth cones are attracted to or repelled by a cathode depends on the nerve cell type, the substratum, and whether the process is axonal or dendritic. Growth cones change their direction of migration in a DC EF depending on the substratum adhesivity and net charge (167). Sensory neurites do not turn (93), motor neurites turn cathodally (78), and PC12 neurites turn anodally (42). Moreover, embryonic rat hippocampal dendrites were attracted cathodally, but the axon on the same cell body did not turn (46). In one sense this is a bewildering situation. Alternatively, it indicates that neuronal responsiveness to the endogenous cues that nerves encounter both in development and during regeneration may involve complexities and subtleties still to be revealed.

2. Neuronal growth cone turning and induced receptor asymmetry

The most widely studied of the responses that growth cones show in an EF is cathodal turning. The mechanism underpinning this has been explored. Beginning at the receptor level, a physiological EF physically moves charged receptor molecules exposed on the outer surface of the lipid bilayer and creates receptor asymmetry between cathodal- and anodal-facing membranes (89, 163). An applied EF induces receptor asymmetries for the polysaccharide-binding plant lectins such as concanavalin A (conA) and for the neurotransmitter ACh on *Xenopus* myoblasts and on neurons (156, 162, 193). The epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptors on corneal epithelial cells (219) and on fibroblasts (73) also are redistributed by a physiological EF (Fig. 16). In each case fluorescently labeled receptors accumulated cathodally. In a high but still physiological EF of 500 mV/mm for 6 h, neuronal growth cones facing cathodally stained more intensely for fluorescently labeled conA receptors than growth cones facing anodally (156). Collectively, these findings are the basis for the untested assumption that even at a threshold EF strength as low as 10 mV/mm an EF induces receptor asymmetry across the span of a single neuronal growth cone (Fig. 17A). An induced asymmetry of receptors may be required for directed motility because binding of conA to neurons before EF application prevented both conA receptor redistribution and asymmetry and cathodal turning of the growth cone (123). The receptors may be unable to redistribute and accumulate cathodally because they have become immobilized by cross-linking with the tetrameric ligand (156). Receptors for several physiologically relevant neurotransmitters are present on embryonic *Xenopus* growth cones (158), which release neurotransmitters such as ACh spontaneously as they project towards their target tissues to form synapses (214). Because growth cones respond chemotropically to gradients of the neurotransmitters ACh (224) and glutamate (225), we tested the notion that in growth cones exposed to a
physiological EF, ACh release could activate asymmetrically distributed receptors to effect growth cone turning. In this scenario, the EF is transduced and its vector encoded by induced neurotransmitter receptor asymmetry (Fig. 17, A and B). Blocking activation of the neuronal nicotinic ACh receptor with d-tubocurarine prevented cathodal turning, whilst the muscarinic ACh receptor antagonist atropine and suramin, which is an antagonist of both P2-purinoceptor and the basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) receptor, markedly enhanced cathodal turning at a given EF strength (57).

These observations indicate that 1) neurotransmitters and EFs that growth cones encounter simultaneously as endogenous guidance cues interact with each other in determining the extent of growth cone turning. 2) Normally, activation of neuronal nicotinic ACh receptors for example, by spontaneous, self-release of Ach, would enhance the turning effects of an endogenous EF, since inhibiting these receptors prevented cathodal turning. 3) Activation of muscarinic or purinergic receptors would inhibit EF-induced turning since antagonists of these receptors enhanced cathodal turning. In addition, these findings suggest that spontaneous release of neurotransmitter by growth cones seeking out their targets could play a role in assisting pathfinding via an autoreceptor feedback mechanism (Fig. 17, A and B) (68).

Other interactions at the receptor level are central to EF-induced cathodal turning and indicate additional interactions between guidance cues. Spontaneous and evoked release of ACh increases rapidly and markedly on exposure of *Xenopus* embryonic neuromuscular synapses to the neurotrophins NT-3 and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (113). If these neurotrophins also enhance ACh release from growth cones and ACh receptor activation is essential for cathodal turning, then coexposure of growth cones to a physiological EF and either NT-3 or BDNF should enhance turning. It did (132). BDNF markedly increased the extent of growth cone attraction cathodally (3-fold at 150 mV/mm), and both BDNF and NT-3 reduced the EF threshold required for cathodal attraction. These events were mediated via specific activa-
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**Fig. 17.** Model for cathodal orientation of growth cones. A: membrane receptors accumulate preferentially toward the cathode-facing side of the growth cone (left). Candidate proteins include ACh receptors (AChR; yellow) because AChRs accumulate cathodally on cell bodies of EF-treated neurons, growth cones turn toward a source of ACh, and growth cones release ACh (green) spontaneously. ACh-induced stimulation of asymmetrically distributed AChRs activates signaling cascades preferentially on the cathode-facing side of the growth cone relative to the anode-facing side. This is reminiscent of the mechanism proposed to underlie turning of these same growth cones in a gradient of ACh. B: intracellular signaling cascades implicated in EF-induced cathodal turning (cathode to left). Cathodal turning requires influx of Ca$^{2+}$ via voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels (VGCC) and Ca$^{2+}$ release from ryanodine and thapsigargin-sensitive intracellular stores. Activation of AChRs by spontaneous release of ACh induces cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ elevation further, since the receptors are “leaky” to Ca$^{2+}$. Activation of the trkC and trkB receptors is also required for cathodal turning. Addition of NT-3, the ligand for the trkC receptor (blue) or brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), the ligand for the trkB receptor (magenta) to the culture medium enhances the cathodal response. This implicates the AChR further because NT-3 and BDNF stimulate release of ACh from the growth cone, therefore enhancing the asymmetric signaling via AChRs at the cathodal side of the growth cone. trkB receptors and AChRs activate the phospholipase C (PLC), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI-3K) pathway, elevating intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ even further. Ca$^{2+}$ elevation stimulates cAMP production via adenylate cyclase. cAMP activates the protein kinase C-dependent kinase (PKA), which affects signaling by the rho family of small GTPases (rac1, rhoA, and cdc42). Activation of rac1 and cdc42 by PKA stimulate lamellipodial and filopodial formation, respectively. This is hypothesized to underlie the EF-stimulated orientation of filopodia and lamellipodia on the cathode-facing sides of growth cones, which are essential for cathodal orientation. Inhibition of rhoA by PKA activation cathodally prevents cathodal growth cone collapse, but relatively low levels of PKA signaling anodally permit rho-mediated growth cone collapse, further enhancing growth cone asymmetry. This leads to asymmetric tension within the growth cone and turning toward the cathode. Ca$^{2+}$ elevation is central to the proposed mechanism. C: cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ levels are low in fura-loaded growth cones, but growth cone Ca$^{2+}$ increases upon exposure to an EF of 150 mV/mm (D).
tion of trkB and trkC receptors, because the trk receptor antagonist K252a blocked the NT-3 effect and because neither nerve growth factor nor ciliary neuronotrophic factor (CNTF), which signal through other receptors, altered EF-induced cathodal attraction. Intriguingly, at a low EF strength of 10 mV/mm close to the threshold level for turning, NT-3 exposure switched the polarity of the turning response. Growth cones were repelled from the cathode and attracted anodally. Collectively these observations add the neurotrophin receptors trkB and trkC to the model outlined where EF-induced asymmetry of the neuronal nicotinic ACh receptor transduces the directional effects of the EF. It is not known whether the trkB and trkC receptors redistribute in an EF, but if they did BDNF and NT-3 would be expected to enhance ACh release more from one side of a field exposed growth cone than the other.

3. EF-induced asymmetries of second messengers and cytoskeletal molecules?

Pharmacological inhibitor experiments further indicate involvement of receptor tyrosine kinases, phospholipase C, several protein kinase C isozymes, extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores downstream from membrane receptor transduction of the EF into cathodal attraction (Fig. 17B) (128, 132, 192). In addition, there is direct evidence using fluorescent imaging of fura 2 and fluo 3 that an applied EF induces localized elevation in [Ca\(^{2+}\)] in Xenopus (166) (Fig. 17, C and D) and Heli-soma growth cones (45). In terms of the cytoskeleton acting as an effector of receptor and second messenger activation, growth cones treated with low doses of latrunculin (25 nM) or vinblastine (5 nM) showed diminished directional responses in an EF, indicating that functional microfilaments and microtubules, respectively, are important for cathodal attraction (165). Two of the small GTPases may link second messenger and cytoskeletal activation, since growth cones exposed to the bacterial toxins, toxin B (Fig. 15C), C3 transferase, or to a custom-designed peptide that inhibits cdc42 activity (200) did not show early cathodal attraction in 150 mV/mm (65). The emerging model (Fig. 17B) has major similarities to the pathways activated by the group I chemotropic guidance cues, which include ACh, BDNF, and netrin 1 (186). Modulating the levels of growth cone cAMP or cAMP-dependent kinases, such as protein kinase A (PKA), switches the growth cone response in the same gradient of ACh, BDNF, or netrin 1 from chemotraction to chemorepulsion (188). This has resonance with our observation that in the presence of 100 ng/ml NT-3, a low EF strength converts cathodal attraction to repulsion (132). Clearly there is a need to monitor intracellular cAMP levels during EF-induced growth cone behaviors since this observation suggests that “low” and “high” physiological EFs could have opposing effects on [cAMP] in the growth cone.

Understanding of the mechanisms controlling the turning of growth cones in an EF clearly is incomplete. In particular, dynamic studies of receptor distribution, receptor activation, and second messenger activation, for example, of [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and [cAMP], at threshold levels of EFs are needed and would be timely given the realization that chemotaxis of lymphocytes and chemotropism of growth cones depend on receptors and signaling molecules that are probably drawn together in lipid rafts or signaling platforms (145, 161). It will be of interest to determine how an EF modulates the dynamics of signaling in these membrane microdomains, particularly in the light of recent indications that receptor asymmetry at the leading edge is not required for chemotaxis in Dictyostelium or in human neutrophils (49, 154, 208).

4. Membrane protein electrophoresis or electroosmosis?

The evidence that many receptor types accumulate cathodally on membranes of cells exposed to a physiological EF requires additional comment. First, since most integral membrane proteins are negatively charged, they would be expected to accumulate anodally if they were moved by electrophoresis. There is both theoretical and experimental evidence, however, to indicate that receptor rearrangements in an applied DC EF are driven by electroosmosis, which acts to override the effects of electrophoresis (135). Electroosmosis involves fluid flow within a layer only angstroms from the membrane surface. Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) acting as counterions to the negatively charged membrane proteins accumulate, together with their associated water molecules, in this thin layer. Electroosmosis of these counterions induces a fluid movement and a hydrodynamic force that draws the negatively charged membrane proteins cathodally. Altering the balance of membrane negativity by adding positively charged lipids, such as DiI, or by removing negatively charged sialic acid residues from the membrane with neuraminidase shifts the balance of these forces such that negatively charged, fluorescently labeled membrane proteins then move electroforetically and accumulate anodally (162). Second, there is the issue of the time taken to induce receptor asymmetries in cells and the relationship of this to the speed of the cellular responses which EF application induces. The electrophoretic mobility of membrane-embedded proteins in physiological EFs has been dealt with in detail (89, 92, 162). In short, receptor proteins such as the conA receptor become fully polarized in an applied EF in Dictyostelium or in human neutrophils (49, 10.22033.5 on October 9, 2016).
reiterate the issue of EF strength. It is often suggested that these EFs might orient extracellular elements such as collagen filaments. Jaffe (92) has calculated that it would require 4,000 V/cm to orient a 0.9-μm-long collagen filament. This is three to four orders of magnitude greater than the physiological EFs that induce such rapid and striking receptor rearrangements.

B. DC EFs May Be Pulsatile

In addition to the existence and physiological roles for steady DC EFs in development and in wound healing (above), there are many situations where external EFs arise from repetitive, pulsatile firing of action potentials, or from synaptic activity, particularly within the tight constraints of the extracellular spaces of the CNS (59). Importantly, pulsed DC EFs also induce cathodal attraction of *Xenopus* growth cones (157) and cathodal redistribution of conA receptors (215); therefore, the scope for extracellular EF effects in the CNS is considerable. This extends beyond influencing patterns of directed growth, since an applied EF as low as 1 mV/mm can synchronize and alter the action potential firing patterns of networks of neurons (66). This, in turn, may feedback to influence growth cone guidance. Brief periods of stimulation of cultured *Xenopus* spinal neurones caused a marked alteration in the turning responses of growth cones induced by gradients of attractive and repulsive guidance cues (141). Netrin-1-induced growth cone attraction was enhanced, and the repulsion induced by myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) was converted to attraction. As with the effects of steady DC EF application or gradients of chemotropic molecules alone, these effects appeared to be mediated by elevations of cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ and cAMP (141).

In terms of nerve chemotropism then, the level of intracellular electrical activity (action potential stimulation) alters the responsiveness of a growth cone to a given molecular gradient. Given that action potential stimulation, wounding, and developmental asymmetries in ion pumps and leaks all induce extracellular EFs within tissues, what consequences will this have for the formation or maintenance of chemical gradients? To establish that the cause of growth cone turning in an EF was a primary effect of the EF per se and not a secondary effect of the EF creating a chemical gradient in the culture dish, the point has been made already that growth cones responded quantitatively the same way to an EF in the presence of cross-perfused medium designed to disrupt standing chemical gradients. However, in vivo EFs establish chemical gradients. Injecting fluorescently labeled charged protein into the prelimb bud region of amphibian embryos, where large endogenous EFs have been measured, resulted not in a symmetrical diffusion of the marker, but in a comet tail-like distribution driven by extracellular electrophoresis of the fluorescent probe (138). Because EFs of this magnitude are present in many in vivo locations, it follows that wherever soluble charged molecules and EFs coexist in biology the influence of the EF to sustain or disrupt a standing chemical gradient must be considered.

C. Directed Cell Migration in a Physiological EF: Whole Cell Electrotaxis

Although the phenomenon of cells migrating directionally in a DC EF of physiological strength has been known for over a century (50), it is important to stress that this is not simply a matter of all cells migrating in the same direction. Cells derived from the same tissue can migrate in opposite directions. For example, corneal epithelial cells and osteoblasts migrate cathodally, and corneal stromal fibroblasts and osteoclasts migrate anodally (62, 189). At the same EF strengths, other cells such as human skin fibroblasts and melanocytes fail to migrate directionally (75, 182). In addition, the same cell type from slightly different locations, epithelial cells from the apex or from the equator of bovine lens, migrate in opposite directions in a physiological EF (205). Cell responsiveness to the endogenous EFs they encounter in development and in regeneration therefore is likely to involve complexities and subtleties that are only beginning to be recognized. A comprehensive review of vertebrate cell types and their migratory behavior in an applied EF is available (149). Here we concentrate on selected cell types where some mechanistic detail is available to do two things: 1) compare and contrast the mechanisms underpinning EF-directed migration and cell chemotaxis and 2) compare and contrast the mechanisms driving cell electrotaxis with those outlined above for nerve growth cone electrotropism (Fig. 18 and Table 1).

1. The cornea as a model system

Corneal epithelial cells (CECs) are useful in studying electrotaxis because wounds in corneal epithelium generate an endogenous EF that controls the rate of reepithelialization (184), by regulating both cell migration and cell division (Figs. 9 and 10). Multiple levels of analysis are possible, and we have used dissociated single cells (see movie 2, which is located at http://physrev.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/00020.2004/DC1) (217), scratch wounds in monolayer cultures, excised organ culture of whole cornea (223), and in vivo rat corneal wounds (184) to ask different questions. Single CECs migrate cathodally, and some elements of the pathway underlying this are known (Table 1). In serum-free medium, bovine CECs continue to migrate, but they lose all directionality with respect to the EF vector (217). Adding EGF, bFGF, or transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 to serum-free cul-
ture medium restored directed migration partially, in a dose-dependent manner, and in combination the three growth factors added to serum-free medium restored cathodal migration fully. Several growth factor receptors therefore may act together perhaps using parallel signaling pathways to transduce the effects of an EF. Most work has concentrated on EGF, because wound healing in the cornea involves upregulation of EGF and requires activation of EGF receptors at the leading edge (226). Importantly, this is the region in the cornea where the wound-induced DC EF is strongest (see Fig. 7). Flow cytometry shows that applying an EF upregulated EGF receptors (EGFRs) and caused redistribution of EGFRs and of F-actin towards the cathodal side of CECs in <10 min. In serum-free medium in which cathodal-directed migration fails, upregulation of EGFRs and cathodal accumulation of EGFR and F-actin also did not occur (219).

Cathodal accumulation of EGFRs and of membrane surface area as assessed with the fluorescent membrane lipid dye DiI were identical quantitatively (222). This suggests that membrane area increased cathodally because of membrane folding. Therefore, although functionally there would be more receptors available to be activated at the cathodal leading edge, the concentration of receptors per unit area may not have increased. A similar situation appears to underpin neutrophil chemotaxis (177). Cathodal accumulation of F-actin microfilaments was a consequence of receptor and membrane asymmetries rather than a cause, because asymmetries of both EGFR and DiI persisted in the presence of 0.5 μM latrunculin, which inhibits actin polymerization. EF-induced asymmetry of EGFRs also induced asymmetric intracellular signaling through the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signaling cascade. Western blots showed increased activa-

![FIG. 18. Model proposed for chemotaxis (A) and electrotaxis (B) of epithelial cells. A: in chemotaxis a gradient of ligand stimulates activation of more receptors on one side of the cell than the other. The result is increased cytoplasmic signaling on one side of the cell relative to the other, even if the receptor density is the same on each side. The side of the cell with higher signaling becomes the leading edge, and the cell migrates up the ligand gradient. B: in electrotaxis, asymmetric signaling results from an increase in density of membrane receptors (or membrane) on the cathode-facing side of the cell. The intracellular signal is higher on the cathode-facing side of the cell, which becomes the leading edge, and the cell migrates toward the cathode.](http://physrev.physiology.org/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Target</th>
<th>Neuronal Growth Cones</th>
<th>Nonneuronal Cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chemotropism</td>
<td>Electrotropism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR</td>
<td>Yes (206)</td>
<td>Yes (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G proteins</td>
<td>Yes (76, 212)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>Yes (66)</td>
<td>Yes (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>Yes (76, 140)</td>
<td>Yes (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-3K</td>
<td>Yes (111)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP3</td>
<td>Yes (76)</td>
<td>Yes (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Yes (142)</td>
<td>Yes (58, 192, no (153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP/PKA</td>
<td>Yes (80, 114, 188)</td>
<td>Yes (124, 153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rho GTPases (rac1, rho A, cdc42)</td>
<td>Yes (195, 216)</td>
<td>Yes (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK/ERK1/2</td>
<td>Yes (155)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein synthesis</td>
<td>Yes (33, 155)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACHR</td>
<td>Yes (188)</td>
<td>Yes (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF/Trk B</td>
<td>Yes (188)</td>
<td>Yes (132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netrin-1/DCC</td>
<td>Yes (111)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGF/Trk A</td>
<td>Yes (52, 111)</td>
<td>No (132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-3/Trk C</td>
<td>Yes (188)</td>
<td>Yes (132)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; FGFR, fibroblast growth factor receptor; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase C; PI-3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; IP3, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate; PKA, cAMP-dependent protein kinase A; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-related protein kinase; ACHR, acetylcholine receptor; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; Trk B, tyrosine kinase receptor type B; DCC, deleted in colorectal carcinoma; NGF, nerve growth factor; Trk A, tyrosine kinase receptor type A; NT-3, neurotrophin-3.
tion of dual phosphorylated ERK1/2, which immunohistochemically was predominant cathodally. In addition, activated ERK1/2 and F-actin became highly colocalized at the leading lamellae of CECs migrating cathodally (Fig. 19) (222).

The phosphatidylinositol (PI) 3-kinase inhibitor LY294002 and the MAP kinase inhibitor U0126 both reduced, but did not abolish, cathodal-directed migration, indicating the involvement of more than one signaling pathway.

In short, the mechanism driving EF-directed CEC migration and EF-directed growth cone turning share several elements in common. Each can be transduced by an induced asymmetry of membrane receptors known to operate also in transducing the response to a locally relevant chemical gradient. Each involves signal transmission at the leading edge by second messenger pathways also used to respond to chemical gradients, and each is affected by asymmetric activation of F-actin. Figure 18 and Table 1 compare and contrast the mechanisms that control electrically and chemically directed cell movements in epithelia and in neuronal growth cones.

2. Electrical control of lens epithelium

The vertebrate lens drives electrical current through itself (Fig. 20). Lens epithelial cells (LECs) are present in a hemispherical cap on the anterior surface of the lens. The basal surface of these cells abuts the lens capsule, and basolateral Na\(^+\)-ATPase activity results in high internal K\(^+\) within the extracellular spaces of the lens and high Na\(^+\) outside. Using a vibrating microprobe, currents of 20–40 \(\mu\)A/cm\(^2\) have been measured flowing out of the lens equator and returning across the anterior surface (174) (Fig. 20). The use of published values for equatorial and polar lens resistivity of 0.5 and 500 k\(\Omega\)/cm indicates that lens currents will give rise to steady DC EFs of between 2 and 600 mV/mm, a normal physiological range. Current flow draws associated water through the avascular lens, and this may flush out metabolites (116). Intriguingly, current efflux is concentrated at the lens equator where important aspects of lens physiology take place. Throughout adult life, lens epithelial cells move toward the equator, probably by active migration, proliferate in organized parallel arrays here, elongate, and transdifferentiate into lens fiber cells. The latter become compacted and lose their internal organelles to form the transparent interior of the lens. The factors regulating these key developmental events include FGF, which promotes migration, proliferation, and differentiation in a dose-dependent manner (118). The formation of an anterior-posterior gradient of bFGF and increasing expression of FGF receptor subtypes on cells nearing the equator are key elements in this control. Mimicking the natural electrical signals of the lens equator by applying a physiological EF to LEC in culture induces many of the cellular events that occur near the equator. An EF directed LEC migration, promoted cell elongation and cell reorientation (205, 204), and regulated cell cycle progression to mitosis (201). EF-directed cell migration was serum dependent, and directed migration was restored partially by adding bFGF to serum-free medium. This indicates that the FGF receptors are required for this response, but we have been unable to demonstrate any EF-induced receptor asymmetry in single cells. Interestingly, the direction of cell mi-
3. Electrical control of vascular endothelium

Applying a physiological EF also induces a striking reorientation of some cells. Myoblasts and endothelial cells, for example, form or realign their long axis to lie perpendicular to the EF vector (78, 218). In a sheet of vascular endothelial cells this is a striking phenomenon (Fig. 22B). A sheet of cobblestone-like endothelial cells is transformed to resemble the inner surface of a blood vessel, comprising highly ordered and highly elongated cells (compare Fig. 22, A and B). Some aspects of the mechanisms controlling this are known. Vascular endothelial cells exposed to an EF in culture increase secretion of the angiogenesis producing growth factor vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Secretion increases severalfold within 5 min, peaks around 30 min before dropping off, and undergoes a second peak in VEGF release between 4 and 24 h. Cells exposed to the VEGFR1 and R2 inhibitor 4,4’-(chloro-2'-fluoro)phenylamino-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline fail to reorient perpendicular to a physiological EF, indicating that self-release of the ligand VEGF and activation of VEGFR1 are primary elements in the transduction of this response. VEGFR activation is signaled through the PI 3-kinase/Akt/Rho kinase pathway, and significantly perpendicular reorientation of endothelial cells was inhibited partially by the PI 3-kinase inhibitor by LY294002, an Akt inhibitor (serine/threonine kinase inhibitor), and by the rho kinase inhibitor Y27632. A similarly striking reorganization of a sheet of endothelium takes place in response to shear stress by realignment of the long axes of endothelial cells in the direction of fluid flow. This is mediated by the α5β3 integrin. EF-induced perpendicular cell reorientation however must be driven by different mechanisms, since functional blocking antibodies to α5β3 did not prevent this (218).
In addition to reorienting endothelial cells, an applied EF also stimulated cell migration, anodally, and cell elongation. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) cultured for 24 h were twice as long as they were broad (no EF). In an EF strength-dependent manner and with a threshold between 50 and 75 mV/mm, an applied EF nearly doubled the long-to-short axis ratio to 3.5 at 300 mV/mm (24 h). The drugs that inhibited EF-induced reorientation also inhibited EF-stimulated elongation, indicating a commonality of receptor and second messenger mechanisms.

These three cell behaviors, reorientation, elongation, and directed migration, are all forerunners of angiogenesis. Each is regulated by a physiological EF, and angiogenesis frequently takes place within a steady EF; vessel growth in vivo and directed migration, are all forerunners of angiogenesis. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) are two examples. Endogenous EFs therefore may regulate angiogenesis in vivo. It may also be worth testing the notion of using an applied EF to direct blood vessel growth in vivo, for example, to prevent new blood vessels from entering tumors.

4. Directional migration of Dictyostelium discoideum in electric fields

In the context of comparing and contrasting the mechanisms underpinning chemotaxis and electrotaxis, the social amoebae Dictyostelium discoideum is a powerful model system. This is because, although these amoebae would not naturally encounter a DC EF, they are amenable to both genetic (its genome sequence is nearly complete) and biochemical analyses (103), much is known already regarding the signaling events that regulate chemotaxis, and they show strong electrotaxis. D. discoideum grow as single cells, but upon starvation they enter a developmental program where individual cells undergo chemotaxis to form multicellular aggregation centers (6, 49, 98). The most potent chemoattractant for D. discoideum is cAMP, which is secreted endogenously and directs aggregation via a specific family of seven transmembrane receptors, the cAMP receptors (cARs), a group of heterotrimERIC G protein-coupled receptors. In chemotaxis, G protein-coupled receptors sense chemoattractants and regulate pseudopod extension at the leading edge (37). We have investigated whether electrotaxis and chemotaxis share signaling mechanisms through G protein-coupled receptors. We have shown that the early stages of signal reception and transduction are not shared, but that the respective signaling strategies converge somewhere upstream of directed F-actin polymerization. When exposed to a relatively high DC electric fields of 700 mV/mm, Dictyostelium cells show very strong directional migration, and at 1,500 mV/mm virtually all cells moved in straight lines towards the cathode (221) (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/5).

Dictyostelium cells that enter the development stage use G protein-coupled receptor signaling to direct chemotactic migration to a source of cAMP. The most important receptor for this is cAR1. cAR1–/cAR3– cells (RB9) were used to test whether cAMP receptors were involved in electrotaxis. These cells, which show no chemotaxis towards cAMP, maintained significant directional migration toward the cathode in DC EFs (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/6). The Gα subunit together with the Gβγ complex couple with cAR1 and transduce cAMP-binding into various responses. Like cAR1/cAR3-null mutants, Gα– cells (MYC2) also maintained directional migration in an EF (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/7). The Gβγ subunit is essential for chemotaxis to all chemoattractants (96), and interestingly, di-
Directional migration of Gβ− cells in an EF was reduced by about one-half compared with wild-type cells (221) (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/8).

Asymmetry in signaling drives the polarized actin changes needed for directed lamellipodium or pseudopodium extension during chemotaxis (37, 227). Membrane recruitment of the PH domain-containing protein CRAC (cytosolic regulator of adenylate cyclase, PHcrac) is an indicator of G protein signaling, and the use of GFP fusion constructs in Dictyostelium (PHcrac-GFP) and neutrophils (PHAkt-GFP) has shown that phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate and phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate generated upon activation of the G protein-coupled receptors are polarized toward the chemotactic source (136, 176). Spatial regulation of PI 3-kinase and phosphatase activities therefore are crucial for directional sensing during G protein-mediated chemotaxis (169, 40). In wild-type cells expressing PHcrac-GFP, there was no redistribution of PHcrac-GFP during electrotaxis or after polarity reversal (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/10), indicating that the EF did not act upon the G protein subunits or their immediate effectors to direct movement.

Membrane receptor redistribution may be involved in cell responses to EFs and has been demonstrated in several cell types (30, 61, 163, 219). Cathodally directed migration of corneal epithelial cells involved induced asymmetry of membrane lipids and associated EGF receptors and asymmetric activation of MAP kinase signaling shown by leading edge asymmetry of dual phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (222). Using cAR1-GFP-expressing cells, we monitored the dynamic distribution of receptors during electrotaxis in Dictyostelium. Neither obvious redistribution nor accumulation at the leading edge was observed during electrotaxis or during field polarity reversal (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/9). Therefore, EF-induced receptor asymmetry is a selective event, and not all receptor types are redistributed by an EF. Whether and in what direction individual receptor molecules are moved by an applied EF will depend in part on the balance between the charge carried by the receptor and that on the membrane surface.

Actin was polymerized at the leading edge in electro-tactic cells (221). Coronin is an actin binding protein important for actin reorganization in Dictyostelium (72), and coronin-GFP marks regions of intense actin polymerization. We monitored the dynamic distribution of this construct in both wild-type and β− cells. Coronin-GFP accumulated at the leading edge in both cell types and reversed to the other end when the EF polarity was reversed (http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/11, http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200112070/DC1/12). Similar proportions of cells showed cathodal redistribution of coronin-GFP in both AX3 and β2 cells. This suggests that although the Gβ subunit may contribute to electrotaxis (directionality data), when this was nullified, substantial asymmetry of F-actin still developed to drive electrotaxis. How the EF directs actin polymerization remains to be elucidated.

Therefore, with the exception of partial dependency on the Gβ subunit, electrotaxis in Dictyostelium does not use the signaling elements that underpin chemotaxis. Thus reception and transduction of the electrotaxis signal are largely independent of G protein-coupled receptor signaling and the pathways driving chemotaxis and electrotaxis only come together downstream of heterotrimeric G proteins to invoke polarized cytoskeletal polymerization. The receptors and signaling molecules that initiate, transduce, and effect electrotaxis in Dictyostelium remain unknown. This lack of shared mechanisms between chemotaxis and electrotaxis in Dictyostelium is intriguing given the strong parallels between electrotropism and chemotropism of Xenopus neuronal growth cones and electrotaxis and chemotaxis of corneal epithelial cells (Table 1 and Fig. 18).

### D. Electrical Control of Wound Healing and Tissue Regeneration

The endogenous EF at a corneal wound stimulates and directs epithelial cell proliferation and cell migration at a wound edge and in this way promotes wound healing (see above) (184). To explore this further, we have developed a monolayer scratch wound model and an excised whole corneal preparation (184, 223). These model systems allow study of the interplay between the influences that control wound healing. Wounding an epithelium (either the whole tissue or a monolayer) causes release of growth factors and cytokines, particularly at the wound edge (38). Although there is no direct demonstration that a chemical gradient of these molecules is established, this is widely assumed to occur and to be the primary driver of wound healing. The presence of a free wound edge also may stimulate cell migration to close the wound, because cells at the leading edge are released from contact inhibition. We have mimicked the situation in vivo by testing the effects of simultaneously applying a physiological DC EF to these models in which chemical gradients and the freed wound edge are assumed to control wound healing.

Surprisingly, in corneal epithelial monolayer wounds and wounds in excised whole cornea, the polarity of the EF determined whether a wound closed or opened up. A wound edge facing the cathode healed faster than with no EF, as has been shown previously in vivo (184, 191). Remarkably, however, wounds facing anodally not only failed to close, but they opened up. It must be stressed that the normal cues thought to control wound closure, chemical gradients and the presence of a free wound edge, are present in these cases. All that has been added
is an applied EF of a strength equivalent to that measured at skin and corneal wounds but with a normal (wound cathode) or reversed polarity (wound anode). These observations allow several conclusions. 1) They show that the cues thought to control wound healing, chemical gradients and the removal of contact inhibition, are not sufficient to close a wound. 2) An EF together with normal sources of chemical gradients and a free wound edge enhance healing. 3) An EF can override and dominate the healing influences of normal sources of chemical gradients and of a free wound edge. One interpretation of this is that a physiological EF is at the head of a hierarchy of cues that interact to promote wound healing.

Applied EFs with a wide variety of stimulation protocols have been used clinically to treat nonhealing skin wounds (71). Many studies have claimed success, and this disparate literature is reviewed comprehensively elsewhere (149, 197). It is riddled with poor and uncontrolled experiments in which various types of metal electrodes have been inserted directly into a wound bed. In these cases, the secondary electrochemical effects of metal ions, or of O$_2$ or H$^+$ released by the electrodes, which will change the tissue pH in the wound, have not been separated from the primary effects of the EF alone. Unfortunately, clinical practice has proceeded ahead of our understanding of the cell biology of EF-directed wound healing and therefore has not been best informed. The optimal stimulation protocol to treat human skin wounds with an applied DC EF will be determined only when the cell biology is understood in greater detail.

Nevertheless, there is one intriguing case of wound healing in humans that is associated with injury currents. Adolescent humans regenerate an amputated fingertip fully from the distal phalange including the nail, but only if the wound stump is dressed and left open and hydrated. If the wound is sutured together and dries up, the fingertip does not regenerate. Interestingly, currents of 30 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ have been measured leaving such wounds. One interpretation of these observations is that dressing the wound and leaving it moist allows continued electrical conduction through the wound which contributes to regeneration (85), whilst suturing the wound closed diminishes electrical conduction and therefore prevents regeneration.

VI. CLINICAL UTILITY: ELECTRICAL CONTROL OF REGENERATION IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

In the historical introduction to this review, the seminal work of Borgens et al. (24) in testing a role for endogenous injury potentials in controlling axonal regeneration in the CNS was raised. They showed that intense injury currents of up to 100 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ carried by Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ were driven into the cut ends of severed Muller and Mauthner axons of the lamprey spinal cord (Fig. 1B) (22). By passing current that established a steady DC EF of opposite polarity to the injury potential, they showed that this induced increased branching and faster regeneration of these naturally regenerating axons. This principle of using an applied DC EF to stimulate and direct axon regeneration has been extended to the currently intractable problem of promoting mammalian spinal cord repair. The cutaneous trunci muscle reflex in guinea pig is the equivalent of the reflex that cattle use to remove flies from the skin on their back, the sensory stimulus causing the subdermal musculature to twitch. Borgens and colleagues (18–20) have used the well-recognized neuroanatomy of this reflex in ground-breaking studies on the effects of applying a DC EF to the hemisectioned guinea pig spinal muscle.
cord. An EF of $\sim 400 \ \mu \text{V/mm}$ was imposed across the hemisection for $\sim 3$ wk, with the cathode placed rostral to the transection plane since only the long ascending sensory nerve tracts within the dorsolateral white matter were assessed histologically. The original plane of transection was marked with an indwelling staple-shaped device (Fig. 23, A and B). Sham-treated animals showed no axonal regeneration and no return of the reflex. Fluorescently labeled axons died back by $\sim 100–300 \ \mu \text{m}$, and labeled terminals were rarely present within the lesion scar at 3 mo. In electrically treated spinal cords, a few labeled axons were traced around the lateral margins of the lesion and through undamaged spinal cord into the rostral segment. No evidence of branching was seen in the long tracts of the caudal cord. The traced axons therefore were singular extensions of axons of the severed dorsal and dorsolateral ascending columns. This is important work, since it shows that axons in adult mammalian spinal cord were stimulated electrically to regenerate around the margins of the lesion and to project into and through the fibroglial scar at the lesion site (Fig. 23, C and D). Despite the significance of this, only a small proportion of fibers was marked with dye injection, and very few nerves penetrated the transection site. Borgens (15) has used a different approach to demonstrate that an applied EF stimulates and directs regenerative growth of large numbers of myelinated axons in damaged spinal cord. A hollow silicone tube (6 mm $\times$ 1 mm) containing an electrode was implanted into a longitudinal slit in the dorsal spinal cord of adult guinea pig. With no current passing, virtually no regenerating fibers had entered the tube after 1–2 mo. This is as expected, since adult mammalian spinal cord axons normally do not regenerate. When the electrode was a cathode, however, massive regenerative axonal growth was stimulated, attracted to enter and to grow through the 6-mm length of the tube. This study established therefore that an applied EF 1) stimulated robust regeneration of nerves within the adult mammalian spinal cord and 2) that growth of these regenerating fibers was guided towards the cathode. A direct demonstration of the repellent effect of anodal current in vivo was not possible because when the implanted electrode was an anode, the buildup of electrode products trapped within the nonpermeable silicone tubes was toxic to the local tissues (15).

Restoring some function following spinal cord transection also has been achieved using stimulation with an applied DC EF. In adult guinea pigs a complete transection of the right side of the spinal cord permanently eliminates the cutaneous trunci muscle (CTM) reflex on that side, because of a failure of ascending CTM nerve tracts to regenerate. The reflex on the contralateral side remains normal and acts as a control. Passing $35 \ \mu \text{A}$ of DC current across the hemisected dorsal cord for 4 wk established an EF of $\sim 300–400 \ \mu \text{V/mm}$ (cathode rostral) and induced variable levels of behavioral recovery of the CTM reflex in 25% of adult guinea pigs. With the anode rostral to the lesion, or when treatment was delayed for 3 mo after spinal cord hemisection, behavioral recovery did not occur (17, 23). Behavioral recovery was associated

![Fig. 23. An electric field stimulates regrowth of axons in the adult spinal cord. A: a dorsal hemisection was made in the guinea pig spinal cord. A miniature voltage source was implanted under the skin with wires leading to electrodes placed on the surface of the spinal cord, with the cathode rostral and the anode caudal to the lesion. A staple-shaped metal marker was inserted into the lesion at the time of surgery and it remained in place throughout the experiment. B: the marker was removed when the spinal cord was processed for histological examination. Longitudinal sections were made so the trajectories of labeled neurons could be traced. The holes left in the tissue by the marker meant that the lesion site could be determined reliably in all sections. C: a view through a section of the spinal cord similar to the middle slice in B. The holes left by the marker device are shown as circles at the edge of the cord. A glial scar forms at the lesion site. Regenerating axons were categorized according to the extent of regrowth: A, tip of axon $> 200 \ \mu \text{m}$ from the plane of transection; B, tip of axon $< 200 \ \mu \text{m}$ from transection plane; C, axon penetrates the glial scar; D, grew to the plane of the lesion; E, axon grew through the lesion; F, axon grew around the lesion. D: quantitative analysis of regrowth in animals without any applied EF ($n = 14$) and an EF ($n = 14$). In the absence of an EF, no axons reached to or beyond the lesion site, but EF-treated animals showed a significant increase in those categories. Most axons (57%) reached the lesion site, 36% could be traced through the lesion, and 29% grew around the lesion. [Redrawn from Borgens (13).]
with regeneration of axons in the ventrolateral spinal cord which carries CTM fiber tracts.

Collectively, this work indicates that spinal axon regeneration and the functional recovery of a specific spinal reflex may be promoted by a DC EF. However, both ascending and descending axon tracts are damaged in spinal cord injuries, and culture studies show that DC EFs of opposite polarity have opposing effects: the cathode stimulates and attracts nerve growth, the anode causes repulsion or retraction. However, cathodal attraction of growth cones in culture occurs within minutes, whereas anodal repulsion is slower to develop and retraction is not obvious until \( \sim 45 \) min (121). This asymmetry in response time offers the possibility of alternating the polarity of the EF every 30 min or so to attract axons in one direction while causing minimal retraction of those projecting in the “wrong” direction. Repeated switching of EF polarity would promote growth of axons projecting in opposing directions because there would be minimal time for retraction to occur in both directions (121). This principle of exposing spinal neurons to oscillating DC EF stimulation has been tested using spinal cord hemisection in adult guinea pig and injecting differently colored fluorescent labels rostral and caudal to the lesion site. In sham-treated controls, there was much retrograde degeneration of labeled ascending and descending axons 100–300 \( \mu \)m from the transection plane by 60 days postinjury. In animals exposed to oscillating DC EF stimulation however, labeled ascending and descending axons projected to the plane of transection, and in a few cases, fibers were traced around or through the lesion (13). Oscillating EF stimulation protocols have been used also in dogs with a variety of spinal cord injuries (27, 28). In animals with complete paraplegia, there was a statistically improved recovery of a range of neurological behavioral tests and in some cases the restoration of walking. The differences between the sham-treated and the EF-exposed animals were significant therefore, and although some EF-exposed animals might have shown improvement in some functions spontaneously, EF stimulation did enhance this.

Borgens and colleagues in Indiana (USA) are using this oscillating DC EF stimulation protocol in clinical trials treating patients with spinal cord injuries (14). A phase one clinical trial has been completed. Ten patients tolerated the oscillator implants well and showed robust improvements in a variety of sensory neurologic functions, but there was no additional improvement in motor functions over the standard methylprednisolone treatment (178).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

There is clear evidence that endogenous EFs are present for many hours or days at wounds and in areas of active cell growth and migration during development. There is strong evidence too that these are essential to regulate appropriate cell behaviors during tissue morphogenesis and regeneration. The complex issue of how EFs interact with other molecular and physical regulators of growth and guidance therefore arises. Consider chemotaxis. Chemical diffusion, for example following release of a charged growth factor from a group of cells, is nonvectorial and occurs evenly in all directions. However, when this occurs in the presence of an EF, which is a vector, directionality will be imposed on the chemical gradient. Importantly, physiological EFs establish chemical gradients in vivo. Current exits the prelbud region of amphibian embryos and precedes and predicts the point of emergence of the limb bud by several days (1, 25, 170). Injecting fluorescently labeled charged bovine serum albumin into the prelbud region, where the flow of current establishes a steady EF of up to 40 mV/mm (173), resulted not in symmetrical diffusion, but in a comet tail-like distribution of the fluorescent probe driven by electrophoresis within the extracellular space (138). It follows that wherever EFs and soluble charged molecules coexist in vivo, the EF will regulate the establishment and the maintenance of the spatial pattern of the resulting chemical gradient.

In addition to establishing chemical gradients within the extracellular space, DC EFs also stimulate secretion of growth factors (VEGF from endothelial cells) (218) and upregulate expression of growth factor receptors (EGFR on corneal epithelial cells) (219). There are interactions too between a physiological EF and extracellular matrix elements. EF-directed movement of corneal epithelial cells is enhanced in cells interacting with fibronectin or laminin substrates (219), and enhanced or inhibited in neuronal growth cones interacting with specific glycosaminoglycan elements of the extracellular matrix (56). Importantly also, the endogenous current of 120 \( \mu \)A/cm\(^2\) that leaves the blastopore in neurulating Xenopus embryos shows transient spikes, with peak currents reaching 200 \( \mu \)A/cm\(^2\) for repeated periods of 1–2 min (173). Therefore, EFs within embryos are switching on and off in a spatially and developmentally controlled manner and also undergoing episodic bursts of activity in particular locations. The effects this might have on locally switching on or off cell cycle regulators, or levels of growth factor receptor expression and growth factor secretion are intriguing.

In short, there is vast untapped potential for EF involvement across a broad spectrum of cell biology. EFs certainly coexist with the more familiar players that control multiple cell behaviors, and it is now timely that their physiological roles are explored more thoroughly. Bioelectricity can, and should, be brought back into mainstream physiology. A rich field awaits.
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